Sub-100 nm Gold Nanomatryoshkas Improve Photo-thermal Therapy Efficacy in Large and Highly Aggressive Triple Negative Breast Tumors
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Abstract

There is an unmet need for efficient near-infrared photothermal transducers for the treatment of highly aggressive cancers and large tumors where the penetration of light can be substantially reduced, and the intra-tumoral nanoparticle transport is restricted due to the presence of hypoxic or necrotic regions. We report the performance advantages obtained by sub 100 nm gold nanomatryushkas, comprising of concentric gold-silica-gold layers compared to conventional ~150 nm silica core gold nanoshells for photothermal therapy of triple negative breast cancer. We demonstrate that a 33% reduction in silica-core-gold-shell nanoparticle size, while retaining near-infrared plasmon resonance, and keeping the nanoparticle surface charge constant, results in a four to five fold tumor accumulation of nanoparticles following equal dose of injected gold for both sizes. The survival time of mice bearing large (>1000 mm3) and highly aggressive triple negative breast tumors is doubled for the nanomatryushka treatment group under identical photo-thermal therapy conditions. The higher absorption cross-section of a nanomatryoshka results in a higher efficiency of photonic to thermal energy conversion and coupled with 4-5X accumulation within large tumors results in superior therapy efficacy.
INTRODUCTION

NIR light based nanoparticle-mediated photothermal ablation is a rapidly evolving tool for cancer therapy and compared to conventional treatments including surgery, radiation therapy, and chemotherapy, nanoparticle mediated thermal ablation is minimally invasive, relatively robust with respect to acquired or de novo drug resistance, and offers substantially reduced off-target effects.[1-8] Nanoparticles effective as photothermal transducers are designed to efficiently convert incident light to heat, and ablate malignant cells in nanoparticle vicinity, upon illumination in the favorable NIR region.[9] Gold nanoshells were the first successful NIR photothermal transducers[1], and the NIR guided ablation approaches have been extended to nanoparticles such as gold nanorods[4], gold nanocages[7], hollow gold nanoshells[5], carbon nanotubes[6], and graphene[8], which reproduce NIR plasmonic resonance in a variety of geometries and size regimes.

Gold nanoparticles exhibit NIR activated photothermal activity due to their geometry dependent plasmon resonance,[1, 7, 10] resulting from collective oscillation of surface electrons upon excitation with light at the resonance frequency. Gold is also attractive because due to its inertness, biocompatibility, low cytotoxicity and long history of medical use. ∼150 nm silica core gold nanoshells are one of the most studied NIR plasmonic photothermal therapy agents with high photothermal conversion efficiency[10], along with non-cytotoxicity and biocompatibility of their component materials, that has led to their approval for initial clinical trials for head and neck cancer treatment.[11] In contrast, ∼50 nm gold nanorods can also offer NIR plasmon resonances; however, they are constrained due to their synthesis procedures which involve high concentrations of cytotoxic cetyltrimethylammonium (CTAB) as a surfactant. CTAB needs to be displaced before therapeutic use and it often results in reshaping and/or irreversible aggregation of nanorods. Nanorod surface functionalization is as a result much more involved than nanoshells.[12-14]

Other sub 50 nm nanostructures based on hollow shell or cage geometries have also been proposed. These agents utilize a sacrificial cobalt or silver core during synthesis, which can raise safety concerns due to leaching of core material,[15] and scalable batch synthesis is difficult.[16, 17],[18] Considering the safety, biocompatibility, and scalable synthesis concerns, silica core gold nanoshells are the mainstay of NIR photothermal therapy but they are constrained by ∼150 nm size requirements for NIR resonance, providing motivation for development of sub 100 nm silica-gold structures which retain the efficacy and biocompatibility advantages of nanoshells. Similar to gold nanoshells, Gold nanomatrioshkas comprise of silica and gold but with crucial shape differences. Nanomatrioshkas consist of a silica layered Au core, further coated with a thin gold shell. [19] Plasmonic interaction between the Au core and the Au shell, can be exploited by varying the three core-shell radii involved and NIR resonance achieved at smaller length scales than conventional SiO₂/Au nanoshells. This development of sub-100 nm
nanomatryoshkas with identical surface functionalization as gold nanoshells is instrumental for enhanced tumor accumulation and much increased photothermal conversion upon NIR excitation.

