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CHAPTER I*

1. Description of Surfaces and Statement of Problem.

The symmetric "semi-cosinic" surface $F$ covering the w-plane is determined by the sequence of real numbers $a_k (k=1,2,\ldots)$, with $a_1 > 0$, $a_{2m+1} > a_{2m}$.

$F$ is composed of the sheets $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_K, \ldots$; $S_1$ is a replica of the w-plane cut along the positive real axis from $w=a_1$ to $w=\infty$; $S_K (k>1)$ is a replica of the w-plane cut along the real axis except for the interval between $a_{k-1}$ and $a_k$. $S_1$ and $S_2$ are joined along their cuts from $a_1$ to $+\infty$, forming a first order branch point over $w=a_1$; $S_K$ and $S_{K+1}$ are joined along their cuts from $a_k$ to $(-1)^{K+1} \infty$. Note that in the particular case $a_k = (-1)^{K+1}$, $F$ is the Riemann surface of the function $z = (\arccos w)^{1/2}$; therefore, any symmetric semi-cosinic surface, being topologically equivalent to this one, is open and simply-connected.

MacLane, [3], proves the following theorem:

* The author wishes to express his appreciation to Professor G. R. MacLane for the suggestion of the problem treated in this paper.

** The numbers in brackets refer to the references listed at the end of this paper.
Theorem II: The symmetric semi-cosinel surface $\mathcal{F}$ is always parabolic; it is the $(1-1)$ image of the $z$-plane by an entire function

$$w = F(z) = \int_0^z f(t) \, dt$$

where $f(z) = e^{\frac{\pi i}{2} \sum (1 - \frac{z}{b_k})}, 0 < b_1 < b_2 \cdots, \sum \frac{1}{b_k} < \infty, \delta > 0$, the branch point over $W = \infty$, corresponding to $z = b_k$, and $F(z) = c \in S_1$.

We shall be concerned with properties of $F(z)$ for some suitably chosen functions $f(z)$; in particular, we are interested in the relation between the distribution of the zeros of $f(z)$ and the distribution of the branch points of $F(z)$. In each case to be treated $\delta > 0$; and, thus, $f(z)$ reduces to the canonical product.

2. Preliminary Theorems. It is convenient to list here several theorems which will be valuable in the sequel. They will be referred to by the number given here.

Theorem 1). Given an entire function $g(z)$ of order $< \frac{1}{2}$, we can find a sequence of circles of indefinitely increasing radii described about the origin as center on which the minimum modulus of $g(z)$ tends to infinity. (L5, p. 127)
We say that the zeros of \(f(z)\) accumulate in a direction \(\phi\) if the angle \(\phi - \epsilon < \arg z < \phi + \epsilon\) contains an infinity of zeros of \(f(z)\), however small \(\epsilon > 0\).

Then, we have

**Theorem 2.** If \(f(z)\) is entire and of finite order, the zeros of \(f(z)\) may accumulate only in those directions which are directions of accumulation of the zeros of \(f(z)\). (Biernacki, [2, pp. 530-535]).

**Theorem 3.** Let \(f(z)\) be an analytic function of \(z = e^{i\theta}\), regular in the region \(D\) between two straight lines making an angle \(\pi x\) at the origin, and on the lines themselves. Suppose that \(|f(z)| \leq M\) on the lines, and that, as \(r \to \infty\), \(f(z) = O(e^{-\beta r})\), \(\beta < \alpha\), uniformly in the angle. Then, \(|f(z)| \leq M\) holds throughout the region \(D\). (Phragmén-Lindelöf, [4, p. 177]).

We shall also need an extension of Picard's theorem due to Bieberbach, ([1,2, pp. 175-178]); as this theorem is not as well known as the preceding ones, an outline of its proof will be given below. First, we must obtain an extension of Schottky's theorem due to Bohr and Landau.

**Theorem 4.** If \(f(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \cdots\) is regular in \(|z| < R\) and in this domain does not take the values zero and one, then
\[ |\log f(z)| = O\left(\frac{K}{1 - |z|}\right) \], where \( K = K(a_0) \).

**Proof**

Let \( W = F(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \ldots \)

Denote by \( \Phi = \Phi(w) \) the modular function which maps the universal covering surface of the \( w \)-plane with the points \( 0, 1, \) and \( \infty \) removed onto \( |\phi| < 1 \) such that \( \phi(a_0) = 0 \).

Let \( F(z) = \Phi(F(z)) \) with \( F(0) = 0 \). By the monodromy theorem, \( F(z) \) is defined, single-valued, and holomorphic for \( |z| < 1 \). Since \( F(0) = 0 \) and \( |F(z)| < 1 \), we have by Schwarz's lemma,

\[ |F(z)| < \frac{1}{2} \]

Hence, the circle \( \{ |z| < 1 - \epsilon \} \) is mapped onto a subset of
Now, there are many triangles in the circle \( |\zeta| < 1 \) which cut the region \( \{ |\zeta| < 1 - \Theta \} \). However, it will be sufficient to consider the one triangle containing the origin:

To show this, it will be sufficient to show that any point of this triangle will be carried further from the origin by any of the inversions which are used to generate the modular function.

