Electron doping evolution of the neutron spin resonance in NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As
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I. INTRODUCTION

Although the microscopic origin of superconductivity remains unresolved nearly 30 years after the discovery of high-transition temperature (high-$T_c$) copper oxides [1], it is generally believed that spin fluctuation mediated electron pairing is a common thread for unconventional superconductors including copper oxides, iron pnictides, and heavy fermions. In this paper, we study the doping evolution of the resonances in NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As covering the entire superconducting dome. For the underdoped compositions, two resonance modes coexist. As doping increases, the low-energy resonance gradually loses its spectral weight to the high-energy one but remains at the same energy. By contrast, in the overdoped regime we only find one single resonance, which acquires a broader width in both energy and momentum but retains approximately the same peak position even when $T_c$ drops by nearly a half compared to optimal doping. These results suggest that the energy of the resonance in electron overdoped NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As is neither simply proportional to $T_c$ nor the superconducting gap but is controlled by the multiorbital character of the system and doped impurity scattering effect.

Neutron spin resonance, a collective magnetic excitation coupled to superconductivity, is one of the most prominent features shared by a broad family of unconventional superconductors including copper oxides, iron pnictides, and heavy fermions. In this paper, we study the doping evolution of the resonances in NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As covering the entire superconducting dome. For the underdoped compositions, two resonance modes coexist. As doping increases, the low-energy resonance gradually loses its spectral weight to the high-energy one but remains at the same energy. By contrast, in the overdoped regime we only find one single resonance, which acquires a broader width in both energy and momentum but retains approximately the same peak position even when $T_c$ drops by nearly a half compared to optimal doping. These results suggest that the energy of the resonance in electron overdoped NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As is neither simply proportional to $T_c$ nor the superconducting gap but is controlled by the multiorbital character of the system and doped impurity scattering effect.
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FIG. 1. (a) The electronic phase diagram of NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As, where the arrow indicates the Co-doping levels studied in this paper. The gray shaded area marks the Co-doping dependence of $T_c$. The region with AF order is represented by the green shaded area. The open circles are energies of the first resonance $E_{\alpha 1}$, and the filled circles and stars are energies of the second resonance $E_{\beta 1}$. The yellow shaded area indicates approximate range of the above three compositions. The color indicates different orbitals.

nor with $\Delta$ via $E_x/(\Delta_+ + \Delta_-) = 0.64$ [19-21], thus suggesting that the multiorbital character and the interband nonmagnetic impurity scattering due to Co doping in NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As play an important role in determining the properties of the resonance.

II. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We grew single crystals of NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As by self-flux method as described before [29]. The sample quality has been characterized by various techniques, which found that bulk superconductivity appears in the doping range of $0.12 \leq x \leq 0.1$ [15]. Our inelastic neutron scattering experiments were carried out over the entire doping range as shown by vertical arrows in Fig. 1(a). The measurements were performed on the HB-1 and HB-3 thermal triple-axis spectrometers at High Flux Isotope Reactor, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, and SPINS cold triple-axis spectrometer at the NIST Center for Neutron Research. Pyrolytic graphite (PG) monochromator and analyzer were used with fixed final neutron energies at $E_f = 14.7$ meV and $E_f = 5$ meV for thermal and cold neutron measurements, respectively. The corresponding energy resolutions are $\Delta E \approx 1.2$ meV and $\Delta E \approx 0.15$ meV, respectively, at the AF ordering elastic position. Several pieces of crystals coaligned with a total mass of $\sim 10$ g and the mosaic of $\sim 3^\circ$ were used in each experiment. The wave vector $Q$ at $(q_x, q_y, q_z)$ in Å$^{-1}$ is defined as $(H, K, L) = (q_x/a/2\pi, q_y/b/2\pi, q_z/c/2\pi)$ in reciprocal lattice unit (r.l.u) using the orthorhombic unit cell where $a \approx b \approx 5.589$ Å and $c \approx 6.980$ Å at 3 K. The samples are aligned in the $[H, 0, L]$ scattering zone, where the resonance occurs at the AF wave vector $Q_{AF} = (1, 0)$, consistent with the Fermi surface nesting wave vector shown in Fig. 1(e) [27,28]. Some measurements are carried out in the $[H, K, 0]$ scattering plane.