Breast cancer treatment is an attractive avenue for the application of NIR imaging and therapeutic methods because of relatively shallow tissue depth of both the primary disease and its loco-regional lymph node metastases, coupled with the low NIR absorption and scattering of breast tissue, which enable light propagation up to multiple centimeters.[20] The standard treatment protocol for breast cancer involves surgery accompanied by chemotherapy and/or radiation treatment. Much of the recent progress in cancer therapy has involved the treatment of early stage disease by this approach.[21, 22] However, the past decade has seen a significant change in the statistics of breast cancer diagnosis. Since 2000, the incidence rate of smaller tumors (≤2.0 cm) among women of all races has declined by 3.3% per year and by 1.1% per year during 2006-2010, while the incidence rate of larger tumors (2.1-5 cm and >5.0 cm) has remained stable or increased by approximately 0.7 - 1.1 % per year. Larger tumor size at diagnosis is associated with distant metastases and decreased survival, dropping from the 5-year survivability rate of 95% for tumors ≤2 cm to 65% for tumors >5 cm.[23] Clearly, the standard treatment is significantly less effective for patients with more advanced stages of the disease. Since currently more than 75% of all breast cancer diagnoses occur at more advanced stages,[23] it is imperative that effective therapeutic strategies for breast cancer beyond early stage disease be developed. In this light, we report efficacy studies on tumors about 10-70 fold larger than the average size used in any previous study of photothermal therapy efficacy assisted by representative classes of nanoparticles (see Table 1). Almost all reported nanoparticle mediated studies are on sub 200 mm$^3$ xenografted tumors, which are typically well vascularized and do not pose the transport barriers expected in advanced disease. We believe that the large tumors are a clinically relevant model to advanced, localized cancers.

Here we report sub-100 nm gold nanomatryoshkas as efficient photothermal transducers for the therapy of large (~1000 mm$^3$) tumors of a highly-aggressive triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) variant. The subtype TNBC is characterized by the lack of estrogen receptor, progesterone receptor, and epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2).[24] The lack of these receptors is associated with high aggressiveness, poor prognosis, shorter survival, and non-response to endocrine therapies and immune therapy against HER2.[24-26] Further, inflammatory breast cancer, which exhibits local recurrence post surgery and radiation therapy is also frequently of the triple negative type. [27] While fatalities in breast cancer are mostly due to distant organ metastasis, treatment of local disease and local lymph node removal has a high morbidity and treatment related cosmetic challenges, in addition to the chances of developing post surgery lymphedema.[28] Local nanoparticle enabled photothermal therapy can substantially improve the quality of life of breast cancer patients, due to its non-ionizing, repeatable, and externally controlled nature. Active targeting of nanomedicines to TNBC has not been convincingly demonstrated, thus enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect is the only means for particle uptake in tumors following systemic delivery, and multiple EPR based diagnostic and therapeutic nanoparticles have been proposed, utilizing a variety of modalities and nanoparticle materials.[29-31] As EPR-based nanoparticle accumulation in tumors is dependent on
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particle size,[32, 33] it is essential to obtain sub 100 nm sizes. In this study, we demonstrate that using sub-100 nm gold nanomatryoshkas enhances accumulation in large tumors (>1000 mm³) and improves survival time of mice after photothermal treatment relative to the treatment with the standard SiO₂/Au nanoshells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Nanoshell and Nanomatryushka Synthesis