Map the circle \( |\zeta| = 1 \) onto the half-plane \( \Re \Theta \geq 0 \):
Now, the point \( z = \infty \) goes into the conjugate of the point into which \( z = 0 \) is transformed; hence, the circles \( |z| = \text{constant} \) pass into circles orthogonal to \( AB \), all of the circles having finite radius except that for \( |z| = 1 \). Consider the point \( C \) belonging to the triangle and its three nearest inverse points, \( C', C'', \text{ and } C''' \); it is obvious that these points are outside the circle \( \Gamma \) through \( C \) orthogonal to \( AB \). Further reflections carry the homographs farther away from \( \Gamma \); thus, on the \( \mathbb{F} \)-plane, farther from the center.

q.e.d.

So, let us consider the intersection of \( T_0 \) and \( |z| \leq 1 - \Theta \). By the nature of the mapping, it is apparent that \( \max \left| \phi'(z) \right| \) is assumed for some \( z \) belonging to the arc \( \alpha \). Thus, we need be concerned only with the portion of \( T_0 \) between \( |z| = 1 - \Theta \) and \( |z| = 1 \):
Mapping the exterior of the two circular regions bounded by $\beta$ and $\gamma$ onto the strip $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$:

This transformation is given by

$$\varphi = \frac{L(\xi)}{\xi - \xi_0}, \quad L(\xi) = \alpha \xi + b.$$

Then, mapping this region on a half-plane such that $\infty \leftrightarrow \infty$, $P_1 \leftrightarrow 0$, and $P_2 \leftrightarrow 1$:

Then, again onto a half plane, preserving $\infty$, $0$, and $1$:
The real axis must be preserved; hence, by the reflection principle, this last transformation is about infinity, of the form

\[ W = d\omega + e + \frac{f}{\omega} + \cdots \]

\[ = d\omega + O(i). \]

We have, by this series of mappings, developed the form of the inverse of the modular function about \( \mathfrak{f} \).

Thus,

\[ W = d\omega + O(i) \]

\[ = d'e^s + O(i) \]

\[ = d'e \frac{L(s)}{s-\mathfrak{f}_0} + O(i) \]

\[ = d'e \frac{L(s)}{s-\mathfrak{f}_0} (1+\eta), \eta \to 0 \]

as \( \mathfrak{s} \to \mathfrak{f}_0 \).
As $z \to z_0$, 

$$|\omega| = \left| \phi'(z) \right| = O\left( e^{\frac{K_1}{1-\epsilon}} \right)$$

$$= O\left( e^{\frac{K_1}{1-\epsilon}} \right),$$

where $K_1 = K_1(z_0)$.

Hence, for $|z| < 1 - \epsilon$, $|f(z)| = O\left( e^{\frac{K_1}{1-\epsilon}} \right)$

Now, $\frac{1}{f(z)}$ is regular and does not take the values 0 or $|z|$ for $|z| < 1$. Thus, for $|z| < 1 - \epsilon$,

$$\left| \frac{1}{f(z)} \right| = O\left( e^{\frac{K_2}{1-\epsilon}} \right), \quad K_2 = K_2(z_0)$$

$$\log |f(z)| = O\left( \frac{K}{1-\epsilon} \right), \quad K = K(z_0).$$

q.e.d.

A more explicit estimate on $K$ may be obtained; for this, see Bieberbach, [1.1, p. 225]. However, this estimate is sufficient for our purposes.

Only that part of Bieberbach's theorem that shall prove useful later will be given here; actually the theorem is somewhat more general.

Theorem 5). There exists no entire function of finite order
$\varphi \leq \frac{1}{2}$ such that all the roots of \( f(z) - a = 0 \) and \( f(z) - b = 0 \), \( a \neq b \), accumulate in a single direction. (The theorem is not true for \( \varphi = \frac{1}{2} \)).

**Proof**

It is obviously sufficient to take \( a = 0 \) and \( b = 1 \).

\[ \varphi(z) = \frac{z^{1/3} - 1}{z + 1} = \frac{z^{1/3} - 1}{z^{1/3} + 1} \]

First, let us consider \( \varphi(z) \), regular in $\frac{\text{ARG} z}{2} < \frac{\pi}{3}$ and $\neq 0, 1$ in this region.

Let \( \varphi(\zeta) = \varphi \left( \frac{z^{1/3} - 1}{z^{1/3} + 1} \right) = \varphi(z) \)

Then, \( \varphi(\zeta) \) is regular for $|\zeta| < 1$, and $\neq 0, 1$ there.

