Critical quadrupole fluctuations and collective modes in iron pnictide superconductors

V. K. Thorø,1,2,3,* M. Khodas,4,5 Z. P. Yin,1 Chenglin Zhang,6,7,8 S. V. Carr,6 Pengcheng Dai,6 and G. Blumberg1,9,†

1Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey 08854, USA
2Boston University, Department of Physics, Boston, Massachusetts 02215, USA
3University of California San Diego, Department of Physics, La Jolla, California 92093, USA
4Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa 52242, USA
5Racah Institute of Physics, The Hebrew University, Jerusalem 91904, Israel
6Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rice University, Houston, Texas 77005, USA
7Department of Physics, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996, USA
8Huazhong University of Science and Technology, National Pulse High Magnetic Field Center, Wuhan 430074, China
9National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, 12618 Tallinn, Estonia

(Received 9 November 2015; revised manuscript received 16 January 2016; published 16 February 2016)

The multiband nature of iron pnictides gives rise to a rich temperature-doping phase diagram of competing orders and a plethora of collective phenomena. At low dopings, the tetragonal-to-orthorhombic structural transition is closely followed by a spin-density-wave transition both being in close proximity to the superconducting phase. A key question is the nature of high-$T_c$ superconductivity and its relation to orbital ordering and magnetism. Here we study the NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As superconductor using polarization-resolved Raman spectroscopy. The Raman susceptibility displays critical enhancement of nonsymmetric charge fluctuations across the entire phase diagram, which are precursors to a $d$-wave Pomeranchuk instability at temperature $\theta(x)$. The charge fluctuations are interpreted in terms of quadrupole interorbital excitations in which the electron and hole Fermi surfaces breathe in-phase. Below $T_c$, the critical fluctuations acquire coherence and undergo a metamorphosis into a coherent in-gap mode of extraordinary strength.
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I. INTRODUCTION

An important aim in the study of iron-based superconductors is to elucidate the nature of the superconducting state and its relation to adjacent phases [1–4]. Most FeAs compounds share a common phase diagram in which the underdoped region is marked by a tetragonal-to-orthorhombic structural transition at $T_S$ followed by a magnetic ordering transition at $T_{SDW}$ of collinear spin stripes, which either precede or coincide with $T_S$ [5,6]. Upon introducing dopant atoms, superconductivity emerges with a transition temperature $T_c$ of tens of degrees [1]. The driving force behind the structural transition is widely debated, with the main proposals being either spin [7–10] or ferro-orbital [11–19] nematic ordering. In the spin-nematic scenario, the structural transition at $T_S$ is driven by magnetic fluctuations that breaks fourfold rotational ($C_4$) lattice symmetry [9,10]. The latter induces a sharp increase of the spin correlation length for one spin stripe orientation and a decrease of the other. In the orbital-nematic scenario, $C_4$ symmetry is broken by ferro-orbital ordering in which strong interorbital interactions lead to inequivalent occupation of the $d_{xz}$ and $d_{yz}$ Fe orbitals.

An enhancement of spin susceptibility is observed in inelastic neutron scattering (INS) or nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) pnictide data upon approaching the spin-density-wave (SDW) transition [20,21]. However, at higher dopings away from the SDW phase, this enhancement is rapidly suppressed [21–23]. Hence, while the close proximity to magnetic order naturally favors spin fluctuations as a candidate in providing the glue for Cooper pairs [3], suppressed spin fluctuations appear to be insufficient in explaining the whole temperature-doping ($T$-$x$) phase diagram [24]. NMR measurements of the relaxation rate $1/T_1 T$ in FeSe, which has no SDW transition, revealed that spin fluctuations only emerge below $T_S$, and the nematic order was argued to be driven by orbital degrees of freedom [25,26].

In elastic strain measurements of Co- and K-doped BaFe$_2$As$_2$ and FeSe, the shear modulus $C_{66}$ softens upon cooling, and $1/C_{66}$ follows a Curie-Weiss-like behavior that is interrupted at $T_S(x)$. Elastoresistivity measurements display a similar behavior [27,28]. The Weiss temperature, which we define as $\theta(x)$, is observed to increase toward zero doping [27,29–32]. $T_S-\theta$ was related to the contribution of the lattice to the electronic nematic fluctuations in Refs. [29,30]. $T_S-\theta$ correlates with the downshift of the mean-field transition temperature $\theta(x)$ [27]. These two temperatures being noticeably different and a nonvanishing $1/C_{66}$ at $T_S$ leave the origin of the transition $\theta(x)$ as an open question. The $1/C_{66}$ temperature dependence was attributed to the electric quadrupole fluctuations due to the 3$d$ interorbital fluctuations in Refs. [31,32]. This conjecture is supported by detection of Fe-quadrupole orbital fluctuations by electron diffraction measurements [33]. At present, the question of whether the $\theta(x)$ line [30,34] is associated with the structural instability or if it is a separate instability of a different nature that breaks $C_4$ symmetry remains unresolved.

The nematic theories and analysis of experimental data are generally based on the assumption that $C_4$ symmetry is broken at $T_S$ while translational symmetry is broken at $T_{SDW}$ [9,10,27,29–32]. Most pnictides have $T_S$ and $T_{SDW}$ near-conjoint in the low-doping regime [35], including the heavily studied 122-family, i.e., Co- or K-doped BaFe$_2$As$_2$. 
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However, NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As, which is a 111-system, has $T_S$ and $T_{SDW}$ separated by more than 10 K and presents a better suited material in which the nature of the structural and SDW transitions can be studied separately [36–39].

Temperature-dependent x-ray powder diffraction studies of NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As find that at $T_S$, the high-temperature tetragonal $P4_{nm}/nmn$ structure transforms into the orthorhombic $Cmcm$ structure with the orthorhombic distortion $\delta = a_O - b_O$ emerging smoothly upon cooling [38]. Here, $a_O$ and $b_O$ are the lattice parameters of the orthorhombic unit cell, $a_O = \sqrt{2}a_T + \delta/2$ and $b_O = \sqrt{2}a_T - \delta/2$ [Fig. 1(h)], and $a_T$ is the lattice parameter of the tetragonal unit cell [Fig. 1(b)]. Neutron diffraction and muon spin rotation data confirm that the lattice distortion starts above $T_{SDW}(x)$ [6,40], and an angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) study reports Brillouin zone (BZ) folding and doubling of the unit cell at $T_S(x)$ [41]. The orthorhombic OP is established at $T_S$, and development of SDW long-range order is established at $T_{SDW}$ [6,42]. The smooth continuous $T_O$ implies the occurrence of a single structural instability that sets in at $T_S(x)$ [39]. The structural transition appears to be subtle, with the volume of the lattice changing only marginally [6] while both transitions display anomalies in resistivity measurements [36,43]. Specific-heat studies reveal anomalies at $T_S$ and $T_{SDW}$ that are characteristic of second-order phase transitions [36,44,45]. The spin-nematic scenario predicts a jump in the magnetic correlation length at $T_S(x)$ and the formation of a pseudogap, which has been refuted by INS measurements in which the $T_{SDW}(x)$ and $T_S(x)$ transitions appear to be decoupled [42]. This may be in contrast to that observed in the 122-systems (Ba,Ca)Fe$_2$As$_2$, [46,47], implying the spin-nematic scenario is more applicable to 122-systems than to NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As. An alternative picture to the spin-nematic model is one in which critical ferroquadrupoles trigger the orthorhombic structure transition, which involves a ferro-orbital density wave at $T_S(x)$ [13].

The proximity of the structural and superconducting phase transitions is universal, which makes it necessary to investigate both instabilities in one setting. So far, no clear consensus has been reached on the symmetry of the superconducting OP. Theories building on spin fluctuations favor unconventional $s^\pm$ pairing in which the superconducting OP changes sign between electron- and holelike FSs [3,48]. However, other theories embrace orbital fluctuations building on superconductivity with $s^{++}$ pairing in which there is no sign change [49]. Recently, orbital antiphase $s^\pm$ has been proposed in which the pairing function of the Fe $d_{xy}$ orbital has opposite sign to the $d_{xz}$ and $d_{yz}$ orbitals [50], as well as orbital triplet pairing [51]. The type of doping leading to superconductivity can either have a nodeless ($s$-wave) or a nodal ($d$-wave) OP [Fig. 1(i)]. Electron- or hole-doping BaFe$_2$As$_2$, with respectively, Co or K leads to a nodeless OP, except at high hole dopings, where a switch to a nodal OP occurs. In contrast, isovalent substitution with P yields a nodal OP [52].

A long-standing issue that remains unresolved in many classes of unconventional superconductors, including cuprates [53,54], heavy fermions [55,56], and iron pnictides, is whether a quantum critical point (QCP) lies beneath the superconducting dome [52,57]. The quantum criticality related to the antiferromagnetic QCP was extensively studied within the spin fermion model [53,54,58,59]. In this model, the critical fluctuations related to the QCP were shown to affect the properties far into the normal state. Hence, the existence and detection of a QCP may offer an understanding of the origin of unconventional superconductivity and its coexistence with either magnetic or exotic phases. It has recently been demonstrated in theoretical studies that Cooper pairing is enhanced in the vicinity of a nematic QCP [60,61]. Experimentally, elastic anomalies of the $C_{66}$ shear modulus observed near a QCP in Ba(Fe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$)$_2$ As$_2$ suggest the involvement of ferroquadrupole fluctuations [13,31,32]. A second-order quantum phase transition lying beneath the superconducting dome has been reported in BaFe$_2$(As$_{1-x}$P$_x$)$_2$ by measurements of the London penetration depth [62]. However, a study using NMR, x rays, and neutrons finds no signatures of a QCP [63], raising questions as to its origin. Identification of the charge multipolar collective excitations and their symmetry associated with the nematic QCP is essential for understanding superconductivity and competing phases for which Raman spectroscopy is the most suitable probe [64–70].