Gold nanoshells were synthesized by standard methods developed by our group and described in detail elsewhere.[42, 43] Gold nanomatryoshkas were synthesized by our previously reported method with several improvements.[19] First, the Au colloid (40 nm citrate NanoXact Gold, nanoComposix) was coated with silica doped with 3-aminopropyl triethoxysilane (APTES) by a modified Stöber process. For this reaction the chemicals were added to an Erlenmeyer flask with a ground glass joint under magnetic stirring in the following order: 1) 21 mL of Au colloid (7.0 × 10¹⁰ particles/mL, citrate-capped 40 nm Au sphere, NanoComposix), 2) 180 mL of 200 proof ethanol (Decon Labs), 3) 1.8 mL of ammonium hydroxide (28-30%, EMD Chemicals), 4) 36 μL of a solution of 10% tetraethoxysilane (TEOS, SIT7110.2, Gelest) in ethanol and, 5) 36 μL of 10% APTES (SIA0610.1, Gelest) in ethanol. The reaction was capped and stirred 50 min at room temperature followed by stirring 24 h at 4 C. Then, the solution was dialyzed for 12 h in 1 gallon of 200 proof ethanol using a dialysis membrane (Spectra/Por 6, MWCO = 10000, Spectrum Labs) previously washed with MilliQ grade water to remove residual chemicals and then washed with ethanol to remove excess water. The purpose of dialysis is to remove ammonium hydroxide and the remaining free silanes (TEOS and APTES) from the reaction to decrease aggregation of nanoparticles during centrifugation. The solution was cooled to 4 C and centrifuged 45 min at 2000 rcf using 50 mL plastic tubes. The supernatant was removed and the pellet was redispersed by sonication in a total volume of 4 mL of ethanol. Centrifugation can be repeated when red color is still observed in the supernatant to recoup more particles. The product obtained here are the APTES-doped silica-coated gold colloid.

Next, the synthesis of the seeded precursor consists of the functionalization of APTES-doped silica surface with Duff colloid (1-2 nm) fabricated by the method described in detail elsewhere.[42, 44] First, the APTES-doped silica-coated gold colloids are sonicated for 20 min. Then, in a 50 mL plastic centrifuge tube, 20 mL of Duff colloid solution was added, followed by rapid, simultaneous addition of 300 μL of 1 M NaCl and 1 mL of APTES-doped silica-coated gold colloid. The solution was quickly vortexed and sonicated for 30 min. The resulting mixture was incubated 4 days at room temperature and solution was gently shaken and sonicated 20 min once at day. During the incubation the silica layer is reduced in thickness by chemical etching (hydrolysis) and densely covered with Duff colloids. After the incubation, before centrifuging the solutions were sonicated for 20 min, and then centrifuged 45 min at 700 rcf. The supernatant was centrifuged again. The pellets were recovered and redispersed in 800 μL of water by sonication 5 min. The solution were centrifuged again for 30 min at 700 rcf and redispersed in water by sonication 5 min. Centrifugation was repeated but particles were redispersed and combined in a total volume of ~1 mL of water. This particle is the seeded precursor used for seeded growth of the outer Au shell as described in
This last step uses the same method to synthesize the Au nanoshells.

**Functionalization of nanoparticles with thiol-PEG**

Nanoparticle solutions (about 300 mL of each nanoparticle) were centrifuged, nanoshells at 240 rcf for 30 min and nanomatryoshkas at 200 rcf for 30 min. Nanoparticles were redispersed in 10 mL of Milli-Q water and functionalized with a final concentration of 100 μM thiol-PEG (mPEG-SH, MW 10000, Laysan Bio) for 12 h. The nanoparticle solutions were sterilized using a 0.8/0.2 μm pore size syringe filters (PALL Acrodisc PF 32 mm). The nanoparticle solutions were centrifuged (nanoshells 280 rcf for 30 min and nanomatryoshkas 240 rcf for 30 min) and re-dispersed in 4 mL of sterile 1 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.3. The Au content was measured in each sample by ICP-MS and Au concentration was adjusted to 1.5 mg of Au/mL.