Now,

\[ \varphi(\zeta) = a_0 + a_1 \zeta + \cdots, \quad |\zeta| < 1. \]
By the Schottky-Landau-Bohr theorem,

\[ |\log \varphi(\xi)| < \frac{K(\epsilon)}{1 - |\xi|} \]

or,

\[ |\log \varphi(\xi)| < \frac{K(\epsilon)}{1 - |\xi|^2}, \quad \xi = \frac{z^{1/\lambda} - 1}{z^{1/\lambda} + 1} \]

But,

\[ 1 - |\xi| = 1 - \left| \frac{z^{1/\lambda} - 1}{z^{1/\lambda} + 1} \right| = \frac{|z^{1/\lambda} + 1| - |z^{1/\lambda} - 1|}{|z^{1/\lambda} + 1|} \geq \frac{C}{|z^{1/\lambda}|}, \quad C = C(\epsilon), \]

for \( |z| > z_0, |\text{ARG} z| \leq \alpha(\frac{\pi}{2} - \epsilon). \)

Therefore, for \( |z| \) sufficiently large, \( |\text{ARG} z| \leq \alpha(\frac{\pi}{2} - \epsilon), \)

\[ |\log \varphi(\xi)| < K(\epsilon) \cdot |z|^{1/\lambda}. \]
Now consider \( f(z) \), a function such that the roots of \( f(z) = 0 \) and \( f(z) - 1 = 0 \) accumulate in a single direction. It is sufficient to consider this direction as the negative real axis.

Consider the domain \( D \):

\[
|\text{ARG} z| < \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}, \alpha < 2.
\]

As all of the roots of \( f(z) = 0 \) and \( f(z) - 1 = 0 \) accumulate about \( \text{ARG} z = \pi \), for \( |z| \) sufficiently large, there exists \( A = A(\alpha) \) such that

\[
|f(z)| < C A|z|^\alpha
\]

If \( \rho_0 \) is "the order of \( f(z) \) in \( D \), \( \rho_0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \). As this is true for all \( \alpha < 2 \), \( \rho_0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \). It remains to show that the order of \( f(z) \leq \frac{1}{2} \). This may be shown in general; however, for all cases considered in this thesis, the maximum modulus is assumed in the portion of the plane already considered; so the proof will be omitted.
1. **Order of** \( F(\tau) \). Consider the sequence
\[
\alpha_m = \int_0^{\infty} f(x) \, dx = F(b_m).
\] The problem to be considered is this: what is the relation between the distribution of the \( \alpha_m \) and the order of \( F(\tau) \)? The results obtained are the following:

**Theorem 6.** If \( \alpha_m = O(1) \), then \( \tau \geq \frac{1}{2} \).

**Proof**

Consider \( F(x) = \int_0^x f(x) \, dx \). If \( b_k \leq x \leq b_{k+1} \), \( k \) even, then \( a_k \leq F(x) \leq a_{k+1} \). Thus, \( F(\tau) \) is bounded on the positive real axis. By theorem 1), \( \tau \geq \frac{1}{2} \).

q.e.d.

**Theorem 7.** If \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\alpha_{2n-1}}{\alpha_{2n}} > 0 \), then \( \tau < \frac{1}{2} \).

**Proof**

Let us consider the fundamental regions of the mapping; MacLane (\([3\, p.\ 112]\)) has shown them to be as shown below:
The curve \( C_m, m=1,2, \ldots \), is symmetric about the x-axis. The real axis plus the curves \( C_m \) form the real paths of \( F(\alpha) \), with \( C_m \) being mapped into the interval between \( a_m \) and \((-1)^m b_m \).

Consider the interval \( (\overline{a_m}, a_{2m}, \overline{b_m}, b_{2m}) = I \). The only direction of accumulation of zeros of \( F(\alpha) - \delta = 0, \ \alpha \in I \), is the positive real axis. Hence, by theorem 5), \( p < \frac{1}{2} \). q.e.d.

Corollary: If \( a_m = O(1) \), and \( \lim a_{2m} - \lim a_{2m+1} > 0 \), then \( p = \frac{1}{2} \).

2. Estimate on the Minimum Size of \( |a_{m+1} - a_m| \). Only functions of order less than one will be considered here. The Paley-Wiener theorem will be used to obtain some
rough estimates.

Let \( f(z) = \frac{\varphi}{1 - \frac{z}{b_n}} \), \( p < 1 \).

Let \( G(z) = f(z)f'(z) \). The order of \( G(z) \) is also \( p \). Therefore, for \( S > 0 \), there exists \( R_S > 0 \) such that

\[
|G(z)| < e^{-S|z|}, \quad |z| > R_S.
\]

First, \( G(z) \notin L_0L_2 \), where \( L_p \) denotes the class of functions \( g(x) \) such that \( \int_0^\infty |g(x)|^p dx \) exists in the sense of Lebesgue and is finite. For, if \( G(z) \in L_0L_2 \), then the Fourier transform \( S(\omega; G) \) would exist. But, by the Paley-Wiener theorem,

\[
S(\omega; G) = 0, \quad |\omega| \geq \delta
\]

As this is true for all \( \delta > 0 \),

\[
S(\omega; G) = 0
\]

Therefore, \( G(z) \equiv 0 \). But \( G(0) = 1 \), by hypothesis. Thus, we have a contradiction.

q.e.d.