We use polarization-resolved electronic Raman spectroscopy to study the charge dynamics of the multiband NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As superconductors characterized by partially filled 3$d$ orbitals. We demonstrate that charge transfers between the degenerate $d_{xz}$ and $d_{yz}$ orbitals lead to collective intraorbital quadrupole charge fluctuations in the normal and superconducting state. We find that the entire tetragonal phase is governed by the emergence of strong overdamped orbital quadrupole fluctuations that upon cooling display critical enhancement. These critical fluctuations foretell an approaching subleading second-order phase transition with broken $C_4$ symmetry and an orbitally ordered state. In the low doping region, the formation of this phase is intervened by the structural transition and becomes subleading. Below $T_c$, the fluctuations acquire coherence and undergo a metamorphosis into a sharp in-gap mode of extraordinary strength.

In Sec. II, we introduce the Raman experiments including sample preparations and the Raman probe. In Sec. III, we give an overview of the NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As Raman data and establish the $T$-$x$ phase diagram of the static Raman susceptibility. In Sec. IV, we compare the static Raman susceptibility to a two-component fit of the NMR relaxation rate. In Sec. V, we present and analyze the Raman data in more detail and discuss them in terms of critical quadrupole fluctuations and the Pomeranchuk instability. In Sec. VI, we discuss a possible density-wave state below the structural transition. In Sec. VII, we present Raman data in the superconducting state, which entails discussions of in-gap collective modes and their connection to critical quadrupole fluctuations in the normal state. In Sec. VIII, we present the Bardasis-Schrieffer mode and its interplay with the in-gap exciton mode in the particle-hole channel. In Sec. IX, we discuss a quantum critical point inside the superconducting dome in terms of the Pomeranchuk instability and the interplay of the Bardasis-Schrieffer mode with the strong in-gap collective mode. In Sec. X we present the main conclusion of the $d$-wave Pomeranchuk quadrupole fluctuations and their relation to the in-gap collective mode of extraordinary strength. In the Appendixes, we present the following: Appendix A contains an analysis of Raman spectra, Appendix B discusses the coupling of Pomeranchuk
FIG. 1. NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As crystal and electronic structure, and $XY$-quadrupole mode. (a) Crystal structure of NaFeAs in the tetragonal phase. (b) Top view of the FeAs layer in the tetragonal phase shown with $d_{xz} - d_{yz}$ orbitals (left) and $d_{xy}$ orbitals (right). Dashed lines represent the two (four) -Fe unit cell in the tetragonal (orthorhombic) phase. (c) and (d) The effect of Co doping is illustrated on the schematic Fermi surfaces (FSs) for NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As in the tetragonal nonmagnetic BZ for doping $x = 0$ (c) and $x > 0$ (d). Below is shown a band-dispersion cut along the $\Gamma$-M high-symmetry line. $d_{xy}$, $d_{xz}$, and $d_{yz}$ orbitals are shown with red, blue, and green, respectively. The holelike pockets $\alpha$, $\beta$, and $\gamma$ surround the $\Gamma$ point, and the electronlike pockets $\epsilon/\delta$ surround the M point. (e) Momentum- and frequency-resolved spectra $A(k,\omega)$ along the $\Gamma$-M high-symmetry line calculated by first-principles calculations including spin-orbit coupling (see Appendix D). (f) Pomeranchuk fluctuations in $B_{2g}$ symmetry, which is sustained by charge transfers between degenerate $d_{xz}$ and $d_{yz}$ Fe orbitals. (See the text and Appendix E.) (g) Monoclinic 2-Fe unit cell in the Pomeranchuk phase. (h) Quadrupole ground state in the orthorhombic phase with orthorhombic structural distortion, doubled unit cell, and two neighboring stripes having different orbital occupation. The pluses and minuses indicate a buckling-like modulation effect along the c axis. (i) Phase of the superconducting OPs for the $\gamma$ band at the $\Gamma$ point and the $s^+$/$s^-$ bands at the $M$ point for $d^{++}$, $d^{+-}$, $s^+$, and $d^{++}$ symmetry. Different colors indicate the opposite sign of the gap function.
fluctuations to the Raman probe, Appendix C addresses the relaxational mode-fitting procedure, Appendix D presents first-principles band-structure calculations, and Appendix E discusses the symmetry modes in momentum space.

II. METHODS

A. Sample preparation

NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As single crystals were grown by the self-flux method as described in Ref. [71]. The volume fractions of bulk superconductivity for compounds range between 0.015 and 0.06, measured with a Quantum Design SQUID magnetometer, were larger than 80%. $T_S$, $T_{SDW}$, and $T_c$ versus doping were reported in Ref. [39] and are shown in the $T$-$x$ phase diagram, Fig. 3(a). The superconducting gap values $2\Delta$ determined by ARPES in Refs. [72,73] are indicated by vertical dashed lines in Fig. 9. Figure 10(a) shows $2\Delta$ determined by Raman measurements. The samples were vetted for the highest quality surfaces and were handled in a protective argon atmosphere. Upon preparing to do the Raman measurements, the sample was unpacked inside a nitrogen-filled protective glovebag sealed to the entrance of the cryostat. The crystal was then cleaved and positioned in the continuous-flow optical glovebag sealed to the entrance of the cryostat.

B. Experimental methods

All Raman scattering measurements were performed in a quasibackscattering geometry along the crystal $c$ axis and excited with a Kr$^+$ laser line. We used a laser excitation energy of $\omega_{L} = 2.6$ eV, except for investigations of the in-gap collective modes shown in Figs. 11 and 12(a), where $\omega_{L} = 1.93$ eV was also used. The incident laser power was less than 12 mW focused to a 50 $\times$ 100 $\mu$m$^2$ spot on the $ab$ surface. In the superconducting state, the power was reduced to less than 2 mW. For $\omega_{L} = 2.6$ eV, being close to resonant condition, the lowest temperature was $\approx 5$ K. The lower excitation energy of $\omega_{L} = 1.93$ eV being preresonant and at $\approx 5$ K allowed us to observe both the $\omega_{L}^{pp}$ as well as the $\omega_{L}^{pp}$ excitons at finite frequencies. The spectra of the collected scattered light were measured by a triple-stage Raman spectrometer designed for high-straylight rejection and throughput equipped with a liquid-nitrogen-cooled charge-coupled detector.

The Raman spectra were corrected for the spectral response of the spectrometer and detector in obtaining the Raman scattering intensity, $I_{\chi,\omega}(\omega) = (1 + n)\chi''(\omega) + L(\omega)$. Here, $L(\omega)$ is a small luminescence background, and $\mathbf{e}^l$ and $\mathbf{e}^s$ are the polarization vectors for the incident and scattered photons for a given scattering geometry with respect to the unit cell [Fig. 1(b)]. The recorded Raman intensity was background-subtracted with a near-linear line and a constant determined for each polarization geometry (see Appendix A).

In obtaining the static Raman susceptibility $\chi_{XY}(T,x)$ in the $B_2g$ symmetry channel shown in Fig. 3, we performed a K-K transformation of the $\chi_{XY}(\omega,T,x)$ data shown in Figs. 7(d)–7(f) and 8(a)–8(e). For a given doping $x$, the $\chi_{XY}(\omega)$ spectra for each temperature were first divided by $\omega$ to obtain $\chi_{XY''}(\omega)/\omega$. The lower-frequency cutoff is $\approx 20$ cm$^{-1}$, and $\chi_{XY''}(\omega)/\omega$ was therefore extended to zero frequency with a phenomenological even function that fits well to the data. The static Raman susceptibility was then calculated from the Kramers-Kronig relation,

$$\chi_{XY}(0) = \chi_{XY}^0 = \frac{2}{\pi} P \int_0^\infty \frac{\chi_{XY''}(\omega)}{\omega} d\omega$$

at zero frequency. The integration was performed up to the highest measured frequency $\approx 750$ cm$^{-1}$ at which point $\chi_{XY''}(\omega)$ was near zero.