**Triple Negative Breast Cancer Xenografts**

Luciferase transfected MDA-MB-231LM2 cells first described in Minn et. al. [45] were maintained in DMEM media (Sigma®), with 10% FBS (Gibco®) and 1% penicillin and streptomycin (Lonza®) and incubated in 5% CO₂ at 37 C. Cells were routinely maintained by passaging when they became 80% confluent. Cells were counted and suspended in sterile PBS to the desired cell number concentration (5×10⁷ cells/ml) for injection via procedure described in [46]. Briefly, 4-5 week old female athymic nude mice were sourced from Harlan Sprague Dawley. 1×10⁷ cells in a total volume of 200 μL were injected subcutaneously in the fat pack of the ribcage on one side. Tumor growth was monitored every two days by measurement with a digital caliper and the tumor volume was calculated with the formula: tumor volume = ½ (length x width²).

**Photothermal therapy efficacy experiments**

Tumors were allowed to grow to ~1000 mm³, then 200 μL of either PEG conjugated nanomatryoshkas (NM-PEG), PEG conjugated nanoshells (NS-PEG) or a saline solution were injected into the tail vein. Four hours after injection, the mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and the tumor in the treatment groups (with mouse number n=9 for NM-PEG, n=9 for NS-PEG, and n=5 for saline solution injections) was treated for five minutes with a CW-diode laser (Diomed 15Plus, Angio Dynamics) emitting 3 W/cm² at a wavelength of 808 nm. The beam was expanded (~1.2 cm diameter illuminated region) to cover the tumor surface in its entirety and total time duration of treatment was 5 minutes. During treatment a needle based thermocouple probe was inserted in the tumor core and temperature elevations were recorded for each treatment group. Three mice in each group (saline, NM-PEG, and NS-PEG injected) were left untreated as additional controls. Following treatment, mice were regularly monitored by bioluminescence imaging and manual tumor size measurements. When the tumors reached a volume of 2000 mm³ the mice were euthanized via CO₂.

**Quantitative Bio-distribution Studies**

When tumors reached the same volume (~1000 mm³) as for the photothermal therapy treatment, 18 mice were randomly divided into two groups with 9 mice per group. One
group was injected with 200 μL NM-PEG and the other group was injected with NS-PEG with equal dose of gold (300 ug). Four hours, 24 h and 72 h after injection, three mice per group were sacrificed. Brain, heart, lung, liver, spleen, gut, kidney, blood and tumor were collected, the organs were washed in PBS and stored at −80 °C until further investigation. For the gold content analysis, the organs were weighted and digested in ~2 mL of aqua regia. The samples were purified and diluted in 10 mL 1% aqua regia for inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS, Perkin Elmer) analysis. The experiments were carried out in three independent runs for statistical analysis.

**Histopathology**

Small tumor parts were washed in PBS, held in 10% buffered formalin for 24 h, washed in PBS (3× for 20 min) and then kept in 70% ethanol. For the staining, the organs were fixed in paraffin blocks and then cut with a microtome. Vasculature was stained with CD34 (lifespan biosciences) and Alexa Fluor®594 (Molecular Probes®, Invitrogen) and the nucleus was stained with DAPI (Vectors). H&E staining was performed with hematoxylin and eosin from Sigma-Aldrich. Dark field and fluorescence microscopy of tumor sections were conducted with an Olympus BX 41 microscope with an 40× NA0.6 objective and the bright field microscopy was conducted with a Zeis Axioplan 2 microscope.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### Gold nanomatrioshkas and nanoshells size and charge characterization