Since \( G(z) = G(-z) \), \( G(z) \) does not belong to \( L_1(0, \infty) \) or \( L_2(0, \infty) \) as well; i.e.,

\[
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int |f(x)\overline{f(x)}| dx = \infty.
\]
Hence, if

\[ A_n = \max_{x \in [b_m, b_{m+1}]} |f(x)|, \]

\[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \int_{b_n}^{b_{n+1}} |f(x)| \, dx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n |a_n - a_{n+1}| = \infty. \]

Now, as our functions have real positive zeros, the maximum modulus is assumed along the negative axis; thus, for \( x > x_\epsilon \),

\[ |f(x)| < e^{b_n + \epsilon} \]

Therefore,

\[ A_n < e^{b_n + \epsilon} \]

So,

\[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{b_n + \epsilon} |a_{n+1} - a_n| = \infty. \]

Hence, there exist infinitely many \( m \) such that

\[ |a_{m+1} - a_m| > e^{b_{m+1}}, \quad \epsilon' > \epsilon. \]

Stating this result in theorem form, we have

**Theorem 8.** If \( f(\xi) = \frac{1}{|1 - \frac{\xi}{b_n}|}, \quad 0 < b_m \to \infty \), is of order \( q < 1 \) and \( A_m = \int_0^{b_m} |f(x)| \, dx \), then, for any \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exist infinitely many \( m \) for which

\[ |a_{m+1} - a_m| > e^{b_{m+1}}. \]
CHAPTER III

1. In this chapter actual numerical calculations will be made for asymptotic values for \(|a_{m+1} - a_m|\) when

\[
b_n = R^\alpha, \alpha > 1; \quad b_n = e^{km}, \quad k > 0; \quad \text{AND} \quad b_n = v.\]

It will prove convenient to introduce a function \(h(t)\) which is equivalent to the inverse of the counting function \(m(t)\) for the zeros of \(f(z)\). Consider

\[
f(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{b_n}\right), \quad \sum_{n} \frac{1}{b_n} < \infty.
\]

Let \(h(t)\) be a non-decreasing function with continuous first derivative such that \(h(b_n) = b_n\). It is obvious that \(h'(t)\), the inverse of \(h(t)\), is equal to \(m(t)\) when \(t = b_n, m = 1, 2, \ldots\), and \(h'(t) \to \infty\) in such a way that \(h'(t) \leq n\) for \(b_{n+1} < t < b_n\); hence, it is asymptotically equivalent to \(m(t)\). Now, as \(b_n\) is positive, \(\sum \frac{1}{b_n} < \infty\) implies

1) \(\frac{m(t)}{t} \to \infty\) as \(t \to \infty\)

2) \(\int_1^{\infty} \frac{m(t)}{t^2} dt < \infty\).

Analogously,

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{b_i} = \int_1^{N+1} \frac{d[s]}{h(s)} = \frac{N}{h(N)} + \int_{1}^{N+1} [t] \frac{h'(t) dt}{h(t)}
\]

Now, \(\sum \frac{1}{b_i} < \infty\), and both terms on the right are positive,
Hence,
\[ \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{h'(t) \delta(t)}{h(t)} \, dt < \infty. \]

Also, \( \frac{m}{b_m} \to 0 \). This gives \( \frac{t}{h(t)} \to 0 \) as \( t \to \infty \).

Now, let \( b_{m-1} \leq x \leq b_m \).

\[ f(x) = \left( \frac{x}{b_{m-1}} - 1 \right) \left( 1 - \frac{x}{b_m} \right) q_1(x) q_2(x), \]

where
\[ q_1(x) = \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} \left( 1 - \frac{x}{b_k} \right), \quad q_2(x) = \prod_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{x}{b_k} \right). \]

\[
\log q_1(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \log \left( \frac{x}{b_i} - 1 \right) = \int_{0}^{m-2} \log \left( \frac{x}{b_i} - 1 \right) \, dt
\]
\[ = \left[ t \log \left( \frac{x}{b_{m-2}} - 1 \right) \right]_{0}^{m-2} + x \int_{0}^{m-2} \frac{h'(t) \delta(t)}{h(t) \left( x - h(t) \right)} \, dt
\]
\[ = (m-2) \log \left( \frac{x}{b_{m-2}} - 1 \right) + x \int_{0}^{1} \frac{h'(t) \delta(t)}{h(t) \left( x - h(t) \right)} \, dt.
\]

\[
\log q_2(x) = \sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} \log \left( 1 - \frac{x}{b_i} \right) = \sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} \log \left( 1 - \frac{x}{b_i} \right) \, dt
\]
2. Let \( b_m = m^\alpha \), \( \alpha > 1 \), and take \( h(t) = t^\alpha \). Neither of the integrals above can be integrated in a finite number of steps for non-rational \( \alpha \), but we can obtain estimates which differ from them by a bounded factor.