C. The Raman probe

The Raman response function is sensitive to charge-density fluctuations driven by the incident and scattered photon fields. For a given scattering geometry with polarization vectors $\mathbf{e}^l$ and $\mathbf{e}^s$ for the incident and scattered photons, the Raman susceptibility is given by

$$\chi_{l,s}(\omega) \propto -i \int_0^\infty e^{i\omega t} (\rho_{l,s}(t),\rho_{l,s}(0)) dt.$$  

The symmetrized Raman tensor $\chi_{l,s}(\omega)$ for the different scattering geometries can be classified by the irreducible representations for the crystallographic point group [74]. The symmetry channels accessible by Raman scattering transform $A_{1g}$, $A_{2g}$, $B_{1g}$, $B_{2g}$, and $E_g$ irreducible representation of a $D_{4h}$ point group (above $T_S$) and as $A_g$, $B_{1g}$, $B_{2g}$, and $B_{3g}$ for $D_{2h}$ (below $T_S$). Below $T_S$, $A_{1g}$ and $B_{2g}$ become $A_g$. Using circularly polarized light, we confirmed that the contribution from the $A_{2g}$ symmetry channel can be neglected. The scattering geometry is referenced to the $X$-$Y$ coordinate system of the crystallographic (As-As) unit cell depicted in Fig. 1(b). The incident and scattered photon fields cross-polarized along the $a$ and $b$ directions of the two-Fe unit cell yield $\chi_{XY}(\omega)$ susceptibility. For NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As with $D_{4h}$ point-group symmetry in the tetragonal phase, $\chi_{XY}(\omega)$ probes excitations in $B_{2g}$ symmetry. The cross-polarized photon fields rotated by 45° yield $\chi_{XY}(\omega)$ or $B_{1g}$ susceptibility. $\chi_{A_{1g}}(\omega)$ can be obtained in two steps: first, by aligning both photon fields along one axis, and then by obtaining the $xy$ susceptibility. $\chi_{A_{1g}}(\omega)$ is given by $\chi_{XX}(\omega) - \chi_{XY}(\omega)$. The space group in the tetragonal and orthorhombic phase is, respectively, $P4/mnm$ with point group $D_{4h}$ and $Cmma$ with point group $D_{2h}$ [6]. Entering the orthorhombic phase from the tetragonal phase is associated with broken symmetry operators, which includes $C_4$ rotations and mirror planes of the tetragonal phase with $D_{4h}$ point-group symmetry. The point-group symmetry for the orthorhombic phase is $D_{2h}$, in which both $B_{2g}$ and $A_{1g}$ symmetry of the tetragonal phase conforms to $A_g$ symmetry.

III. OVERVIEW OF NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As RAMAN DATA

The temperature- or doping-dependent electronic Raman susceptibility $\chi'(\omega,T,x)$ reveals the dynamics of collective excitations and provides an unambiguous identification of their symmetry [64–67]. The symmetrized Raman tensor for the different scattering geometries can be classified by the irreducible representations for the crystallographic point group [74]. The symmetry channels accessible by Raman scattering...
FIG. 2. Raman susceptibility $\chi''(\omega)$ in the $A_{1g}$, $B_{2g}$, and $B_{1g}$ symmetry channels at representative temperatures and dopings. (a)–(c) $\chi''_{A_{1g}}(\omega)$ showing superconducting features highlighted with blue shading below $\approx 200$ cm$^{-1}$ ($x = 0.0175$, $x = 0.05$). (d)–(f) $\chi''_{B_{2g}}(\omega)$ presenting a quasielastic scattering relaxational mode above $T_S(x)$ and $T_c(x)$ highlighted with green shading, a density wave suppression, and coherence peak highlighted with light blue shading below $T_S(x)$ ($x = 0, 5$ K), and a low-temperature collective resonance highlighted with blue shading ($x = 0.0175$, $x = 0.05, 5$ K). (g)–(i) $\chi''_{B_{1g}}(\omega)$ featuring mainly a $B_{1g}$ phonon.

are $A_{1g}$, $A_{2g}$, $B_{1g}$, $B_{2g}$, and $E_g$ for pnictides with a tetragonal 2-Fe unit cell, i.e., for NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As (above $T_S$).

In Fig. 2 we show Raman susceptibility $\chi''(\omega)$ at representative temperatures and dopings for the $A_{1g}$, $B_{2g}$, and $B_{1g}$ symmetry channels to point out important features in relation to the tetragonal, orthorhombic, SDW, and superconducting phases of the $T$-$x$ phase diagram [Fig. 3(a)], which will be discussed in depth below. Most of these features are reflected in the $\chi''_{B_{1g}}(\omega)$ response, while $\chi''_{A_{1g}}(\omega)$ contains important characteristics of a superconducting nature, and $\chi''_{B_{1g}}(\omega)$ mainly features a $B_{1g}$ phonon. The detailed temperature and doping dependence is shown in Figs. 7–11.

Using Kramers-Kronig (K-K) transformation, we calculate the real part of $\chi_{XY}(\omega,T,x)$ at $\omega = 0$, the static Raman susceptibility $\chi_{0}^{XY}(T,x)$, for $B_{2g}$ symmetry. Figure 3(a) shows $\chi_{0}^{XY}(T,x)$ in a $T$-$x$ phase diagram where $T_S(x)$, $T_{SDW}(x)$, and $T_c(x)$ obtained by transport measurements [39] are superimposed on top. The enhancement of $\chi_{0}^{XY}(T,x)$ with cooling, observed for all $x$, starts from high temperatures and culminates in a maximum at the structural transition $T_S(x)$ or at a smaller maximum before the $T_c(x)$ line for higher dopings. $\chi_{0}^{XY}(T,x)$ is suppressed below the structural transition $T_S(x)$ [75]. Figure 3(b) shows $\chi_{0}^{XY}(T,x)$ with a universal fit to $A/[T - \theta(x)]$ where the temperature axis for each doping $x$ is shifted by $\theta(x)$. The inset shows the inverse of $\chi_{0}^{XY}(T,x)$ versus temperature $T - \theta(x)$ with a fit to a universal straight line.

The two sharp modes in $\chi_{A_{1g}}''(\omega)$ at $\approx 164$ and $\approx 195$ cm$^{-1}$, and in $\chi_{B_{1g}}''(\omega)$ at $\approx 211$ cm$^{-1}$ observed in the spectra for all dopings and temperatures, are phonon excitations [Figs. 2(g)–2(i)], as they are expected for the 111-family crystallographic structure [76,77]. The frequencies of these phonons increase slightly with cooling, typical of anharmonic behavior, and they do not display any anomalies in self-energy upon crossing phase-transition lines.
The As nucleus has spin $3/2$. Region below electronic spin or a charge quadrupole excitation [80]. The temperature $T_c$ spectra above $T_c$ SDW phases that maximizes at lower temperatures. For high-temperature tetragonal phase to the orthorhombic and overall enhancement of the spectra upon traversing the $0, 0.025, \text{and } 0.06$ are from Refs. [20,78,79], respectively.

V. K. THORSMØLLE

$\chi_{XY}(\omega,T) \approx$ of extraordinary strength in the superconducting phase at phase, indicated by light blue shading; (iii) a sharp resonance frequency suppression and coherence peak in the orthorhombic a broad continuum that diminishes with doping [Fig. 5(a)].

IV. STATIC RAMAN SUSCEPTIBILITY AND NMR $1/T_sT$ RELAXATION RATE

Figure 4 displays the temperature dependence of the NMR relaxation rate $1/\tau_sT$ for As compared to the static Raman susceptibility $\chi_{XY}(0,T)$. The NMR data for dopings $x = 0, 0.025,$ and $0.06$ are from Refs. [20,78,79], respectively. The As nucleus has spin 3/2 and can relax into both an electronic spin or a charge quadrupole excitation [80]. The latter is described by nuclear quadrupole resonance (NQR). $1/\tau_sT$ is decomposed into two contributions, $1/\tau_sT = (1/\tau_sT)_{\text{intra}} + (1/\tau_sT)_{\text{inter}}$, where $(1/\tau_sT)_{\text{intra}} \approx C/(T - \theta)$ and $(1/\tau_sT)_{\text{inter}} = \tilde{\alpha} + \tilde{\beta} \exp(-\tilde{\Delta}/k_B T)$ [81]. In this model, the former is the Curie-Weiss law due to intraband relaxation and the latter is due to interband-like excitations in which the gap $\Delta = 240 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ is used. $\chi_{XY}(0,T)$ scales to $(1/\tau_sT)_{\text{intra}}$ for all three dopings $x = 0, 0.025,$ and $0.06,$ and we attribute $\theta$ to correspond to the Pomeranchuk transition temperature at $\theta(T)$. The used value for $\Delta$ corresponds to the minor mode at $240 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, which is present in $\chi_{XY}(\omega,T)$ for all dopings and temperatures above $T_c(x)$.

The self-consistency of the presented analysis of $1/\tau_sT$ and its correspondence to $\chi_{XY}(0,T)$ suggests that $1/\tau_sT$ for NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As originates from quadrupole excitations and not spin relaxation. These orbital singlet excitations have $\Delta L \approx 2$ and can be detected by Raman spectroscopy and NQR but not INS experiments. The same scaling analysis, including elastic probes, applied to the 122-family (Sr,Eu)Fe$_2$As$_2$ in Ref. [82] implies that this role of quadrupoles may be a general feature of pnictide materials.

V. CRITICAL QUADRUPOLE FLUCTUATIONS

In the tetragonal phase above $T_s(x)$, $\chi_{XY}(\omega,T,x)$ reveals the emergence of broad quasielastic scattering (QES) peaked at $\omega_{\text{p}}(x,T)$ (Figs. 7, 8, and 5). The intensity of this feature is weak at high temperatures. Upon cooling, it softens and gains in intensity, where it reaches a maximum at the $T_s(x)$ line and near $T_c(x)$. Below $T_s(x)$, the static susceptibility drops rapidly without any observable inflection point at $T_{\text{SDW}}(x)$, which is congruent with a smoothly developing orthorhombic OP demonstrated in x-ray and neutron diffraction studies [6,38,42].