Gold nanomatrioshkas were synthesized by modifying a previously published synthesis.[19] A key modification was to dope the silica layer grown on the gold core with 3-aminopropyl triethoxysilane (APTES) during the SiO$_2$ synthesis, which allows binding of gold colloid (1-2 nm in diameter) at the surface of silica layer. These gold colloids act as seeds for the synthesis of the epi-gold layer of the nanomatrioshka. The silica thickness was precisely controlled by a two step process: (i) first grow a ~16 nm silica layer on the Au core and (ii) then etch the silica layer to 10 nm by hydrolysis. Silica core/Au shell nanoshells were synthesized by standard methods previously published. Plasmon resonances in both gold nanomatrioshkas and nanoshells are highly tunable to NIR wavelengths by controlling their core and shell dimensions.[19, 43] The extinction spectrum of gold nanoshells and nanomatrioshkas is shown in Figure 1B. Gold nanomatrioshkas show two plasmon modes: 1) a low-energy plasmon subradiant mode at 783 nm and 2) a high-energy superradiant plasmon mode at 560 nm; these nanomatrioshkas have dimensions $[r_1, r_2, r_3] = [21, 31, 44]$ nm. Nanoshells had the average dimensions $[r_1, r_2] = [62, 76]$ nm obtained from SEM images of over 300 SiO$_2$ core particles and 300 nanoshells. Figures 1C and 1D depict the TEM images of NM and NS, respectively. Decreasing the shell thickness facilitates an interaction between the inner and outer part of the metallic shell thus shifting the Plasmon resonance in the NIR region of spectrum. [43] The extinction spectrum of gold nanoshells and nanomatrioshkas is shown in Figure 1B.

A key parameter for photo-thermal therapy application is the surface charge, which in turn controls the circulation time following systemic injection of nanoparticles. Nanomatrioshkas and nanoshells were functionalized with thiol-polyethylene glycol (thiol-
PEG) of molecular weight 10 kDa to render the nanoparticle surface biocompatible and increase the circulation time in the bloodstream of the mice. PEGylation is a well known and popular strategy for increasing the biocompatibility and tailoring the surface characteristics of gold nanoparticles.[47] The hydrodynamic diameter for NM-PEG and NS-PEG was measured to be 137 nm and 195 nm respectively. The surface charge for both the particles following PEGylation was ∼−5 mV. A close to neutral surface charge has been reported in literature as contributory to longer circulation time in the bloodstream, better tumor penetration and evasion of the RES.[48, 49]

Bio-distribution of nanomatryoshkas and nanoshells
Nanomatryoshkas and nanoshells were injected in mice bearing ~1000 mm\(^3\) TNBC xenografts. The dose injected for both nanoparticles was 200 μL at the concentration of 1.5 mg/mL of Au, which is equivalent to 300 μg of Au. Using the density of gold (19.3 g/cm\(^3\)) we calculated that this dose of Au is equivalent to 5.7×10\(^{10}\) nanomatryoshkas with dimensions \([r_1, r_2, r_3] = [21, 31, 44]\) nm and 1.9×10 nanoshells with dimensions \([r_1, r_2] = [62, 76]\) nm. Mice were sacrificed at 4 h, 24 h, and 72 h post-injection and the gold content in each tumor was quantified by ICP-MS. Gold content in organs are shown in Figure-2. At all time points the gold content in μg of Au per g of tumor is about twice higher for nanomatryoshkas than for nanoshells. The mass of Au was converted to the number of nanoparticles in the tumor; results are shown in Figure 2D. Considering the physical dimensions of each type of particle and density of gold (19.3 g/cm\(^3\)) we calculated that the mass of Au in a single nanomatryoshka is 5.22 × 10\(^{-9}\) μg and for a nanoshell is 1.62 × 10\(^{-8}\) μg. Overall, there is ~4.5-6.5 times the number of nanomatryoshkas than nanoshells in the tumor at all time points even though we injected an equal mass of gold. These higher accumulation of nanomatryoshkas in tumor relative to nanoshells is due to their smaller size, which facilitates better penetration into tissue.

