Structure and composition of the superconducting phase in alkali iron selenide K$_x$Fe$_{1.6+x}$Se$_2$
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We use neutron diffraction to study the temperature evolution of the average structure and local lattice distortions in insulating and superconducting potassium iron selenide K$_x$Fe$_{1.6+x}$Se$_2$. In the high temperature paramagnetic state, both materials have a single phase with a crystal structure similar to that of the BaFe$_2$As$_2$ family of iron pnictides. While the insulating K$_x$Fe$_{1.6+x}$Se$_2$ forms a $\sqrt{5} \times \sqrt{5}$ iron vacancy ordered block antiferromagnetic (AF) structure at low temperature, the superconducting compounds spontaneously phase separate into an insulating part with $\sqrt{5} \times \sqrt{5}$ iron vacancy order and a superconducting phase with chemical composition of K$_x$Fe$_2$Se$_2$ and BaFe$_2$As$_2$ structure. Therefore, superconductivity in alkaline iron selenides arises from alkali deficient K$_x$Fe$_2$Se$_2$ in the matrix of the insulating block AF phase.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Of all the iron-based superconductors $[1$–$3]$, alkali iron selenides A$_x$Fe$_{1.6+x}$Se$_2$ ($A =$ K, Rb, Cs, Th) $[4$–$9]$ are unique in that superconductivity in this class of materials always coexists with a static long-range antiferromagnetic (AF) order with a large moment and high Néel temperature $[10$–$14]$. This is in contrast to iron pnictide superconductors $[1$–$3]$ where optimal superconductivity arises from the suppression of the static AF order in their nonsuperconducting parent compounds $[15,16]$. An attempt to understand the coexisting static AF order and superconductivity in alkali iron selenides $[10$–$14]$ has produced two proposed scenarios. In the first, superconductivity is believed to coexist microscopically with the static AF order $[10,14]$. However, the AF order in A$_x$Fe$_{1.6+x}$Se$_2$ forms a $\sqrt{5} \times \sqrt{5}$ block AF structure with an ordered moment of $\sim 3.3 \mu_B$ per Fe as shown in Fig. 1(a) $[10$–$14]$, making it unclear how superconductivity can survive such a large magnetic field background arising from the ordered moments $[17]$. Alternatively, superconductivity in A$_x$Fe$_{1.6+x}$Se$_2$ may arise from a chemically separated superconducting phase in the matrix of the insulating block AF phase. Although transmission electron microscopy (TEM) $[18$–$21]$, x-ray/neutron diffraction $[13,22$–$24]$, scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) $[25]$, Mössbauer spectroscopy $[26]$, muon spin relaxation $[27]$, apertureless scanning-type scanning near-field optical microscopy $[28]$, and nuclear magnetic resonance $[29]$ experiments have provided ample evidence for phase separation, where superconductivity comprises about 10–20% of the volume of A$_x$Fe$_{1.6+x}$Se$_2$, there is currently no consensus on the chemical composition or crystal structure for the superconducting A$_x$Fe$_{1.6+x}$Se$_2$. For example, while some TEM $[21]$, x-ray scattering $[24]$, and STM $[25]$ measurements suggest that the superconducting phase of A$_x$Fe$_{1.6+x}$Se$_2$ is A$_x$Fe$_2$Se$_2$ with the BaFe$_2$As$_2$ iron pnictide crystal structure $[1$–$3]$, other TEM and STM measurements propose that the superconducting phase consists of a single Fe vacancy for every eight Fe sites arranged in a $\sqrt{5} \times \sqrt{5}$ parallelogram structure $[Fig. 1(c)]$ $[20]$. In addition, single crystal neutron diffraction experiments indicate that superconductivity in A$_x$Fe$_{1.6+x}$Se$_2$ may arise from a semiconducting AF phase with rhombohedron iron vacancy order $[Fig. 1(b)]$ $[23]$ instead of the well-known insulating $\sqrt{5} \times \sqrt{5}$ block AF phase $[10$–$13]$. Given the numerous proposed crystal structures for the superconducting A$_x$Fe$_{1.6+x}$Se$_2$, a determination of the true chemical composition and relationship with the AF insulating phase is essential to understand the bulk electronic properties $[9]$. In this paper, we present systematic neutron powder diffraction measurements on superconducting and insulating K$_x$Fe$_{1.6+x}$Se$_2$. By carefully comparing Rietveld refinements of neutron diffraction spectra of the superconducting and insulating K$_x$Fe$_{1.6+x}$Se$_2$ in the high temperature paramagnetic phase, we find that both materials are phase pure with the iron pnictide crystal structure (space group $I4/mmm$) $[1]$ and slightly more iron in the superconducting sample. On cooling to the low-temperature ground state, while the insulating K$_x$Fe$_{1.6+x}$Se$_2$ remains a single phase, now of a $\sqrt{5} \times \sqrt{5}$ iron vacancy structure with block AF order $[10$–$13]$, the superconducting K$_x$Fe$_{1.6+x}$Se$_2$ becomes phase separated into the stoichiometric $\sqrt{5} \times \sqrt{5}$ iron vacancy ordered K$_{0.8}$Fe$_{1.6}$Se$_2$ and potassium deficient K$_x$Fe$_2$Se$_2$ phase with the iron pnictide crystal structure $[1$–$3]$. The superconducting phase arises in the region of the excess iron between the low temperature $\sqrt{5} \times \sqrt{5}$ iron vacancy ordered phase, and its volume fraction can be controlled through temperature cycling and quenching processes. Rietveld and pair distribution function (PDF) analysis of the data indicates that the superconducting phase cannot be the stoichiometric AFe$_2$Se$_2$ $[21,25]$, $\sqrt{5} \times \sqrt{10}$ $[20]$ or rhombohedron $[23]$ iron vacancy ordered phase. Therefore, the superconducting phase in A$_x$Fe$_{1.6+x}$Se$_2$ arises from a spontaneous chemical phase separation from the insulating $\sqrt{5} \times \sqrt{5}$
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FIG. 1. (Color online) In plane structures proposed for various $A_1\text{Fe}_{1.6+x}\text{Se}_2$ phases: (a) Main vacancy ordered phase with $\sqrt{5} \times \sqrt{5}$ block AF order [10–13]. The + and − signs indicate Fe spin directions relative to the FeSe plane. (b) Proposed phase for semiconductor, where spin directions are marked as arrows (Ref. [23]). (c) Suggested superconducting phase with $\sqrt{5} \times \sqrt{10}$ iron vacancy order (Ref. [20]). (d) Iron-disordered, partially occupied orthorhombic phase with BaFe$_2$As$_2$ iron pnictide structure. (e)–(g) Schematic for phase separation through spontaneous nucleation in the temperature region of iron mobility. A disordered $I4/mmm$ phase above $T_s$. Below $T_N$, the $I4/m$′ symmetry insulating phase forms at random sites and spreads, enriching the iron in the remaining disordered phase until either full iron occupation of second phase (slow cooling) or temperature drops below the zone of iron mobility (quenching).