To systematically investigate the electron-doping evolution of the double resonance in the underdoped regime [27], we first focus on a series of compositions from $x = 0.012$ to $x = 0.0175$ [Figs. 2(a)-2(d)]. Similar to previous neutron scattering work [4,19], we define resonance as the intensity gain of magnetic scattering in the superconducting state. For this purpose, energy scans are carried out at fixed

FIG. 2. The neutron resonances in NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As as a function of increasing $x$, obtained as the difference of the energy scans above and below $T_c$ at the wave vectors $Q_{AF} = (1, 0, L)$ with $L = 0, 0.5, 1.5$ (a)-(e) and $Q = (1, 0, L)$ with $L = 0, 0.1$ (f)-(j). (a),(f) $x = 0.012$ (UD); (b),(g) $x = 0.0135$ (UD); (c),(h) $x = 0.015$ (UD); (d),(i) $x = 0.0175$ (UD); (e),(j) $x = 0.025$ (OP). The plots are obtained directly by subtracting the superconducting state energy scan from those in the normal state without correcting for background, as is commonly done for determining the energy of the resonance [3-6]. The solid lines are fits with two Gaussians. The vertical dashed lines denote the low-energy resonance at $E_{\alpha 1}$ in (a)-(d) and (f)-(i). The negative intensity below the resonance indicates the opening of a spin gap below $T_c$. The vertical arrows indicate the peak positions of the high-energy resonance $E_{\beta 1}$ at $Q_{AF} = (1, 0, L)$ with $L = 0.5, 1.5$. The panels (a)-(d) show the low-energy resonance at $Q = (1, 0, 0)$ with $L = 0$ for underdoped (UD), optimally doped (OD), and highly overdoped (HD). (e)-(g) Schematic plots of the Fermi surfaces for the above three compositions. The color indicates different orbitals. The anisotropic superconducting gap $\Delta_{1\alpha}$ on the electron pockets in the underdoped compounds become isotropic on the overdoped side [16].
we see clear evidence for AF order below bulk superconductivity. The sample has $T_N$ of $\sim 35$ K and $T_c \approx 11$ K. The data was collected on SPINS.

wave vectors below and above $T_c$, and the net intensity gain of the scattering below $T_c$ is ascribed to the resonance. In the case of NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As, previous work has shown that the resonance occurs at slightly different energies at the AF zone center $Q_{AF} = (1,0,L)$ with $L = 0.5,1.5$ and zone boundary with $L = 0,1$ [27]. We have therefore carried out systematic measurements at these two wave vectors for all Co-doping levels. Figures 2(a) and 2(f) show the outcome for NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As with $x = 0.012$, when the system first becomes near the bulk superconducting phase [15]. The temperature difference plot shows a resonance peak at $E_r \approx 75$ meV, at $T_c = 11$ K [Figs. 2(b) and 2(g)], a second resonance mode with a broad width appears for both $Q_{AF} = (1,0.15)$ and $Q_{AF} = (1,0,0.5)$. These results suggest that the energy of the first resonance is not directly associated with $k_B T_c$.