As

\[
[t] = t - (t - [t] - \frac{1}{2}) - \frac{1}{2}
\]

\[
\int [t] \frac{h(t) dt}{h(t)[x-h(t)]} = \alpha \int [t] \frac{dt}{t(x-t^\alpha)}
\]

\[
= \alpha \int \frac{dt}{x-t^\alpha} - \alpha \int [t] \frac{dt}{t(x-t^\alpha)} - \alpha \int [t][x^\frac{1}{2}] \frac{dt}{t(x-t^\alpha)}
\]
\[
\int \frac{m^2 \, dt}{X - t^2} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int \frac{\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1}{x} \, \frac{\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1}{1 - u} \, du
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\alpha} \int \frac{(m-2)^x}{x} \, \frac{\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1}{1 - u} \, du
\]

Now, \[
\int_0^1 u^{\alpha-1} \, du < \int_0^1 \frac{u^{\alpha-1}}{1-u} \, du < \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{x}} \int_0^1 u^{\alpha-1} \, du
\]

\[
x^{\alpha-1} < \int_0^1 \frac{u^{\alpha-1}}{1-u} \, du < \frac{x^{\alpha-1}}{1 - \frac{1}{x}}
\]

Let \( F(\beta t) = \int_0^t \frac{\alpha \beta \, dv}{1-u} \), \( 0 \leq t < 1 \). The properties of \( F(\beta t) \) will be discussed later. Then,

\[
\int \frac{m^2 \, dt}{X - t^2} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int \frac{(m-2)^x}{x} \, \frac{\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1}{1-u} \, du + O(\frac{1}{x})
\]

\[
\int \frac{m^2 \, dt}{t(x-t)} = \frac{1}{\alpha x} \int \frac{(m-2)^x}{x} \, \frac{\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1}{\alpha(1-u)} \, du, \quad (t^\alpha = u x)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\alpha x} \int \frac{x}{(m-2)^x} \, \frac{\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1}{\alpha - 1} \, du, \quad (u = \frac{1}{\alpha x})
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\alpha x} \left[ \log(x-1) - \log(\frac{x}{(m-2)^x} - 1) \right]
\]
We wish to show that the remaining term is \( O\left(\frac{1}{m^a}\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \).

\[
\int_1^{m-2} \frac{\alpha(t)\,dt}{t^\alpha} = \left\{ \int_1^{3/2} + \int_{3/2}^{2} + \ldots + \int_{\xi}^{m-2} \right\} \frac{\alpha(t)\,dt}{t^\alpha}.
\]

Note that the sign of the integrand alternates and that the magnitude of the integral varies as shown below:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{I} \\
\frac{3}{2} \quad 2 \\
\text{II} \\
\frac{m-3}{2} \quad m-2
\end{array}
\]

Thus,

\[
\left| \int_1^{m-2} \frac{\alpha(t)\,dt}{t^\alpha} \right| < I + II + III
\]

But each of these is \( O\left(\frac{1}{m^a}\right) \) by the mean value theorem; therefore,

\[
\int_1^{m-2} \frac{\alpha(t)\,dt}{t^\alpha} = O\left(\frac{1}{m^a}\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)
\]

Combining the results,

\[
\int_1^{m-2} \frac{\alpha(t)\,dt}{t^\alpha} = \frac{x^{\frac{a-1}{\alpha}}}{\alpha} \cdot \text{F}\left(\frac{1}{a}, \frac{(m-2)^x}{x}\right) - \frac{1}{2\alpha x} \log(x-1)
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2\alpha x} \log\left(\frac{x}{(m-2)^x} - 1\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right).
\]
Hence,  

$$\log g(x) = (m - \frac{3}{2}) \log \left( \frac{x}{2m^2x-1} \right) + x^{\frac{1}{x}} F \left( \frac{1}{x}, \frac{m+3}{2x} \right)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \log (x-1) + O(1)$$

$$\int_{m+1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{h(t) dt}{h(t) h(t) - x^2} \right] = \alpha \int_{m+1}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{x^2 - x} - \frac{1}{x} \int_{m+1}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t(t^2 - 1)} \int_{m+1}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t(t^2 - x)}$$

$$\int_{m+1}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^2 - x} = \frac{X^{\frac{1}{x} - 1}}{x} \int_{m+1}^{\infty} \frac{u^{\frac{1}{x} - 1}}{1 - u} \, du, \quad (t^x = xu)$$

$$= \frac{X^{\frac{1}{x} - 1}}{x} \int_{m+1}^{\infty} \frac{u^{\frac{1}{x} - 1}}{1 - u} \, du, \quad (u = 1 - \frac{1}{x})$$

$$= \frac{X^{\frac{1}{x} - 1}}{x} F \left( \frac{1}{x}, \frac{x}{(m+1)^x} \right)$$

$$\int_{m+1}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t(t^2 - x)} = \frac{1}{\alpha x} \int_{m+1}^{\infty} \frac{du}{u^{m+2}} = - \frac{1}{\alpha x} \log \left( 1 - \frac{x}{(m+1)^x} \right)$$

$$\int_{m+1}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{(t-[t]-\frac{1}{2}) t(t^2 - x)} = \sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{m \geq m+2} \int_{m+1}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t(t^2 - x)}$$
Thus, \[ \left| \int_{m+1}^{m+3/2} \frac{dt}{(t - [t] - 1/2)(t - x)} \right| < \int_{m+1}^{m+3/2} = O\left(\frac{1}{m}\right) \]

Substituting,

\[
\log q_2(x) = -(m+\frac{1}{2}) \log \left(1 - \frac{x}{(m+1)x}\right) - \frac{1}{2} x \log \left(1 - \frac{x}{(m+1)x}\right) + O(1) \]