iron vacancy ordered phase due to excess iron, fundamentally different from superconductivity in iron pnictides [21,24].

II. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

We carried out neutron scattering experiments using the neutron time of flight powder diffractometer NPDF at the Los Alamos National laboratory. This spectrometer has the advantage of a large $Q$ range, allowing a determination of the average as well as local structures of the system through Rietveld [13] and PDF analysis of the diffraction spectra [14], respectively. We grew several large single batches of K$_x$Fe$_{1.6+x}$Se$_2$ via the self-flux method with nominal dopings of $y = 0.8$, $x = 0$ and $y = 0.8$, $x = 0.4$ for the insulating and superconducting samples, respectively. Since transport measurements are sensitive to paramagnetic tetragonal to iron vacancy ordered structural phase transition ($T_s$), AF ordering ($T_N$), and superconductivity $T_c$ [9], samples from each batch were characterized by in-plane resistivity and magnetization to determine $T_s$, $T_N$, and $T_c$ to be approximately 540 K, 500 K, and 32 K in the superconducting sample and 520 K and 500 K in the insulator. From previous neutron diffraction work [10–13], we know that structural and magnetic phase transitions in K$_x$Fe$_{1.6+x}$Se$_2$ occur above the room temperature. Choosing only crystals from a single batch to minimize magnetic phase differences, we ground several grams of each composition into a fine powder and divided it into two sets. One set of powders was measured only at low temperatures while the other was measured to temperatures above $T_c$ to observe any hysteretic effects. For the neutron diffraction experiments, each sample was effectively measured along a temperature loop beginning at the base temperature of 15 K and measured at intervals to above $T_s$ before returning to room temperature. This produced two sets of data, one for superconducting and one for insulating K$_x$Fe$_{1.6+x}$Se$_2$, at identical temperatures to enable direct comparison. Following the completion of the neutron scattering measurements, exact crystal stoichiometry from small portions of both high and low temperature powder was measured via inductively coupled plasma (ICP) analysis.