We apply a universal fit to $\chi_{XY}(\omega,T,x)$ with a simultaneous fit of our data as a function of frequency, temperature, and doping (see Appendix C, Fig. 14). Above the $T_s(x)$ and $T_c(x)$ lines, $\chi_{XY}(\omega,T,x)$ can be decomposed into three components [Fig. 5(a)], which include a broad QES
peak that can be described as a relaxational mode (RM), \( \chi_{XY}(\omega,T,x) \propto A(x)|\omega P(T,x) - i\omega|^{-1} \), a continuum, and a minor peak at \( \approx 240 \) cm\(^{-1}\). Description of the RM is based on a phenomenological model, Eqs. (C1)–(C3) (see Appendix C). The intensity of both the continuum and of the \( \approx 240 \) cm\(^{-1}\) mode diminishes rapidly with doping, and vanishes near \( x \approx 0.025 \) [Figs. 5(c) and 5(d)]. Importantly, the orbital content of the larger \( \gamma \) FS is mainly composed of \( d_{xy} \) orbitals, while the \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) FSs primarily have \( d_{xz} \) and \( d_{yz} \) orbital character [Fig. 1(c)] [83]. At the \( M \) point, the inner (outer) part of the \( \delta \) FS has \( d_{xz} \) and \( d_{yz} \) orbital character. The continuum and the \( \approx 240 \) cm\(^{-1}\) mode likely involve the \( \beta \) band as its FS reduces with doping [see Figs. 1(b) and 1(d)], with the former due to intraband excitations and the latter due to an interband-like excitation with a 240 cm\(^{-1}\) gap consistent with quadrupole excitations as verified by scaling of \( \chi_{XY}^0(T,x) \) to NQR data (see Sec. IV). This finding is consistent with first-principles calculations taking into account spin-orbit coupling [Fig. 1(e)].

Figure 5(c) displays the intensity dependence of the RM with doping, which is seen to persist for all dopings. Figure 5(e) shows the doping dependence of \( \theta(x) \), which is observed to decrease close to linear for increasing dopings becoming negative near \( x = 0.022 \). This behavior is consistent with that obtained from the analysis of the static Raman susceptibility \( \chi_{XY}(0,T,x) \) shown in the \( T-x \) phase diagram [Fig. 3(a)].

The intensity of the RM decreases with doping [Fig. 5(c)]. The frequency decreases linearly upon cooling below \( \approx 100 \) K for all dopings with the extension crossing the temperature axis at \( \theta(x) \) [see the insets to Figs. 7(d)–7(f) and 8]. The decrease of \( \theta(x) \) with doping can be described by a function \( \theta(x) = b_1 - \)
representative doping three components: a continuum background (blue shading), a Lorentz oscillator (magenta shading), and a relaxational mode (green shading).

θ the magnetic fluctuations [85–87], which may invoke the Ising (i) electronic coupling to lattice degrees of freedom, (ii) partially filled Fe orbitals with a 3\textit{P}omeranchuk fluctuations as the most likely candidate. The charge order. Here we consider the latter in terms of quadrupole spin-nematic scenario, and (iii) charge fluctuations leading to symmetry with nodes along the \textit{X}-\textit{Y} directions [Fig. 1(f)]. This induces a dynamic quadrupole moment of \textit{B}_2g symmetry with nodes along the \textit{X}-\textit{Y} directions [Fig. 1(b)]. These are orbital singlet excitations (Δ\textit{L} = 2). In momentum space, the fluctuations lead to dynamic distortions of the FSs around the \textit{G} and \textit{M} point [Fig. 1(f)], resulting in fluctuating quadrupole moments with nodes along \textit{G}X and \textit{G}Y [Fig. 6(a)]. In-phase synchronization of the two FSs leads to \textit{d}++ quadrupole deformations that are favored over \textit{d}+- for a dominant repulsive interaction between the \textit{G} and \textit{M} points (see Appendix E for further details).

The critical behavior of the susceptibility \(\chi_{XY}(\omega,T,x)\) manifests in (i) the enhancement of the static Raman susceptibility \(\chi_{XY}^{0}(T,x)\), which scales to the universal response function \([T - \theta(x)]^{-1}\) upon cooling for all doping concentrations \(x\) with a linear temperature dependence of \(\theta(x)\), and (ii) the gain in intensity and near-linear slowdown of the characteristic fluctuation frequency \(\omega_{T}(T,x) \propto T - \theta(x)\). The inverse of \(\chi_{XY}^{0}(T,x)\), shown in the inset to Fig. 3(b), exhibits the same linear behavior with temperature as \(\omega_{T}(T,x)\) of the RM until \(T_{c}(x)\) or \(T_{S}(x)\), below which \(\chi_{XY}^{0}(T,x)\) rapidly falls off.

\(\chi_{XY}(\omega,T,x)\) approaches zero at \(x = x_{c} \approx 0.02\) and becoming negative for \(x \gtrsim 0.02\) [Fig. 5(e)]. In the \(T\)-\textit{x} phase diagram, Fig. 3(a), the \(\theta(x)\) line is parallel to the \(T_{SDW}(x)\) and \(T_{S}(x)\) lines [84], approximately 10 and 20 K below, respectively, for \(x \lesssim 0.02\).

The critical behavior of the susceptibility \(\chi_{XY}(\omega,T,x)\) manifests in (i) the enhancement of the static Raman susceptibility \(\chi_{XY}^{0}(T,x)\), which scales to the universal response function \([T - \theta(x)]^{-1}\) upon cooling for all doping concentrations \(x\) with a linear temperature dependence of \(\theta(x)\), and (ii) the gain in intensity and near-linear slowdown of the characteristic fluctuation frequency \(\omega_{T}(T,x) \propto T - \theta(x)\). The inverse of \(\chi_{XY}^{0}(T,x)\), shown in the inset to Fig. 3(b), exhibits the same linear behavior with temperature as \(\omega_{T}(T,x)\) of the RM until \(T_{c}(x)\) or \(T_{S}(x)\), below which \(\chi_{XY}^{0}(T,x)\) rapidly falls off.

Next we reflect on the emergent critical enhancement of \(\chi_{XY}(\omega,T,x)\) as a result of strong electronic interactions. Potential reasons for the critical behavior include the following: (i) electronic coupling to lattice degrees of freedom, (ii) magnetic fluctuations [85–87], which may invoke the Ising spin-nematic scenario, and (iii) charge fluctuations leading to charge order. Here we consider the latter in terms of quadrupole Pomeranchuk fluctuations as the most likely candidate. The partially filled Fe orbitals with a 3\textit{d}0 configuration give rise to interorbital quadrupole charge fluctuations [31–33]. The critical charge fluctuations in real space are manifested in electron-hole excitations between degenerate \(d_{yz}\) and \(d_{xz}\) orbitals on Fe sites, which lead to charge transfers as illustrated in Fig. 1(f). This induces a dynamic quadrupole moment of \textit{B}_2g symmetry with nodes along the \textit{X}-\textit{Y} directions [Fig. 1(b)]. These are orbital singlet excitations (Δ\textit{L} = 2). In momentum space, the fluctuations lead to dynamic distortions of the FSs around the \textit{G} and \textit{M} point [Fig. 1(f)], resulting in fluctuating quadrupole moments with nodes along \textit{G}X and \textit{G}Y [Fig. 6(a)]. In-phase synchronization of the two FSs leads to \textit{d}++ quadrupole deformations that are favored over \textit{d}+- for a dominant repulsive interaction between the \textit{G} and \textit{M} points (see Appendix E for further details).

These critical quadrupole fluctuations drive the system toward a Pomeranchuk-like instability extended to multibands \[88–93\]. In a Fermi liquid, the Pomeranchuk instability directly leads to a nematic transition via spontaneous quadrupole deformation of the FSs that freeze with static distortions, and in real space the 2-Fe unit cell becomes monoclinic [Fig. 1(g)]. The critical behavior of the \textit{B}_2g Raman response foretells the approaching second-order Pomeranchuk phase transition at \(\theta(x)\), which breaks rotational invariance while translational symmetry is preserved. It occurs when the attraction in the \textit{d}-wave channel exceeds a critical threshold \[88\]. The Pomeranchuk instability in iron pnictides is special in that it breaks the discrete \textit{C}4 symmetry via orbital ordering, i.e., a quadrupole lattice in an ordered orbital pattern [see Fig. 1(g)] [12] but without instigating a density-wave (DW) instability. Similar to Fermi liquids, it requires an attraction in the \textit{d}-wave channel \((\textit{B}_2g)\) channel, i.e., an interaction term of the form \(g_{0}(n_{xz} - n_{yz})^{2}\) with \(g_{0} < 0\) favoring an occupation difference \(n_{xz} - n_{yz}\) of the \(d_{xz}\) and \(d_{yz}\) orbitals. The low-energy anomalies in the \textit{B}_2g Raman data reflect the critical fluctuations associated with the Pomeranchuk instability. The extraordinarily large temperature and frequency range of these fluctuations is consistent with the presence of a QCP defined by a vanishing
Weiss temperature $\theta(x)$. The scaling of $\chi^{XY}_{x}(T,x)$ in a two-component fit to NQR data provides compelling evidence of quadrupole-relaxation (see Sec. IV) [80]. The range of the critical fluctuations in the $XY$-symmetry channel extends over a much wider temperature range [Figs. 3(a) and 3(b)] than the SDW fluctuations limited to a narrow temperature range above $T_{\text{SDW}}(x)$ [36,42,44,45].