Photothermal therapy efficacy
Figure-3 reports the therapy efficacy results for the mice assigned to three experimental groups: 1) NM injection plus laser treatment (n=9), 2) NS injection plus laser treatment (n=9), 3) saline solution injection plus laser treatment (n=5). In addition, three mice per group injected with NM, NS, or saline, but not treated with laser were also observed. All the mice received via tail vein injection 200 μL PEG conjugated nanomatryoshkas or nanoshells at equal Au dose (300 μg of Au), which is equivalent to 5.7×10\(^{10}\) nanomatryoshkas and 1.9×10\(^{10}\) nanoshells. Laser photothermal ablation of TNBC tumors was conducted 4 h after injection. Laser power was 3 W/cm\(^2\) for 5 min. The temperature in the tumor was probed while the treatment was conducted. Figure 3A shows the average maximum temperature change in the tumor after 5 min of laser treatment. After 5 min of laser treatment the average maximum temperature change in tumor was 25.3 ± 4.5 °C for “NM+laser” group, 19.2 ± 2.9 °C for “NS+laser” group, and 12.7 ± 3.1 °C for “saline+laser” group. Considering the average initial temperature before laser treatment was 32.6 ± 1.3 °C, the maximum average temperature in tumors was 57.9 ± 4.7 °C for “NM+laser” group, 51.8 ± 3.2 for “NS+laser” group and 45.3 ± 3.4 for “saline+laser” group. The temperature differences were statistically significant as reported by ANOVA analysis (p<0.05) performed with Prism software. (Prism 6 for Mac OS X, GraphPad Software, La Jolla California USA, www.graphpad.com) The
higher temperature increase in tumor treated with nanomatryoshkas is due to both 1) a higher accumulation of nanomatryoshkas and 2) a higher absorption cross-section of nanomatryoshkas than nanoshells. This higher temperature implies that more heat is produced and enables efficient cancer tumor ablation. Tumor sizes were monitored in mice after treatment and mice were euthanized when tumor size reached ≥2000 mm$^3$. At time of therapy the average size of tumors was substantially larger (~1000 mm$^3$) than in any other photothermal therapy efficacy experiment reported (~14-100 mm$^3$).[2, 4, 34, 35] These large tumors sizes mimic the tumor sizes commonly found in patients and provide a closer model for the efficacy of photothermal cancer therapy in the clinic.[50-54] The survival curves of mice are presented in Figure 3B for the treatment and control groups. The mean survival time for the non-laser treated saline group was 6.7 ± 3.2 days, 7.2 ± 4.0 days for the “saline +laser” group, 11.3 ± 3.8 days for the “NS+laser” group, and 15.8 ± 8.6 days for the “NM +laser” group. The survival for non-laser treated groups was similar or less than the saline +laser group, indicating the local and laser illumination controlled nature of therapy. The survival curves were compared with Log-rank (Mantel-Cox test) and Logrank test for trends, with p <0.05 for both. Analysis was performed with Prism software. (Prism 6 for Mac OS X, GraphPad Software, La Jolla California USA, www.graphpad.com) Our results show that gold nanomatryoshkas improve the efficacy of photothermal therapy compared with the nanoshells.

Time course of tumor viability following treatment

Imaging of luciferase bioluminescence can non-invasively track and evaluate the tumor response to the photothermal treatment (Figure-4). Mice injected with saline solution and treated with laser did not exhibit any therapeutic effect as the bioluminescence signal increased monotonically with time. In contrast, mice injected with nanoshells or nanomatryoshkas did present a loss of luciferase activity in the area illuminated by the laser. However, certain portions of tumors did not present with complete remission, these often include the irregular tumor edges. Growth of surviving cancer cells at the edge of the tumors causes a recovery of luciferase activity and tumor relapse. Mice treated with nanomatryoshkas in combination with laser exhibit a longer recovery time of luciferase activity than with nanoshells. In addition, the tumor growth was slower after treatment with nanomatryoshkas than nanoshells. This allowed longer survival time and longer periods of bioluminescence imaging for nanomatryoshkas. This effect can be directly related to higher accumulation of NM in tumors compared to NS. It should be noted that we performed only a single treatment with an expanded laser beam as the objective was to strictly compare only the effect of nanoparticle size while maintaining all other parameters constant. Since large tumors have an irregular structure, tailoring beam shape to specific cases can lead to improved therapy but in this case it would have violated the constancy of therapy conditions, which was carefully maintained for all the treatment groups. Multiple treatments or treatments with an interstitial laser fiber can lead to further improvement in therapy efficacy. Further, an interesting observation was that while the Saline+laser treatment tumors did exhibit temperature increases of ~12 degree C above baseline (Figure-3A), the luciferase activity didn’t decrease post treatment for any mice, indicating that hyperthermia in absence of nanoparticles had no effect on tumor viability.
**Histopathology**