We carried out Rietveld analysis to determine the average crystal structure and chemical compositions of the superconducting and insulating K$_x$Fe$_{1.6+x}$Se$_2$. Figure 2(a) shows the intensity versus wave vector spectra and fits from the Rietveld analysis for the insulating K$_x$Fe$_{1.6+x}$Se$_2$. In the paramagnetic tetragonal state (top panel) at $T = 590$ K, the spectra can be well fit by the $I4/mmm$ symmetry tetragonal unit cell (green solid line) suitable for the paramagnetic tetragonal state of BaFe$_2$As$_2$ [1]. On cooling to $T = 15$ K in the block AF ordered state, we find that the spectra can still be well described by a single phase of K$_{0.78}$Fe$_{1.58}$Se$_2$, but with the space group $I4/m′$ and $\sqrt{5} \times \sqrt{5}$ iron vacancy order [Fig. 1(a)] [10–13]. Therefore, there is no phase separation in the insulating K$_{0.78}$Fe$_{1.58}$Se$_2$.

Figure 2(b) shows neutron diffraction spectra for the superconducting K$_x$Fe$_{1.6+x}$Se$_2$. In the paramagnetic tetragonal phase ($T = 590$ K), we find that a single phase tetragonal unit cell with the $I4/mmm$ symmetry can fit the data well [top panel in Fig. 2(b)]. The refined stoichiometry indicates that the superconducting K$_x$Fe$_{1.6+x}$Se$_2$ has more iron ($x = 0.029$) than that of the insulator ($x = 0.009$). On cooling to $T = 15$ K, we find that the $I4/m′$ space group suitable for the insulating K$_{0.78}$Fe$_{1.58}$Se$_2$ in Fig. 2(a) can still fit the majority of the Bragg peaks very well. However, there are additional Bragg peaks occurring at positions not allowed by the $I4/m′$ symmetry [bottom panel and arrows in the inset of Fig. 2(b)].

Beginning with the assumption that the minority phase is associated with superconductivity, there are possible crystalline structures. These include the rhombohedral iron vacancy order [Fig. 1(b)] [23], $\sqrt{8} \times \sqrt{10}$ iron vacancy ordered phase [Fig. 1(c)] [20], iron disordered $I4/mmm$ tetragonal symmetry [Fig. 1(d)], expanded structure of FeSe [Fig. 2(e)] [30–32], and finally the BaFe$_2$As$_2$ type iron pnictide structure [Fig. 2(d)]
To determine the space group and chemical composition of the phase in the low temperature state, we plot in Fig. 2(e) the difference plot between the superconducting and insulating $K_xFe_{1.6+\delta}Se_2$, omitting regions of peak misalignment due to slightly different lattice parameters (see Fig. 2 caption). We can then use different proposed models for the superconducting $K_xFe_{1.6+\delta}Se_2$ to fit the observed spectra. The yellow, red, and blue solid lines in Fig. 2(e) show Rietveld fits of the data using the rhombus $[23]$, $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{10}$ iron vacancy ordered, and pure FeSe $[30–32]$ structures, respectively. None of them can match allowed peak position and intensity properly. Figure 2(f) shows Rietveld analysis using the BaFe$_2$As$_2$ structure. Although the tetragonal $I4/mmm$ symmetry suitable for the high temperature paramagnetic state fits the data fairly well (black line), we find that a slight orthorhombic distortion (space group $Fmmm$) fits the data better as shown by the solid green line in Fig. 2(f). A direct comparison of the low (blue circles) and high temperature (solid line) data in the inset of Fig. 2(f) displays slight broadening of the low-temperature data, confirming the low-temperature orthorhombic symmetry. The best overall fit yielded 13.6% of the crystal volume in the second phase with a refined stoichiometry of $K_{0.53}Fe_{2.002}Se_2$, and the remainder in the block AF phase. The combined fit and Bragg peak positions for different phases are shown in Fig. 2(g), and positions of all atoms in the unit cell are summarized in Table 1. Using independently fit stoichiometry and phase fraction, we can compare a calculated overall crystal calculation. At base temperature, the $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{5}$ iron vacancy order is already established and the square of iron in the center