In conclusion, we find that Fe-orbital quadrupole fluctuations display critical behavior foreshadowing an approaching new ground state below the $\theta$-line Pomeranchuk instability. These results appear to be consistent with other pnictide materials, including the 122 and FeSe families, suggesting this conclusion may be more universal. In addition, the observation of a similar Weiss-temperature $\theta(x)$-like line in the $T$-$x$ phase diagram of both Na-111 and Ba-122 systems by Raman [94,95] suggests that the $\theta$-line is a universal feature of pnictides. A $\theta$-line is likewise seen in elastic strain measurements [27,29–32]. In NMR studies of FeSe, no Curie-Weiss behavior was observed in the relaxation rate $1/T_{1}$ above $T_{\text{S}}$ [25,26]. In FeSe, NMR is only sensitive to spins since the $^{77}\text{Se}$ nucleus has spin 1/2 and does not couple to quadrupoles. However, in the 122-family compounds mentioned above, which do display Curie-Weiss behavior, the $^{77}\text{As}$ nucleus has spin 3/2 and does relax into quadrupolar excitations. This observation implies that the Curie-Weiss behavior originates from quadrupoles. The fact that Curie-Weiss behavior is indeed observed in FeSe when using a $C_{66}$ probe [25] further underlines this conclusion. In Raman studies of Co-doped Ba-122 [85,94] (as well as
a. Fe$_2$As$_2$, $A = \text{Eu,Er}$ [82]), fluctuations were detected over a range from $T_S$ ($\approx 138$ K for $x = 0$) to room temperature.

VI. DENSITY-WAVE STATE

In the orthorhombic phase, $\chi''_{XY}(\omega,T,x)$ is characterized by a low-frequency suppression of spectral weight and a peak at $2\Delta_{DW}$, which develops upon cooling observed for $x = 0$ and 0.015 crystals [Figs. 7(a), 7(b), 7(d), and 7(e)]. The peak is at about $\approx 300$ cm$^{-1}$ at low temperatures for $x = 0$. This is near the $\approx 33$ meV gap value reported by STM studies [96]. The evolution of the Raman response as a function of frequency and temperature in the low-doping regime is captured in the color contour plots shown in Figs. 7(a) and 7(b).
Whether $2 \Delta_{\text{DW}}$ starts to develop at $T_S(x)$ or at $T_{\text{SDW}}(x)$ upon cooling is obscured by the quasielastic peak (QEP), which rapidly decreases below $T_S(x)$, at which point the quadrupole fluctuations freeze due to the broken $C_4$ symmetry. The energy of $2 \Delta_{\text{DW}}$ decreases with doping, and for $x \gtrsim 0.0175$ this low-frequency suppression and peak are absent.

The $2 \Delta_{\text{DW}}$ feature could potentially originate from an SDW gap similar to what has been reported for 122-systems [82,97–100]. Here, signatures of the gap develop below $T_{\text{SDW}}(x)$, which in the 122-family are near-conjoint with $T_S(x)$. In NaFe$_1-x$Co$_x$As, $T_S(x)$ and $T_{\text{SDW}}(x)$ are separated by more than 10 K. If the $2 \Delta_{\text{DW}}$ suppression develops below $T_S(x)$, $C_4$ and translational symmetry are broken together and the instability at $T_S(x)$ is Kugel-Khomskii-type or due to quantum-mechanical interactions between orbital and spin degrees of freedom, as described by Kugel and Khomskii [101]. If the density-wave order develops below $T_{\text{SDW}}(x)$, translational symmetry is first broken at $T_{\text{SDW}}(x)$.

### VII. IN-GAP COLLECTIVE MODES IN THE SUPERCONDUCTING STATE

In the superconducting state, for $x \gtrsim 0.0175$, the low-frequency peak and suppression are absent and $\chi''_{XX'}(\omega,T,x)$ contains features in both $A_{1g}$ and $B_{2g}$ symmetry. Below $T_c(x)$, a resonance emerges in $B_{2g}$ symmetry that sharpens, gains in strength, and hardens to $\omega_{B_{2g}} \approx 7.1 \text{ meV}$ upon cooling for $x \gtrsim x_c$ [Figs. 7(f), 8, and 9]. $\omega_{B_{2g}}$ is the strongest at the lowest temperatures and near $x_c$, at $x = 0.0175$, and then it decreases in strength for increasing doping that still prevails for $x = 0.05$ and vanishes for $x = 0.08$. The doping dependence of the superconducting features at 5 K in both $\chi''_{XY}(\omega)$ (top row) and $\chi''_{XY}(\omega)$ (bottom row) in comparison to normal state spectra at 23 K is summarized in Fig. 9. In the top panel, $\omega_{A_{1g}} \approx 68 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (8.5 meV), $2 \Delta_{\gamma}$, and $2 \Delta_{\delta}$ are present from $x = 0.0175$ to 0.05, and they are nearly independent of doping [Fig. 10(a)]. $2 \Delta_{\gamma}$ and $2 \Delta_{\delta}$ are consistent with ARPES [72,73] and are assigned as pair-breaking excitations across the corresponding superconducting gaps (Fig. 9). The width of $\omega_{A_{1g}}$ is less than 1 meV for $x \leq 0.0225$ [Fig. 10(b)], after which it broadens and its intensity diminishes gradually until it vanishes before $x = 0.08$. $\omega_{A_{1g}}$ and $\omega_{B_{2g}}$ qualify as true in-gap excitations as their energy lies below the minimal quasiparticle gap, $2 \Delta_{\gamma}$ (Fig. 9). In contrast to the superconducting gap feature at the $2 \Delta$ threshold, which is characterized by a square-root divergence [64], the in-gap collective modes appear as sharp $\delta$-function-like resonances.

Next we interpret the spectrum of collective modes as they may present pertinent information of the superconducting state [64,65,102–107]. Early studies focused on the Bardasis-Schrieffer mode in BCS single-band $s$-wave superconductors where attraction in a non-$s$-wave particle-particle ($p$-$p$) channel would result in the Bardasis-Schrieffer mode forming

---

**FIG. 8.** Raman susceptibility $\chi''_{XY}(\omega,T)$ in the $B_{2g}$-symmetry channel at dopings $0.02 \leq x < 0.08$. (a)–(e) $\chi''_{XY}(\omega,T)$ at various temperatures displaced vertically for clarity. All dopings show the development of the relaxational mode (RM) in the tetragonal phase described by $A(x)$ at $T_c(x)$, at $T_c(x)$, and vanishes for $x = 0.08$. The doping dependence of the superconducting features at 5 K in both $\chi''_{XY}(\omega)$ (top row) and $\chi''_{XY}(\omega)$ (bottom row) in comparison to normal state spectra at 23 K is summarized in Fig. 9. In the top panel, $\omega_{A_{1g}} \approx 68 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (8.5 meV), $2 \Delta_{\gamma}$, and $2 \Delta_{\delta}$ are present from $x = 0.0175$ to 0.05, and they are nearly independent of doping [Fig. 10(a)]. $2 \Delta_{\gamma}$ and $2 \Delta_{\delta}$ are consistent with ARPES [72,73] and are assigned as pair-breaking excitations across the corresponding superconducting gaps (Fig. 9). The width of $\omega_{A_{1g}}$ is less than 1 meV for $x \leq 0.0225$ [Fig. 10(b)], after which it broadens and its intensity diminishes gradually until it vanishes before $x = 0.08$. $\omega_{A_{1g}}$ and $\omega_{B_{2g}}$ qualify as true in-gap excitations as their energy lies below the minimal quasiparticle gap, $2 \Delta_{\gamma}$ (Fig. 9). In contrast to the superconducting gap feature at the $2 \Delta$ threshold, which is characterized by a square-root divergence [64], the in-gap collective modes appear as sharp $\delta$-function-like resonances.

Next we interpret the spectrum of collective modes as they may present pertinent information of the superconducting state [64,65,102–107]. Early studies focused on the Bardasis-Schrieffer mode in BCS single-band $s$-wave superconductors where attraction in a non-$s$-wave particle-particle ($p$-$p$) channel would result in the Bardasis-Schrieffer mode forming
FIG. 9. Raman susceptibilities $\chi''_{XY}(\omega)$ and $\chi''_{XX}(\omega)$ in the superconducting state. (a) $\chi''_{XY}(\omega)$ in the superconducting (5 K) and normal (23 K) states at doping levels as indicated. The vertical dashed line, shown for $x = 0.0175$ and 0.05, indicates the lowest superconducting gap determined by ARPES at $\approx 9$ meV [72] and $\approx 10$ meV [73], respectively.

below the $2\Delta$ gap edge [108]. In multiband superconductors with weak interband interactions, which applies to MgB$_2$, the Leggett mode results from coherent Cooper pair interband tunneling [65,109,110]. In multiband superconductors with strong interband interactions, which applies to pnictides including NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As, the Leggett mode is pushed above the $2\Delta$ gap edge, where it becomes overdamped and is therefore undetectable.

Recently, Chubukov et al. predicted a new in-gap exciton in pnictides to appear in $A_{1g}$ symmetry below $2\Delta$ consistent with a condensate with $s^\pm$ symmetry [66,103]. Rather than Cooper pairs, this mode is composed of particle-hole ($p$-$h$) pairs forming a bound exciton in $A_{1g}$ symmetry. A Raman study of collective modes in multiband superconductors predicted a new $p$-$h$ mode in $B_{2g}$ symmetry below $2\Delta$, and it also discusses the Bardasis-Schrieffer mode [105].