At higher doping levels, $x = 0.0135$ [Figs. 2(b) and 2(g)], $x = 0.015$ [Figs. 2(c) and 2(h)], and $x = 0.175$ [Figs. 2(d) and 2(i)], a second resonance mode with a broad width appears at a higher energy $E_{r2}$. As the superconducting transition temperature $T_c$ increases with increasing Co doping, $E_{r2}$ also increases, whereas $E_r1$ stays at almost the same energy for $Q_{AF} = (1,0.0,5)$. These results suggest that the spectral weight of the low-energy resonance gradually shifts to the high-energy one with increasing Co doping. Near optimal doping $x = 0.025$ ($T_c = 22$ K) [Figs. 2(e) and 2(j)] [15], the low-energy resonance completely vanishes and only the high-energy resonance is present. Comparing the left and right panels of Fig. 2, we see that in the underdoped regime, the energy of the first resonance shows similar out-of-plane momentum dependence as in the underdoped superconducting BaFe$_2$As$_2$ systems doped with Co, Ni, and P [32], being higher at $L = 0$ than at $L = 0.5$. Near optimal superconductivity, the resonance energy becomes dispersionless, occurring at the same energy for both $L = 0.5$ and 1. Figure 4 shows the raw data below and above $T_c$ for different Co-doping samples obtained at various triple-axis spectrometers. Although energy dependence of the spin excitations spectra are somewhat different in the underdoped samples where superconductivity coexists with static AF order and optimally/overdoped samples where there are no static magnetism, we collected the data below and above $T_c$ to determine accurately the effect of superconductivity on the magnetic excitations spectra.
FIG. 5. Comparison of the resonance in the overdoped regime at two compositions $x = 0.045$ and $x = 0.08$. (a) The difference of the energy scans above and below $T_c$, normalized by the corresponding peak intensities. The $x = 0.045$ and $x = 0.08$ compositions have similar peak energies around 7 meV, but have very different energy widths. For Co-doping levels above $x = 0.025$, resonances are not dispersive along the $L$ direction. (b) Temperature dependence of the susceptibility for $x = 0.08$ sample is about 40%. (c) Temperature dependence of the scattering at the resonance energy, which show the onset of the resonance modes at their respective transition temperatures $T_c$. (d),(e) The wave vector scans at the resonance energies along the $[H,0,0]$ and $[1,K,0]$ directions below and above $T_c$ for $x = 0.08$. Similar data for $x = 0.045$ at 5 K is shown in red solid line [28]. The blue and green solid lines are Gaussian fits to the data.

Figure 5 summarises the results for an electron-overdoped sample with $x = 0.08$ ($T_c = 11$ K). Since $T_c$ of the sample is significantly lower than that of the electron doped $x = 0.045$ [Fig. 5(b)] [28], we would expect a reduction in the superconducting gap amplitude $2\Delta = \Delta_a + \Delta_s$ as well [33]. If the resonance is a bound state below the particle-hole continuum $2\Delta$ [5], there should be a corresponding reduction in the superconducting order parameter like intensity gain below $T_c$ [33]. Furthermore, we find that while the resonance for both samples are centered at the AF ordering wave vector, the $x = 0.08$ sample has considerable 2K-BKG intensity gain below $T_c$ for $x = 0.045$ to $x = 0.08$. While there is a clear resonance in both samples, the resonance for $x = 0.08$ shows a much broader width compared to that of $x = 0.045$ even considering the differences in instrumental energy resolution in these two experiments. In addition, the two resonances have almost the same peak energy at $E_r = 7$ meV, despite the large reduction in $T_c$ from $x = 0.045$ to $x = 0.08$. To confirm that the intensity gain below $T_c$ in the $x = 0.08$ sample is indeed the resonance, we show in Fig. 5(c) temperature dependence of the scattering at $E_r = 7$ meV. For both $x = 0.045$ to $x = 0.08$ samples, there are clear superconducting order parameter like intensity gain below $T_c$'s, a hallmark of the resonance. Figures 5(d) and 5(e) show constant-energy scans above background below and above $T_c$ along the $[H,0,0]$ and $[1,K,0]$ directions, respectively, for $x = 0.08$. The red solid lines are similar wave vector scans for the $x = 0.045$ sample [28]. These results confirm the temperature difference plots, showing that intensity gain of below $T_c$ in Figs. 5(a) and 5(c) is indeed from the resonance. Although $x = 0.08$ sample is not a 100% bulk superconductor [Fig. 5(b)], the differences between the superconducting and normal state should still represent the effect of superconductivity to the magnetic excitations. Based on the properties of the resonance in the $x = 0.045$ to $x = 0.08$ samples shown in Fig. 5, we conclude that the mode energy $E_r$ does not scale linearly with $T_c$ or $\Delta$. The ratios $E_r/k_BT_c$ and $E_r/2\Delta$ in the $x = 0.08$ composition are well above the values proposed in the universal relations [see Fig. 1(a)]. Furthermore, we find that the resonance for both samples are centered at the AF ordering wave vector, the $x = 0.08$ sample has considerable broader $Q$ width along the $H$ and $K$ directions.

III. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Figure 6 summarizes the Co-doping evolution of the resonance in NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As. The open circles in Fig. 6(a) shows that the energy of the first resonance $E_{r1}$ is essentially $T_c$ independent. If the double resonance originates from the superconducting gap anisotropy in the underdoped regime [16,27,34], one would expect that $E_{r1}$ decreases with increasing doping, contrary to the observation. On the other hand, these results may indicate that the first resonance is coupled with the static AF order and spin waves as suggested theoretically [35]. If this is indeed the case, one would expect that a uniaxial pressure used to detwin the sample would separate the double resonance, where the first resonance associated with spin waves ($E_{r1}$) should appear at $Q_{AF} = \pm (1,0)$ but not at $(0,\pm 1)$, while the second resonance ($E_{r2}$) arising from Fermi surface nesting and itinerant electron would...
appears at both $Q_{AF} = (±1,0)$ and $(0,±1)$ wave vectors [36]. However, our recent neutron scattering experiments on the uniaxial pressure detwinned sample found double resonance at both wave vectors, thus suggesting that the first mode cannot be associated with spin waves at $Q_{AF} = (±1,0)$ [36]. While these results seem to rule out the AF order origin for the first resonance, a more detailed investigation using superconducting gap anisotropy scenario is necessary to determine if such a model can explain our observation [34]. The solid circles and stars in Fig. 6(a) show the $T_c$ dependence of the second resonance energy $E_{r2}$. While the mode energies for underdoped and slightly overdoped samples fall within the generally accepted values of $E_r \propto k_BT_c$, the resonance energy for $x = 0.08$ clearly deviates from the expectation. Figure 6(b) plots the same data in terms of $E_r/k_BT_c$.

To understand the behavior of the resonance in the electron-overdoped regime of NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As, we consider two essential effects from Co doping. The first one is the introduction of additional electron charge carriers, which causes the hole pockets to shrink and the electron pockets to expand, as illustrated in Figs. 1(e)–1(g). As the mismatch between the electron and hole pockets increases with doping, the resonance peak obtains more contributions from the scattering momenta that are away from the AF order wave vector $(1,0)$, and therefore shows a broader peak in the momentum space. This is reminiscent of the wave vector dependence of the resonance in BaFe$_{2-\delta}$Ni$_x$As$_2$ family of materials, where the mode becomes transversely incommensurate in the electron-overdoped regime [37], except here the scattering is commensurate in the entire measured doping range. With electron overdoping and sinking of the hole pocket below Fermi surface, the low-energy spin excitations vanish together with the suppression of superconductivity [38], very similar to the presence of a large spin gap in electron-overdoped nonsuperconducting BaFe$_{1.7}$Ni$_{0.3}$As$_2$ [39]. The second less considered effect is that the Co dopants can also act as local nonmagnetic impurities. In iron pnictides where the superconducting order parameter changes sign between the hole and electron pockets [Figs. 1(e)–1(g)], interband scatterings from these impurities are superconducting pair breaking. Therefore, as more impurities are introduced with increasing Co doping, we expect the superconducting gap to be gradually filled and the critical temperature $T_c$ to be reduced due to these pair-breaking scatterings. However, the spin resonance arises from the superconducting quasiparticles that retain the original gap amplitude $\Delta$. Therefore, the resonance energy $E_r$ is not much affected by these interband nonmagnetic scatterings, and the mode will acquire a larger width in energy due to the broadened quasiparticle peak with increasing impurity concentration [40]. These results are consistent with our experimental observations, suggesting the important roles of the impurity scatterings in determining the energy and wave vector dependence of the resonance. Our study in the overdoped NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As have demonstrated that the Co dopants introduce two important effects into the system, namely the additional itinerant electrons and local nonmagnetic impurities.
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