The cancer cell morphology in tumors before and after laser treatment was investigated through fluorescence microscopy with co-localization of gold nanoparticles by dark field microscopy (Figure-5). The cell nucleus is stained in blue with DAPI; cell cytoplasm in red with Alexa Fluor®594 and gold nanoparticles are observed as yellow bright spots due to nanoparticle light scattering. The tumor samples for these studies were collected at the time of mice sacrifice, which was 6-7 days for non-laser treated mice, and 12-18 days for the laser treated mice depending upon the nanoparticle treatment group. After nanoparticle injection without laser treatment very few gold nanomatryoshkas or nanoshells were detectable in the tumors, most likely because the particles were already cleared out of the tumor at the time of mice euthanasia. In contrast, for the laser treated tumors the nanomatryoshkas and nanoshells were clearly detectable in the tissue most likely because thermal ablation causes fixation of gold nanoparticles in the tumor, and the vascular disruption leads to slow clearance of nanoparticles from tumors. Cancer cell morphology was disrupted with the laser treatment in presence of gold nanoparticles, but not in tumors with control saline solution injections. The hematoxylin and eosin staining confirmed cell destruction when the tumor is treated with Au nanoparticles and laser (Figure 5). But cells were morphologically intact in the absence of Au nanoparticles or laser. The continued presence of both NM and NS in tumors for up to 18 days in laser treated samples promises the potential of repeated therapy for ablating the relapsed tumor. H&E stained images indicated ablated and scarred regions for both NM and NS treated tumors, however, regions with complete absence of tumor cells, and regrowth of mammary fat cells was only observed in NM treated tumors.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Herein, we have reported for the first time the differences in tumor accumulation and therapy outcomes in an advanced triple negative breast cancer model with >1000 mm$^3$ tumors for two spherical gold-based Near-infrared photothermal transducer nanoparticles, where the only parameter varied was size. The elemental composition, nanoparticle surface coating/charge, and gold dose given to mice was kept constant. Gold nanomatryoshkas showed an improved photothermal therapy efficacy of TNBC when compared to gold nanoshells. The tumor uptake of nanomatryoshkas was 4-5 fold the number of nanoshells when both were injected at equal dose of Au mass. This higher tumor uptake is because nanomatryoshkas are smaller (~100 nm) in diameter than nanoshells (~150 nm). A key feature of presented study was the use of highly aggressive and >1000 mm$^3$ tumors at therapy initiation, which better mimics the locally advanced breast cancer in clinic. Nanoparticle based photo-thermal interventions in locally advanced breast cancer have the potential of reducing or even eliminating the tumors prior to surgery and reduce the treatment morbidity, especially for triple negative breast cancer, where targeted molecular therapies are not available. The limitations of the presented study include the restriction of therapy to primary tumor and a single representative TNBC cell line. These studies will gain further relevance in more advanced orthotopic breast cancer models based on patient derived tumor-grafts, and more extensive cell line panels. Clinically, the fatalities in breast cancer are primarily because of distant organ metastasis. NIR laser based photothermal therapy has...
a unique disadvantage in this regard due to the spatial scales in mice. Since the effective light envelope in tissue is of multiple centimeters from the source, it covers almost the entire mouse volume, and the clearance organs such as liver and spleen will also get ablated, resulted in mouse death. This limitation doesn't exist in humans because of large spatial scales. Hence mouse models are not ideal vehicles for photo-thermally treating organ metastasis, irrespective of the cell line or tumor model employed. The thrust of this paper is to compare the impact of nanoparticle size in advanced tumors following systemic delivery, and its downstream effect on photo-thermal therapy efficacy. These results are likely to be applicable for metastasis as well, but nude mouse models may not be the best for that purpose due to treatment related fatalities. Further improvements in therapy outcomes are possible with interstitial laser treatments and percutaneous interventional radiology based methods, which can extend the applications of phototherapy to internal organs in a minimally invasive manner. The development of non-toxic and stable sub 50 nm NIR resonant gold nanostructures in future can lead to similar improvements in tumor uptake and therapy efficacy over the 100 nm nanomatryushkas.