A. Particle-hole exciton modes

We assign $\omega_{B_{2g}}^{p-h}$ to the $p$-$h$ charge exciton predicted by Chubukov et al. [66,103]. This $p$-$h$ mode in $A_{1g}$ symmetry is represented by in-phase breathing between the electron and hole FSs [Fig. 12(b) and Appendix E, and Fig. 6(b)]. This breathing mode entails periodic charge transfer between the particle and hole pockets. The out-of-phase breathing of the particle and hole pockets turns the repulsion into an effective

FIG. 10. Doping dependence of superconducting gaps, in-gap collective modes, and their width. (a) Doping dependence of the superconducting gaps and in-gap collective modes from Fig. 9. (b) Normalized $\omega_{B_{2g}}^{p}$ at various dopings from Fig. 9.
Underdoped regime. This explains the presence of two peaks rather than one in the spectrum.

It was shown that if the superconducting OP changes sign on crossing the Fermi surface, attraction in the Cooper channel for the opposite sign of the OP for the particle and hole pockets. Hence, both $\omega_{s}^{p-h}$ and $s_{\pm}$ depend on the interpocket interaction winning over intrapocket repulsion [65,103]. $\omega_{A_{1g}}^{h}$ signifies attraction in the $s$-wave channel in much the same way as $\omega_{p}^{h}$ does in the $d$-wave channel. If strong enough, such attraction may lead to the Pomeranchuk instability in the $A_{1g}$ channel.

The significant intensity of $\omega_{B_{2g}}^{h}$ suggests that it couples to light directly, implying that it is the $d$-wave counterpart of the $\omega_{s}^{h}$ exciton [105]. Because $\chi_{XY}(\omega,T,x)$ is controlled by a large coupling constant $g$, the $\omega_{B_{2g}}^{h}$ resonance, which is facilitated by a positive feedback of the superconductivity, emerges from the normal state RM upon cooling through $T_{c}$ while retaining its identity as a bound state of $d_{\pm}$ $p-h$ oscillations. Hence at higher dopings, where the structural transition is suppressed, these $d$-wave Pomeranchuk fluctuations grow strong. Below $T_{c}$, where low-lying excitations are removed, the RM gains coherence, and $\omega_{B_{2g}}^{h}$ appears as a sharp resonance.

The immediate consequence of attraction in the $B_{2g}$ channel is the in-gap resonant modes below the quasiparticle continuum in the superconducting state. Hence the attraction in the $XY$ channel leads to a sharp resonance below the $p-h$ continuum [111]. We note that the attraction causing the resonance is operational in the $p-h$ channel, while it is well known that the $p-h$ and Cooper channels do not have a separate existence and are combined into a single in-gap mode [102]. It was shown that if the superconducting OP changes sign on different sheets of the FS, the two channels disentangle [105]. This explains the presence of two peaks rather than one in the underdoped regime.

### B. Bardasis-Schrieffer collective mode

For dopings $x \lesssim 0.0175$ and temperatures $\approx 3$ K, a new weak mode appears at $\omega_{B_{2g}}^{p-p} \approx 25$ cm$^{-1}$ (3.1 meV), which becomes stronger for decreasing doping while $\omega_{A_{1g}}^{h}$ weakens considerably [Figs. 11 and 12(a)]. $\omega_{B_{2g}}^{p-p}$, which we attribute to a Bardasis-Schrieffer mode, exists only in a narrow doping window to the right for both the $T_{c}(x)$ and $T_{SDW}(x)$ lines [36–39,112]. The maximum $\chi_{XY}^{B_{2g}}(T,x)$ in Fig. 3(a) tracks the known part of the $T_{c}(x)$ line, and at higher dopings it is then observed to curve slightly in toward lower dopings for decreasing temperatures but below $\theta(x)$ in a region we will name SC2 [see Figs. 3(a), 11, and 12(a)]. In contrast to the detrimental effect of the DW state with the DW gap depleting the density of states, superconductivity below $\theta(x)$ is not obstructed by the Pomeranchuk instability. Thus, superconductivity in the orthorhombic phase appears in the narrow doping window below $\theta(x)$ (region SC2).

The Bardasis-Schrieffer mode is excited indirectly by photons as the transformation of a $p-h$ into a Cooper pair requires the assistance of the condensate [102,105,113]. For $g > 0$, pairing in the $d$-wave channel provides the conditions for the Bardasis-Schrieffer mode to exist. Figure 12(a) shows an energy diagram of the superconducting state including the superconducting gap $2\Delta$ and the in-gap collective modes $\omega_{A_{1g}}^{h}$, $\omega_{B_{2g}}^{h}$, and $\omega_{B_{2g}}^{p-p}$ shown together with their spectroscopic signatures in the Raman data.

### VIII. Quantum Critical Point Inside the Superconducting Dome

Beneath the superconducting dome but above $\theta(x)$, which we will name SC1, the susceptibility diverges upon approaching $\theta(x)$ and the $\omega_{B_{2g}}^{p-h}$ exciton acquires extraordinary strength. However, in region SC2, below $\theta(x)$, the Pomeranchuk fluctuations are gapped and the $\omega_{B_{2g}}^{p-h}$ exciton susceptibility is rapidly suppressed. Upon decreasing the distance to $\theta(x)$, i.e., at lower dopings away from $x_{c}$, the Bardasis-Schrieffer mode gets sharper by borrowing spectral weight from the $\omega_{B_{2g}}^{p-h}$ exciton [Figs. 11 and 12(a)]. The interaction between $\omega_{B_{2g}}^{p-h}$ and $\omega_{B_{2g}}^{p-p}$ versus doping is similar to that discussed for FeSe in Ref. [105].
FIG. 12. Energy diagram of the superconducting state. (a) Energy diagram of the superconducting state including the superconducting gap $2\Delta$ and the in-gap collective modes $\omega_{p_B}^{p-h}$, $\omega_{B_2g}^{p-h}$, and $\omega_{B_2g}^{p-p}$ shown together with their spectroscopic signatures in the Raman data. The red horizontal dashed line indicating the lowest superconducting gap coincides with that determined by ARPES at $9.22\text{ meV}$ for $x = 0.0175$ [72]. The $A_{1g}$ spectrum for $x = 0.0175$ (green) was obtained at 5 K with an excitation energy of $\omega_L = 2.6\text{ eV}$, and the $B_{2g}$ spectra for $x = 0.0165$ (orange) and $x = 0.0175$ (purple) were obtained at 3 K and $\omega_L = 1.91\text{ eV}$. The modes determined from a two-band-model calculation (not included) are shown together with the $A_{1g}$ and $B_{2g}$ spectra for illustration, and they have the area fully colored below the modes of $\omega_{p_B}^{p-h}$ (green), $\omega_{B_2g}^{p-h}$ (dark blue), and $\omega_{B_2g}^{p-p}$ (light blue). (b) Illustration of the symmetry of the BZ for the $\omega_{p_B}^{p-h}$ and $\omega_{B_2g}^{p-h}$ modes in the $p-h$ channel having, respectively, $s^\pm$ and $d^\pm$ symmetry (see Appendix E).

The existence of the two superconducting regions SC1 and SC2 that feature the doping-dependent $\omega_{p_B}^{p-h}$ and $\omega_{B_2g}^{p-h}$ exciton modes (Fig. 11), separated by $T = \theta(x)$, defines a QCP at $x_c$ lying beneath the superconducting dome [Fig. 3(a)]. The location of the boundary between SC1 and SC2 is affected by the competition between the nematic and superconducting orders for carriers [9,54,114]. Below the Pomeranchuk instability at $\theta(x)$, the Pomeranchuk fluctuations vanish and SC2 is characterized by a rhombohedral primitive unit cell, broken $C_4$ symmetry, and a quadrupole lattice ordered in an orbital pattern [Fig. 1(g)]. In SC1, the critical fluctuations become quantum in nature, and upon decreasing the nonthermal control parameter $x$ from the overdoped regime, $\omega_{B_2g}^{p-h}$ gains in strength upon approaching $x_c$. When crossing into SC2, the intensity of the $\omega_{B_2g}^{p-h}$ resonance collapses and $\omega_{B_2g}^{p-p}$ appears indicative of a QCP occurring at $x_c$. Hence, with doping as a control parameter, we probe spectral weight transfer from the strong $p-h$ $B_{2g}$ exciton to the emerging Bardasis-Schrieffer mode and find signatures of a QCP lying beneath the superconducting dome [105].

The QCP is associated with non-Fermi-liquid behavior, and its occurrence at the Pomeranchuk instability becoming quantum at $\theta(x = x_c) \equiv 0$ suggests it is driven by quadrupole Pomeranchuk fluctuations. The same scenario may prevail in BaFe$_2$(As$_{1-x}$P$_x$)$_2$, where a QCP is clearly present below the superconducting dome [62], but where a study using NMR, x rays, and neutrons finds no signatures of a QCP [63]. This suggests that the criticality or the QCP arises from the quadrupole Pomeranchuk QCP.