Now, to study \( F(\beta t) \):

\[
F(\beta t) = \int_0^\infty \frac{i}{1-v^a} dv = \int_0^t \frac{dv}{1-v^a} - \int_0^t \frac{1}{1-v^a} dv
\]

As \( \lim_{\alpha \to 0} \frac{1-v^a}{1-v^b} = \beta \), \( \int_0^1 \frac{1-v^a}{1-v^b} dv = O(1-t) \)

Setting \( -\int_0^1 \frac{1-v^a}{1-v^b} dv = C_0 \), we have

\[
F(\beta t) = C_0 - \log (1-t) + O(1-t)
\]

Letting \( C_1 = C_{\alpha-1} \), \( C_2 = C_{\beta} \), and noting that

\[
x^{\alpha}(1 - \frac{(m+1)^x}{x}) = O(1) \quad \text{and} \quad x^{\beta}(1 - \frac{x}{(m+1)^x}) = O(1)
\]

\[
\log q_1(x) = (m+\frac{3}{2}) \log \left(\frac{x}{(m+1)x} - 1\right) - \frac{1}{2} \log \left(1 - \frac{x}{(m+1)^x}\right) + C_1 m - \frac{1}{2} \log (x-1) + O(1)
\]

\[
\log q_2(x) = -[(m+\frac{1}{2}) - \frac{x}{2}] \log \left(1 - \frac{x}{(m+1)x}\right) - C_2 m + O(1).
\]
Since \((m-1) \leq x \leq m^2\), \(x = (m-\Theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}\), \(0 \leq \Theta \leq 1\).

\[
(m-\frac{3}{2}) \log \left(\frac{x}{m-2} \cdot \frac{1}{1}\right) = (m-\frac{3}{2}) \log \left[\frac{(m-\Theta)^{2}}{m-2}\right]
\]

\[
= (m-\frac{3}{2}) \log \left[\frac{m-\Theta}{m} + O(\frac{1}{m^2})\right]
\]

\[
= -(m-\frac{3}{2}) \log m + (m-\frac{3}{2}) \log (2m-\Theta) + O(1)
\]

\[
-x^{\frac{1}{2}} \log \left(1 - \frac{(m-2)x}{x}\right) = -(m-\Theta) \log \left[1 - \frac{(m-2)x}{x}\right]
\]

\[
= -(m-\Theta) \log m - (m-\Theta) \log (2\Theta) + O(1)
\]

\[
(x^{\frac{1}{2}} - m-\frac{1}{2}) \log \left(1 - \frac{x}{(m+1)x}\right) = -\left(\Theta + \frac{1}{2}\right) \log \left[\frac{m+(\Theta+1)}{m} + O(\frac{1}{m^2})\right]
\]

\[
= (\Theta + \frac{1}{2}) \log m - (\Theta + \frac{1}{2}) \log (\Theta+1) + O(1)
\]

Substituting and adding,

\[
\log g(x) g_2(x) = (C_1 - C_2) m - \frac{1}{2} \log (x-1) + 2 \log m + O(1)
\]

Using the symbol \(\equiv\) as follows:

\[
A \equiv B \quad \text{if and only if there} \quad K > 1 \quad \text{such that}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{K} A \leq B \leq KA
\]

we have

\[
\frac{g_1(x)}{g_2(x)} \equiv m^{-\frac{x}{2}+2} (C_1 - C_2) m
\]

\[
\left|\frac{x}{m} - c_{m-1}\right| = \int_{b_{m-1}}^{b_m} f(x) dx = \int_{b_{m-1}}^{b_m} \left(\frac{x}{(m-\Theta)^{x-1}}\right) g_2(x) g_2(x) dx
\]

\[
\equiv m^{\frac{x}{2}+2} (C_1 - C_2) m \int_{b_{m-1}}^{b_m} \left(\frac{x}{(m-\Theta)^{x-1}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{x}{m}\right) dx
\]
As
\[
\int_{a}^{b} \left( \frac{x}{a-1} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{x}{b} \right) \, dx = \frac{(b-a)^3}{6ab},
\]
\[
\int_{(m-1)^\alpha}^{m^\alpha} \left( \frac{x}{(m-1)^\alpha} - 1 \right) \left( 1 - \frac{x}{m^\alpha} \right) \, dx = \frac{[m^\alpha - (m-1)^\alpha]^3}{6m^\alpha(m-1)^\alpha},
\]
\[
= \left[ m^\alpha - (m-1)^\alpha \right] \left\{ \frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{m}{m-1} \right)^\alpha + \frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{m-1}{m} \right)^\alpha - \frac{1}{3} \right\}
\]
\[
= \left[ m^\alpha - (m-1)^\alpha \right] \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{6} \frac{1}{m^\alpha} + O \left( \frac{1}{m^3} \right) \right\}
\]
\[
= \frac{\alpha^2}{6} \frac{1}{m^\alpha} \alpha^\alpha \left\{ 1 + O \left( \frac{1}{m^2} \right) \right\}
\]
\[
= \frac{\alpha^3}{6} m^{\alpha-3} \left\{ 1 + O \left( \frac{1}{m^2} \right) \right\}
\]