FIG. 2. (Continued) (b) Unit cells in the superconducting sample with only the $I4/m$′ symmetry are marked with arrows. There is an unidentified impurity peak near 3.2 Å$^{-1}$ marked as * in the superconducting sample. The peak has no temperature dependence. Side cut of pure (c) FeSe and (d) KFe$_2$Se$_2$ crystal structures. Darker atoms are placed in front of iron while lighter ones are behind. Note inversion of middle layer necessary to form the BaFe$_2$As$_2$ symmetry phase from FeSe. (e) Best fits using different proposed second phases. FeSe can be interpreted as a K-intercalated sample with no K ordering. (f) Best fit using orthorhombic $Fmmm$ symmetry structure akin to that of BaFe$_2$As$_2$. The inset shows clear broadening of the (112) peak at base temperature (blue dots) compared to above $T_c$ (line). Such broadening can be induced by a small orthorhombic lattice distortion. (g) Comparison of the fits using pure $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{5}$ iron vacancy order without AF order (green), the effect of AF order (orange), the superconducting phase (blue), and the combination of all phases (red). At 15 K, the lattice parameters of the block AF phase for the superconducting and insulating samples are $a = 8.68535(6)$ Å, $c = 14.0054(2)$ Å and $a = 8.68403(5)$ Å, $c = 14.0084(2)$ Å, respectively. The lattice parameters for the K$_x$Fe$_{1.6+\delta}$Se$_2$ phase are $a = 5.3966(3)$, $b = 5.3653(3)$, and $c = 14.043(1)$ Å.

FIG. 2. (Color online) Region of powder diffraction spectra with corresponding single phase fits for the (a) insulating and (b) superconducting sample, each fit with an $I4/mmm$ symmetry phase at 590 K and $I4/m$′ symmetry phase at 15 K.
of the unit cell [see inset in Fig. 3(a)] twists and contracts, leading to a slightly different intra- (light) and intersquare (dark) Fe-Fe bond distance [10–13]. Indeed, this is observed as two marked peaks near 2.7 angstroms in the PDF analysis of the insulating $K_xFe_{1.6+x}Se_2$ [Fig. 3(a)], where the integrated peak intensity indicates the population density of atomic pairs in the sample. Figure 3(b) shows the same PDF analysis for the superconducting $K_xFe_{1.6+x}Se_2$. Although we still see the same splitting of the two Fe-Fe bond distances, the intensity ratio of the split peaks differs between compositions. For a pure $\sqrt{5} \times \sqrt{5}$ iron vacancy phase with $I4/m$ symmetry, one would expect integrated intensity of these peaks to be in a 2:1 ratio reflecting the number of such atomic pairs in the unit cell [Fig. 3(a)]. While a quantitative comparison is difficult, a qualitative comparison to the superconducting and insulating samples is still instructive. In Fig. 3(b) for the superconducting sample, we see that the intensity of $[\text{Fe-Fe}]_1$ is substantially larger compared to the same peak in the insulator despite comparable intensity of the $[\text{Fe-Fe}]_2$ peak. This discrepancy can only be understood assuming the presence of an additional phase in the superconducting sample with one Fe-Fe bond distance, consistent with Rietveld analysis shown in Fig. 2.