We find that the criticality of the QCP does not arise from either the structural or SDW transitions in support of the quadrupole Pomeranchuk QCP presented in the main text. This conclusion is supported by recent theoretical studies of superconductivity driven by nematic fluctuations at or near a nematic QCP, which find the following: pairing in the $s^\pm$-wave channel is boosted by $d^\pm$-wave symmetry fluctuations [61], and near a QCP and Pomeranchuk transitions, superconductivity is strongly enhanced [115]. This study concludes that superconductivity is determined by a delicate interplay between the two competing effects, namely the pairing tendencies of OP fluctuations and strong non-Fermi-liquid effects due to electronic fluctuations;
The Raman measurements were corrected for the spectral response of the spectrometer and detector in obtaining the Raman scattering intensity, $I_{\omega',\omega''}(\omega) = (1+n)\chi'''(\omega) + L(\omega)$. Here, $L(\omega)$ is a small luminescence background and $\epsilon'$ and $\epsilon''$ are the polarization vectors for the incident and scattered photons for a given scattering geometry with respect to the unit cell [Fig. 1(b)]. The recorded Raman intensity was background-subtracted with a near-linear line and a constant determined for each polarization geometry as illustrated in Fig. 13.

APPENDIX B: COUPLING OF POMERANCHUK FLUCTUATIONS TO THE RAMAN PROBE

The goal of this appendix is to show microscopically that the photons in the $B_{2g}$ configuration are coupled to the local orbital fluctuations shown in Fig. 1(f). As the orbital character of Raman-driven excitations plays a central role in our analysis, we derive this coupling explicitly. Apart from the transition between $d_{z^2}$ and $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals, the $d_{xy}$ orbital excitations are accessible in the $B_{2g}$ configuration. The Raman response, Eq. (2), is determined by the Raman operators $\tilde{\rho}^{d,s}$ discussed in detail below.

We point out that the standard effective-mass approximation [64,67,127] is applicable only to pockets derived from a single nondegenerate band with well-defined orbital content. This is the case for the $\gamma$ pocket derived predominantly from $d_{xy}$ orbitals; see Figs. 1(c)–1(e). Within the effective-mass approximation, however, to the extent that this pocket is approximately circular, the $B_{2g}$ coupling to the $\gamma$ pocket is relatively weak, and we focus on the other two electron and hole pockets at the $M$ and at the $\Gamma$ points, respectively.

Consider the Raman coupling to the $s$ or $f$ hole pockets first. It is convenient to use the symmetry-constrained $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}$ Luttinger Hamiltonian [128],

$$\mathcal{H}^{d,s}(k) = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \epsilon_\Gamma + \frac{k_x^2}{2m_r} + 2\tilde{a}k_xk_y & \tilde{c}(k_y^2 - k_x^2) \\ \tilde{c}(k_x^2 - k_y^2) & \epsilon_\Gamma + \frac{k_y^2}{2m_r} - 2\tilde{a}k_xk_y \end{array} \right].$$

(B1)
Here the parameters $\epsilon_F$, $m_1$, $\hat{a}$, and $\hat{c}$ are determined by a fit to the five-band tight-binding model or by first-principles calculations, which are tabulated in Ref. [128] for selected iron superconductors. We set $\hat{a} = \hat{c}$, which corresponds to circular hole FSs. At the $\Gamma$ point, $\mathbf{k} = 0$, the two Bloch states are degenerate. These states are characterized by well-defined orbital content, and we denote the creation operators of these states by $d_{\alpha,\mu}(\mathbf{k}) = d_{\alpha,\mu}(\gamma_k \gamma_z \mathbf{k})$. In terms of these operators, the Hamiltonian (B1) takes the form $H^{I}(k) = \sum_{\alpha_1=\alpha,\beta} \sum_{\mu=1,2} \sum_{\nu} \sum_{j} \sum_{t} \frac{\partial^2 H_{\nu j}^{(I)}(k)}{\partial k_{\alpha_1} \partial k_{\beta}} d_{\alpha_1 \mu}^{\dagger}(k) d_{\beta \nu}^{\dagger} \delta_{jk}$. The representation $\chi_{XY}$ is referred to as an orbital to be contrasted with the band representation obtained by diagonalization of (B1). The Raman coupling to the $\alpha/\beta$ pockets is a matrix in orbital space [19,129],

$$\rho^{I,\alpha}_{\nu j}(k) = \sum_{s} \sum_{t} \frac{\partial^2 H_{\nu j}^{(I)}(k)}{\partial k_{\alpha} \partial k_{\beta}} d_{\alpha \mu}^{\dagger}(k) d_{\beta \nu}^{\dagger} \delta_{jk}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (B2)

In the single-band approximation, the orbital indices are redundant, and the more familiar effective-mass approximation results.

Substitution of Eq. (B2) in Eq. (B1) gives for the Raman vertex in $B_{2g}$ geometry

$$\rho^{I,\alpha}_{\nu j}(k) \propto \sum_{s} \sum_{t} (d_{\alpha \mu}^{\dagger}(k) - d_{\beta \nu}^{\dagger})$$  \hspace{1cm} (B3)

Therefore, the physical meaning of the $B_{2g}$ Raman probe is the quadrupole excitations causing orbital population imbalance, as illustrated in Fig. 1(f).

To understand the implications of the $B_{2g}$ Raman probe in the band representation, one diagonalizes the Hamiltonian (B1), which yields $\alpha$ and $\beta$ bands with Bloch states created by the operators $\alpha_{\mu}^{\dagger}$ and $\beta_{\mu}^{\dagger}$, respectively. In the band representation, the Raman vertex takes the form

$$\rho^{I,\alpha}_{\nu j}(k) \propto \sum_{s} \sum_{t} \frac{\partial^2 H_{\nu j}^{(I)}(k)}{\partial k_{\alpha} \partial k_{\beta}} d_{\alpha \mu}^{\dagger}(k) d_{\beta \nu}^{\dagger} \delta_{jk},$$  \hspace{1cm} (B4)

where $\phi_{k}$ is the angle formed by the vector $\mathbf{k}$ and the $x$ direction in the BZ. The first intraband contribution in (B4) describes the out-of-phase breathing of the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ bands with the amplitude changing as $\sin 2\phi_{k}$, as shown in Fig. 1(f). The nodes of the intraband $B_{2g}$ excitation are along $k_x$ and $k_y$ as expected. We conclude that the Raman response in $B_{2g}$ symmetry couples directly to the Pomeranchuk fluctuations of the FS. The second, interband part of the coupling (B4) plays a role in the temperature and frequency dependence of the $B_{2g}$ response.

The electron pockets coupling to photons can be analyzed along the same lines as is done above for holes using the same effective Hamiltonian approach. Instead of Eq. (B1), we have for the electron pockets [128]

$$h^{\pm}_{M}(k) = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{1} + \frac{k^2}{2m_{1}} & a_{1} k_{x} & -i v_{1} z_{y}(k) \\ i v_{1} z_{y}(k) & \epsilon_{1} + \frac{k^2}{2m_{1}} & a_{1} k_{y} \\ i v_{1} z_{y}(k) & i v_{1} z_{y}(k) & \epsilon_{1} + \frac{k^2}{2m_{1}} + a_{3} k_{x} k_{y} \end{bmatrix},$$  \hspace{1cm} (B5)

where the upper and lower signs refer to the two electron pockets, $v_{\pm} \approx v(\pm k_{x} + k_{y})$, and $a_{1,3}, m_{1,3}, \epsilon_{1,3}$ are $v$ are parameters to be fixed by matching to the band-structure calculations. The matrix (B5) for the $+(-)$ signs acts in the space of Bloch states that have $xz$, $xy$ ($yz$, $xy$) orbital content. Again, the electron equivalent of Eq. (B2) tells us that the $B_{2g}$ coupling excites the $\pi$ phase-shifted breathing of the two electron pockets. We obtain for an intraband contribution

$$\rho^{I,\alpha}_{\nu j}(k) \propto \sum_{s} \sum_{t} F(\phi_{k}) (\delta_{j} - \delta_{k}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (B6)

Equation (B6) shows that photons in the $B_{2g}$ configuration cause the two electron pockets to breathe with a phase difference of $\pi$.

### APPENDIX C: RELAXATIONAL MODE FITTING PROCEDURE

The shape of the Raman response $\chi_{XY}(\omega,T,x)$ with the relaxation mode (RM) and the emergent critical behavior above $T_{c}(T,x)$ can be described by an expression for interacting susceptibilities given by

$$\chi_{XY}(\omega,T,x) = \frac{\lambda^2}{1 - g^2 \chi_{XY}(\omega,T,x)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (C1)

Here, $\lambda$ is the coupling of light to the quadrupole charge-density fluctuations in $XY$ symmetry (mainly to the $b$ band), $\chi_{XY}(\omega,T,x)$ is the noninteracting susceptibility, and $g$ is the coupling constant.