Therefore,
\[
\left| a_n - a_{n-1} \right| \leq m^{\alpha-1} \in \mathbb{K}_\alpha m, \quad \text{where}
\]
\[
\mathbb{K}_\alpha = C_{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} - C_{\frac{1}{\alpha}} = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u^{\alpha-1} - u^\alpha}{1-u} \, du
\]

We may write \( \mathbb{K}_\alpha \) in terms of the beta function:
\[
\mathbb{K}_\alpha = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\alpha} B \left( \frac{1}{\alpha}, \varepsilon \right) - B \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}, \varepsilon \right)
\]
Collecting the results,

**Theorem 9.** Let \( f(x) = \prod (1 - \frac{x}{b_n}) \), \( b_n = m^n \), \( \delta > 1 \).

Let \( a_m = \int_0^{b_m} f(x) dx \). Then,

\[ |a_m - a_{m-1}| < m^{\delta - 1} e K_m \]

where \( K_m = \sup \{ B(\frac{1}{\alpha}, \epsilon) - B(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}, \epsilon) \} \).

From the general theorem of Chapter II, we can determine only the existence of an infinite sequence of indices \( m_i \) such that \( |a_{m_i} - a_{m_i-1}| > \varepsilon m_i^{\alpha \rho + \epsilon} \). As \( \rho = \frac{1}{\alpha} \),

\[ |a_{m_i} - a_{m_i-1}| > \varepsilon m_i^{\frac{1}{\alpha} + \delta} \]

It is apparent that this result is not so exact as the one obtained above.

3. Let \( b_m = \alpha^m = e^{km} \), \( k > 0 \). Take \( h(t) = e^{kt} \) and consider \( e^{km-t} \leq x \leq e^{km} \).

As \( \frac{h'(t)}{h(t)} = k \), and \( [t] = t - (t - [t] - \frac{1}{2}) - \frac{1}{2} \),
\[
\frac{1}{K} \int_1^{m^2} \frac{h(t) \, dt}{h(t) |x - h(t)|} = \int_1^{m^2} \frac{dt}{x - e^{kt}} - \left[ (t - [t] - \frac{1}{2}) \frac{dt}{x - e^{kt}} \right]_{m+1}^{m^2} - \frac{1}{2} \int_1^{m^2} \frac{dt}{x - e^{kt}}
\]

And,
\[
\frac{1}{K} \int_{m+1}^{\infty} \frac{h(t) \, dt}{h(t) |x - h(t)|} = \int_{m+1}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{x - e^{kt}} - \left[ (t - [t] - \frac{1}{2}) \frac{dt}{e^{kt} - x} \right]_{m+1}^{\infty} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{m+1}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{e^{kt} - x}
\]

Now,
\[
\int_1^{m^2} \frac{(t - [t] - \frac{1}{2}) \, dt}{x - e^{kt}} = \int_1^{\frac{3}{2} m} + \int_{\frac{3}{2} m}^{\frac{5}{2} m} + \cdots + \int_1^{\frac{3}{2} m} \frac{dt}{x - e^{kt}}
\]

But the terms on the right form an increasing sequence of alternating sign; therefore,
\[
\int_1^{m^2} \frac{(t - [t] - \frac{1}{2}) \, dt}{x - e^{kt}} = O\left( \int_{m^{3/2}} \frac{dt}{x - e^{kt}} \right) = O\left( \frac{1}{e^{km}} \right),
\]

by the mean value theorem.

In like manner,
\[
\int_{m+1}^{\infty} \frac{(t - [t] - \frac{1}{2}) \, dt}{e^{kt} - x} = \int_{m+1}^{m+\frac{3}{2} m} + \int_{m+\frac{3}{2} m}^{m+2 m} + \cdots + \int_{m+1}^{m+\frac{3}{2} m} \frac{dt}{e^{kt} - x}
\]

\[
= O\left( \frac{1}{e^{km}} \right)
\]
\[ \int \frac{\alpha}{x^2} \ dx = \int \frac{\alpha}{x^2} \ dx = \frac{1}{x} \left[ \frac{(m-3)K \cdot \log \frac{x-2}{x-2^K}}{x-2^K} \right] \]

\[ \int \frac{\alpha t}{e^{kt} x} \ dx = \int \frac{\alpha t}{e^{kt} x} \ dx = \frac{1}{x} \left[ \frac{(m+1)K \cdot \log (e^{(m+1)k} - x)}{x} \right] \]

\[ \int \left[ \frac{t}{e^{kt} x} \right] \ dx = \int \left[ \frac{t}{e^{kt} x} \right] \ dx = \frac{1}{x^2} \int \frac{t}{e^{kt} x} \ dx \]

\[ \frac{T}{e^{kt} x} = \frac{T}{e^{kt}} - \frac{1}{x} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} x^m T \cdot e^{-(m+1)t} \]

Integrating,

\[ \int \frac{T}{e^{kt} x} \ dt = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} x^m \left\{ - \frac{T e^{-(m+1)t}}{m+1} - \frac{e^{-(m+1)t}}{(m+1)^2} \right\} \]

\[ = - \frac{T}{x} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^m}{m e^{mt}} - \frac{1}{x} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{m+1}}{(m+1)^2 e^{(m+1)t}} \]

\[ = \frac{T}{x} \log \left( 1 - \frac{x}{e^t} \right) - \frac{1}{x} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^m}{m e^{mt}} \]

Thus,

\[ \int \frac{t}{e^{kt} x} \ dx = \frac{1}{k^2} \left[ \frac{T}{x} \log \left( 1 - \frac{x}{e^t} \right) - \frac{1}{x} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^m}{m e^{mt}} \right] \]

\[ = - \frac{m+1}{k} \log \left( 1 - \frac{x}{e^{(m+1)k}} \right) + O(\frac{1}{x}) \]
Since \( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^m}{m^2 e^{m^2/x}} < \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \).