If we assume that the superconducting $K_xFe_{1.6+x}Se_2$ is phase pure in the high temperature paramagnetic state, it would be interesting to understand how it becomes phase separated at low temperatures. In a recent TEM paper [21], it was argued that the superconducting phase in $K_xFe_{1.6+x}Se_2$ has a chemical composition of $K_{0.5}Fe_2Se_2$ and originates from spinodal phase separation around $T \approx 540$ K to form a fragmented Archimedean solidlike framework embedded in the insulating $K_{0.4}Fe_{1.6}Se_2$ matrix. These results are consistent with previous x-ray diffraction findings which suggest phase separation [24]. While this scenario is not in conflict with our data, we present another possible picture in Figs. 1(e)–1(g) showing the temperature evolution of the crystal structures for superconducting $K_xFe_{1.6+x}Se_2$. At high temperature, the entire sample is in the high symmetry $I4/mmm$ phase. At this temperature, the iron atoms are extremely mobile resulting in a homogeneous iron deficient iron pnictide structure [Fig. 1(e)]. When cooled below $T_s$, a $\sqrt{5} \times \sqrt{5}$ iron vacancy ordered phase with chemical composition $K_xFe_{1.6}Se_2$ forms due to its lower energy [33–35]. The excess iron in the high temperature paramagnetic tetragonal phase are segregated from the iron vacancy ordered main phase, resulting in $K_xFe_{2}Se_2$, which retains the iron pnictide structure [Figs. 1(f) and 1(g)]. In this picture, the rate of cooling and average Fe concentration will determine the final phase fractions as well as second phase stoichiometry. This picture is consistent with the hysteresis effects in superconducting volume fraction seen in the scanning electron microscopy measurements [36].

To quantitatively determine the effect of annealing on the insulating and superconducting of $K_xFe_{1.6+x}Se_2$, we carried out careful Rietveld analysis of the temperature evolution of the neutron diffraction spectra. Figure 4 summarizes the outcome for the insulating $K_{0.78}Fe_{1.58}Se_2$. In an ideal $\sqrt{5} \times \sqrt{5}$ block AF structure, there should be full occupancy at iron site 1 ($Fe_1$) and full vacancy at iron site 2 ($Fe_2$) as shown in Fig. 4(d) [10–13]. Figure 4(a) shows no hysteresis in temperature dependence of the lattice parameters across the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$ = 15 K</th>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>$y$</th>
<th>$z$</th>
<th>$U_{iso}$ (Å$^2$)</th>
<th>Occ.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$K_1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.58(9)</td>
<td>0.797(8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_2$</td>
<td>0.3944</td>
<td>0.2141</td>
<td>0.0915</td>
<td>0.1434</td>
<td>0.51(2)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Fe_1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.42(2)</td>
<td>0.32(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Fe_2$</td>
<td>0.1110</td>
<td>0.3103</td>
<td>0.1443</td>
<td>0.51(2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 1 (86.39%)</td>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.51(1)</td>
<td>1.00(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Se</td>
<td>0.3553</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.32(2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 3. (Color online) Pair distribution function at base temperature of the insulating and superconducting samples. (a) The insulator at base temperature is fit using only the $I4/m$ symmetry phase (inset, blue line). The split in the Fe-Fe peak results from a contraction of $[\text{Fe-Fe}]_1$ and rotation of inner iron square. (b) The superconductor requires an additional phase, here fit with $Fmmm$ symmetry (inset, green line) and disordered Fe vacancies. The Fe-Fe bond distance in the additional phase matches the $[\text{Fe-Fe}]_2$ distance, resulting in an increase of intensity relative to $[\text{Fe-Fe}]_1$. |
block AF (I4/m') to paramagnetic tetragonal (I4/mmm) structural phase transition. Figure 4(b) shows temperature dependence of the ordered magnetic moment, which clearly increases at 300 K after warming up above $T_c$. From Fig. 4(c), we see that the significant iron occupation (∼30%) in the as-grown $K_{0.78}Fe_{1.58}Se_2$ almost vanishes upon warming up to 450 K, suggesting a wide temperature region of iron mobility, enabling an ideal $\sqrt{5} \times \sqrt{5}$ block AF structure that increases the Fe ordered moment.