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Figure 1.
A. Schematic illustrating the structural differences between nanomatryushka ([r1, r2, r3] = [21, 31, 44] nm) and nanoshells ([r1, r2] = [62, 76] nm), B. Extinction spectra (a.u.) for NM and NS. C. TEM images of NM, and D. TEM images of NS.
Figure 2.
Bio-distribution of gold NM and NS in mice with large tumors (<1000 mm³). A) Mean gold content in organs after 4 h intravenous injection. B) Mean gold content in organs after 24 h intravenous injection and C) Mean gold content in organs after 72 h intravenous injection. D) Mean number of gold nanoparticles in the tumor per gram of wet tissue. Gold concentrations were analyzed by ICP-MS. The number of nanoparticles was calculated considering the mass of Au in a NM = 5.22 × 10⁻⁹ μg for NM dimensions \([r_1, r_2, r_3] = [21, 31, 44]\) nm and for NS = 1.62 × 10⁻⁸ μg of Au for NS with dimensions \([r_1, r_2] = [62, 76]\) nm. The gold content in tumors in μg of Au/g of wet tissue is plotted as log₁₀ scale for visual clarity of values in organs with low gold content. Error bars are drawn with standard error of mean.
Figure 3.
A) Mean temperature change in treated tumors under 5 min irradiation with a laser of wavelength at 808 nm and power of 3 W/cm$^2$, with error bars drawn with standard error B) Survival curves of tumor-bearing mice after photothermal therapy. The average survival times were 6.7 ± 3.2 days (for the “saline” group), 7.2 ± 4.0 days (for the “saline+laser” group), 11.3 ± 3.8 days (for the “NS+laser±” group), and 15.8 ± 8.6 days (for the “NM+laser” group). Standard error bounds are drawn with dashed lines. The results are statistically significant (p < 0.05)
Figure 4.
Evaluation of tumor response to photo-thermal therapy by bioluminescence imaging. The bioluminescence signal is generated only in living cancer cells as result of luciferase activity. The mice treated with laser and nanomatryoshka (NM) or nanoshells (NS) experienced loss of bioluminescence in the area illuminated by the laser spot as seen 1 day after therapy. However, there were viable cancer cells in the edges of the tumor post therapy, which cause tumor recurrence for the highly aggressive cell lines used in this experiment. Mice were euthanized when tumor volume reached 2000 mm$^3$. 
Figure 5.
Histopathology of tumor sections extracted from mice intravenously injected with gold nanoparticles or control saline solution. (Left panel) Fluorescence staining combined with dark field microscopy: cell nucleus is stained with DAPI in blue; cell cytoplasm stained with Alexa Fluor® 594 in red and gold nanoparticle can be observed as yellow bright spots due to the nanoparticle scattering in the dark field mode. (Right panel) Hematoxyline and Eosine (H&E) staining. The cell morphology in all non-treated tumors, specially the nucleus, is observed intact. However, after laser treatment in presence of gold nanomatryoshkas or nanoshells the cell morphology is disrupted.
Table I

Average tumor volume and diameter used in this study compared with previous photothermal therapy efficacy reports for representative photo-thermal transducers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume (mm$^3$)</th>
<th>Diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Nanoparticle type</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>11.5-15</td>
<td>Nanomatriyoshka</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-83</td>
<td>3-5.5</td>
<td>Nanoshells</td>
<td>[2, 34, 35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-100</td>
<td>3-6</td>
<td>Nanorods</td>
<td>[4, 36, 37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Carbon nanotubes</td>
<td>[6, 38, 39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-100</td>
<td>4.5-6</td>
<td>Graphene</td>
<td>[8, 40, 41]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>