Raman spectroscopy as a dynamic probe is well-suited to account for the relaxation processes that determine $[\chi_{XY}(\omega,T,x)]''$. Toward that end, we assume that $[\chi_{XY}(\omega,T,x)]''$ is controlled by a single energy scale, $\Gamma_T$. In addition, we assume it to saturate at large frequencies. Since at low frequencies $[\chi_{XY}(\omega,T,x)]'' \propto \omega$, we model it as $[\chi_{XY}(\omega,T,x)]'' = C \arctan(\omega/\Gamma_T)$, where $C$ is a constant. Correspondingly, causality yields

$$\chi_{XY}(\omega,T,x) = \frac{C}{\pi} \log \left( \frac{(\omega + i \Gamma_T)^2 - \Lambda^2}{(\omega + i \Gamma_T)^2} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (C2)

where $\Lambda$ is a high-frequency cutoff. The scale $\Gamma_T$ contains contributions from a few elastic and inelastic processes listed below. While for zero momentum the intraband processes are forbidden [19,130], this is not so in the present case with finite optical penetration depth. In addition, the interband transitions at high temperatures make a contribution to $[\chi_{XY}(\omega,T,x)]''$ that scales as $\omega/\Gamma_T$. Recently, the scattering mechanism that involves both the disorder and long-wavelength critical quadrupole fluctuations was shown to give rise to a nearly $T$-linear scattering rate [89]. This contribution is expected to grow with doping. We also note Aslamazov-Larkin corrections due to fluctuations at momentum $Q_{\pi,\pi} = (\pi/a,\pi/a)$ [13,34,131] that are quite different from the quadrupolar fluctuations, which also contribute to the scattering rate, $\sim 2k_{f} T$, since the velocities of electrons and holes are antiparallel. Unlike the above-mentioned contribution, the Aslamazov-Larkin contribution weakens with doping as the deviation from perfect nesting suppresses the coupling of fluctuations at $Q_{\pi,\pi}$ to the zero-momentum quadrupolar fluctuations [132]. We also note that the elastic scattering off the disorder yields a constant $T$-independent contribution to the scattering rate [133], which
grows with doping. All of the above scattering processes contribute to \( \chi^{(0)}_{XY}(\omega,T,x) \). Our results of the QEP scaling are nevertheless universal because the exact temperature dependence of \( \Gamma_T \) at bare level without the effects of quadrupole attraction is not essential. What is essential is that the relaxation rate in the renormalized Raman susceptibility Eq. (C1) is reduced compared to the bare value \( \Gamma_T \). Therefore, the reduction in the observed width of \( \chi^{(0)}_{XY}(\omega,T,x) \) reflects the tendency to order at the Pomeranchuk instability.

For \( \omega \lesssim \Gamma_T \), substitution of Eq. (C2) in Eq. (C1) yields the following relaxation expression for the RM of the \( \chi_{XY} \) susceptibility:

\[
\chi''_{XY}(\omega,T,x) \propto \frac{\omega \omega P}{\omega^2 P(T,x) + \omega^2},
\]

where \( \omega P(T,x) = \Gamma_T [1/\tilde{g} - \log(\Lambda/\Gamma_T)] \) and \( \tilde{g} = C g \). Equation (C1) ensures the critical behavior with temperature above \( T_S(x) \) and \( T_c(x) \) of the static susceptibility where \( 1/\chi_{XY}(0,T,x) \propto 1/\chi^{(0)}_{XY}(0,T,x) - g \). Here \( \theta(x) \) is defined by \( g \) in terms of \( \chi^{(0)}_{XY}(0,\theta,x) = 1/g \).

Our basic assumption of attraction in the \( d^\pm \) \( p-h \) channel follows from the critical enhancement of \( \chi_{XY}(\omega,T,x) \) and implies \( g > 0 \). For higher dopings, the electron and hole FSs uncouple, and our assumption of attraction in the \( d^\pm \) \( p-h \) channel eventually breaks down. Hence, the criticality persists but weakens with doping.

We use expression (C3) with a simultaneous fit of the RM as a function of frequency, temperature, and doping dependence. Assuming a weak temperature dependence of the scattering rate, we use the expansion \( \chi''_{XY}(\omega,T,x) = \Gamma T [1/\tilde{g} - \log(\Lambda/\Gamma_T)] \) and \( \tilde{g} = C g \). Equation (C1) ensures the critical behavior with temperature above \( T_S(x) \) and \( T_c(x) \) of the static susceptibility where \( 1/\chi_{XY}(0,T,x) \propto 1/\chi^{(0)}_{XY}(0,T,x) - g \). Here \( \theta(x) \) is defined by \( g \) in terms of \( \chi^{(0)}_{XY}(0,\theta,x) = 1/g \).

Our basic assumption of attraction in the \( d^\pm \) \( p-h \) channel follows from the critical enhancement of \( \chi_{XY}(\omega,T,x) \) and implies \( g > 0 \). For higher dopings, the electron and hole FSs uncouple, and our assumption of attraction in the \( d^\pm \) \( p-h \) channel eventually breaks down. Hence, the criticality persists but weakens with doping.

We use expression (C3) with a simultaneous fit of the RM as a function of frequency, temperature, and doping dependence. Assuming a weak temperature dependence of the scattering rate, we use the expansion \( \chi''_{XY}(\omega,T,x) = \Gamma T [1/\tilde{g} - \log(\Lambda/\Gamma_T)] \) and \( \tilde{g} = C g \). Equation (C1) ensures the critical behavior with temperature above \( T_S(x) \) and \( T_c(x) \) of the static susceptibility where \( 1/\chi_{XY}(0,T,x) \propto 1/\chi^{(0)}_{XY}(0,T,x) - g \). Here \( \theta(x) \) is defined by \( g \) in terms of \( \chi^{(0)}_{XY}(0,\theta,x) = 1/g \).

APPENDIX D: FIRST-PRINCIPLES BAND-STRUCTURE CALCULATIONS

The first-principles calculations use a combination of density-functional theory and dynamical mean-field theory (DFT+DMFT) [134] as in Ref. [135]. It is based on the full-potential linear augmented plane-wave method implemented in WIEN2K [136] for carrying out first-principles calculations. The electronic charge is computed self-consistently in the DFT+DMFT density matrix. The continuous-time quantum Monte Carlo method [135,137] was used to solve the quantum impurity problem using the Coulomb repulsion in its fully rotational form.

We used the experimentally determined lattice structure for NaFeAs with the lattice constants \( a = 3.947 29 \) Å, \( b = 6.991 12 \) Å, and atomic positions \( z = 0.5 \) for Fe, \( z_1 = 0.702 34 \) for As, and \( z_2 = 0.146 73 \) for Na [138]. The calculations were done in the paramagnetic state with Coulomb interactions \( U = 5.0 \) eV and \( J_H = 0.8 \) eV at a temperature of \( T = 116 \) K (see Fig. 15).

APPENDIX E: SYMMETRY MODES IN MOMENTUM SPACE

In XY symmetry, modulations of the FSs around the \( \Gamma \) and \( M \) point with nodes along \( \Gamma X \) and \( \Gamma Y \) lead to a neutral quadrupole charge-density mode with either \( d^{++} \) or \( d^{++} \) symmetry of the BZ; see Fig. 6(a). The critical charge fluctuations above the structural transition \( T_S \) originate from local electron-hole excitations with charge transfer between the \( d_{xz} \) and \( d_{yz} \) orbitals on the Fe-sites, which introduces a
FIG. 15. Momentum- and frequency-resolved electronic spectra $A(k,\omega)$ along the $\Gamma$-M high-symmetry line. (a) DFT without spin-orbit coupling (SOC). (b) DFT with SOC. (c) DFT + DMFT without SOC. (d) DFT + DMFT with SOC. Without SOC, the eigenvalues of the electronic states with $xz$ and $yz$ orbital character are degenerate at the zone center $\Gamma$ point due to the fourfold symmetry of the tetragonal crystal structure in the paramagnetic state. Including SOC lifts this degeneracy, leading to a splitting of the $xz/yz$ states, roughly 70 meV at the $\Gamma$ point in the static mean-field treatment (DFT+SOC). However, strong electronic correlations strongly renormalize this static mean-field splitting to $\approx 10$ meV in the DFT+DMFT+SOC calculation.

quadrupole moment in $B_{2g}$ or $XY$ symmetry. These local $d_{xz}$ and $d_{yz}$ charge-transfer processes are the primary excitations sustaining the quadrupole pattern. Secondly, the phasing between the $\Gamma$ and $M$ point is dictated by interband interactions across the Fermi level at the $\Gamma$ and $M$ point, as illustrated in Fig. 6(a). The FSs elongate and squeeze along $\Gamma M$ and $\Gamma M'$ into quadrants ($d^{++}$) or half-quadrants ($d^{\pm}$) defined by the nodes. The quadrupole mode is neutral where the charge at both the $\Gamma$ and $M$ point is conserved as well as the overall charge of all participating FSs. Hence, the quadrupole mode results from deformations of the FSs in which charge redistribution by intraband and interband transitions causes a quadrupole pattern of changing positive and negative half-quadrant regions of more or less charge. Out-of-phase charge modulation yields $d^{\pm}$ symmetry where the FSs at the $\Gamma$ and $M$ point elongate and squeeze in-phase, while in-phase charge modulation corresponds to $d^{++}$ symmetry. Simultaneous $(\pi,\pi)$ and $(-\pi, -\pi)$ two-electron-hole exchange interactions will promote $d^{\pm}$ symmetry.

The in-phase breathing mode predicted by Chubukov et al. [103] and later verified by Klein et al. [66] in $A_{1g}$ symmetry is illustrated in Fig. 6(b). This in-phase breathing mode is a particle-hole exciton that forms in $A_{1g}$ symmetry and is consistent with an $s^{\pm}$ condensate. It is represented by in-phase breathing of the electron and hole FSs and entails charge transfer between the two pockets.

[75] A similar phase diagram is observed for Ba(Fe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$)$_2$As$_2$ (94).
[84] NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As has in contrast to most other pnictides $T_\text{SDW}(x)$ and $T_{\text{Str}}(x)$ separated by ≈10 K.