Figure 5 summarizes similar Rietveld analysis for the superconducting $K_yFe_{1.6+x}Se_2$. The lattice parameters of the block AF and iron pnictide phases merge together above $T_c$, consistent with a pure paramagnetic tetragonal phase [Fig. 5(a)]. Figure 5(b) shows temperature dependence of the ordered moments for the block AF structure, which again reveals a clear increase at 300 K after warming up to above $T_c$ similar to the insulating $K_{0.78}Fe_{1.58}Se_2$ [Fig. 4(b)]. Temperature dependence of the Fe$_1$ and Fe$_2$ occupancies for the block AF phase is shown in Fig. 5(c), behaving again similarly as that of the insulating $K_{0.78}Fe_{1.58}Se_2$ [Fig. 4(c)]. Figure 5(d) plots the temperature dependence of the volume fraction for the block AF phase. We see that the annealing process slightly increases the insulating volume fraction at the expense of the superconducting phase in $K_yFe_{1.6+x}Se_2$. Comparison of the lattice parameters of the superconducting $K_yFe_{1.6+x}Se_2$
TABLE II. Comparison of the in-plane lattice parameters of FeSe under pressure (Ref. [37]) and that of the superconducting K$_x$Fe$_2$Se$_2$ relative to room temperature BaFe$_2$As$_2$ (Ref. [38]).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Pressure/Temperature</th>
<th>$a$ (ortho)</th>
<th>$\Delta a%$</th>
<th>$T_c$ (K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FeSe</td>
<td>0.25 GPa</td>
<td>5.2952</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 GPa</td>
<td>5.1749</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 GPa</td>
<td>5.1010</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9 GPa</td>
<td>5.0835</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 GPa</td>
<td>5.0470</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_x$Fe$_2$Se$_2$</td>
<td>590 K</td>
<td>5.580</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 K</td>
<td>5.381</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

with those of FeSe under pressure (Table II) reveals that the superconducting K$_x$Fe$_{1.6+x}$Se$_2$ has lattice parameters similar to those of compressed FeSe [37]. Furthermore, the in-plane compression in the second phase and its corresponding $T_c$ fits well with the trend in FeSe. This may explain the enhanced $T_c$ of K$_x$Fe$_{1.6+x}$Se$_2$ compared with FeSe and suggests that superconductivity in these systems is related.

III. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have used neutron scattering to systematically study insulating and superconducting K$_x$Fe$_{1.6+x}$Se$_2$. By carefully comparing the observed diffraction spectra at different temperatures, we conclude that both the insulating and superconducting samples are phase pure in the para-magnetic state with the tetragonal iron pnictide structure. While the insulating sample with the chemical composition of K$_{0.78}$Fe$_{1.58}$Se$_2$ is still phase pure in the low temperature state and forms a $\sqrt{5} \times \sqrt{5}$ block AF structure, the superconducting K$_{0.70}$Fe$_{1.64}$Se$_2$ phase separates into the insulating K$_{0.79}$Fe$_{1.59}$Se$_2$ with block AF structure and superconducting K$_{0.33}$Fe$_{2}$Se$_2$ with a weakly orthorhombic iron pnictide structure. The crystal structure of the superconducting phase in K$_x$Fe$_{1.6+x}$Se$_2$ is consistent with the crystal structure of alkali-ammonia intercalated superconducting FeSe compounds if one neglects the ammonia-molecular constituent [39,40]. This suggests that the superconducting phase in K$_x$Fe$_{1.6+x}$Se$_2$ and ammonia-intercalated FeSe compounds has the same crystalline structure. Our temperature dependent measurements of crystal structural and volume hysteresis suggest that phase separation is an intrinsic phenomenon in the iron rich K$_x$Fe$_{1.6+x}$Se$_2$. Therefore, superconductivity appears in the K$_{0.70}$Fe$_{1.64}$Se$_2$ phase with iron pnictide structure, and does not occur in the $\sqrt{8} \times \sqrt{10}$ [20] or rhombus [23] iron vacancy ordered phase.
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