Similarly,

\[
\int_{1}^{m^2} t \frac{\alpha t}{x-e^t} = \frac{(m-2)^2}{2x} - \frac{m^2}{kx} \log \left( 1 - \frac{e^{m^2/k}}{x} \right) + O \left( \frac{1}{x} \right).
\]

Thus,

\[
x \int_{t}^{m^2} h(t) \frac{\alpha t}{x-e^t} dt - x \int_{t}^{m^2} h(t) \frac{\alpha t}{x-e^t} dt = \frac{k(m-2)^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} k(m+1)
\]

\[
- (m-2) \log \left( 1 - \frac{e^{(m-2)/k}}{x} \right) + (m+1) \log \left( 1 - \frac{e^{(m+1)/k}}{x} \right)
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{2} k (m-3) + \frac{1}{2} \log \left( \frac{x-e^{(m-3)/k}}{x-e^{(m-2)/k}} - \frac{1}{2} \log \left( \frac{e^{(m+1)/k}}{x} \right) + O(1).
\]

Simplyfying,

\[
-x \int_{t}^{m^2} h(t) \frac{\alpha t}{x-e^t} dt - x \int_{t}^{m^2} h(t) \frac{\alpha t}{x-e^t} dt = -k \left( \frac{m^2}{2} + 4m \right)
\]

\[
- \left( \frac{m-5}{2} \right) \log \left( x-e^{(m+2)/k} \right) + (m-5) \log x
\]

\[
+ (m+2) \log \left( e^{(m+1)/k} - x \right) + O(1), \text{ as } \log (x-e^k)
\]

\[
= \log x + O(1).
\]
Substituting,
\[
\log g_2(x) = (m-2)\log \left(\frac{x}{e^{2m-1/k}} - 1\right) - m\log \left(1 - \frac{x}{e^{2m+1/k}}\right) + (m-\frac{1}{2})\log \left(e^{2m+1/k} - x\right) + O(1).
\]
\[
= -K\left(\frac{m^2}{2} - m\right) + (m-\frac{5}{2})\log x + \frac{1}{2}\log(x - e^{m+1/k}) + \frac{1}{2}\log(x - e^{2m+1/k}) + O(1).
\]

As
\[
\epsilon^{(m-1)k} \leq x \leq \epsilon^{mk}, \quad \epsilon = \epsilon^{(m-\theta)k}, \quad 0 < \theta < 1,
\]
\[
x - \epsilon^{(m-2)k} = \epsilon^{(m-\theta)k} - \epsilon^{(m-2)k} = K(m - \theta - m + 2)e^{(m-\theta)k}
\]
\[
= K(2 - \theta)e^{(m-\theta)k}, \quad |\theta'| < 2.
\]

Thus,
\[
\log \left(\epsilon^{(m-2)k} - x\right) = mk + O(1)
\]

Similarly,
\[
\log \left(\epsilon^{mk} - x\right) = mk + O(1)
\]

This gives
\[
\log g_2(x) = -K\left(\frac{m^2}{2} - 2m\right) + (m-\frac{5}{2})\log x + O(1)
\]
or,
\[
\frac{g_2(x)}{g_2(x)} = x^{m-\frac{5}{2}}e^{\frac{m^2}{2} + 2m}
\]
\[
= x^m e^\left(-\frac{m^2}{2} - m\right)
\]
Hence, 

\[ \int_{\mathcal{E}(m+1)k}^\mathcal{E}nk |f(x)| \, dx = \mathcal{E}^nk \int_{\mathcal{E}(m+1)k}^\mathcal{E}nk \frac{x}{(x+1)^{m+1}} (1 - \frac{x}{\mathcal{E}nk}) \, dx \]

\[ \int_{\mathcal{E}(m+1)k}^\mathcal{E}nk \frac{x}{(x+1)^{m+1}} (1 - \frac{x}{\mathcal{E}nk}) \, dx = \mathcal{E}^{km^2} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{E}(m+1)k}{(m+2)(m+3)} \right. \]

\[ \left. - \frac{\mathcal{E}^{nk}}{(m+1)(m+2)} + \frac{\mathcal{E}^k}{(m+1)(m+2)} - \frac{\mathcal{E}^{2k}}{(m+2)(m+3)} \right] \]

Now the first two terms in the parenthesis are asymptotically equal to \( \frac{(e^k - 1) \mathcal{E}^{nk}}{m^2} \) and the last two are \( O\left(\frac{1}{m}\right) \).

Therefore, \( |a_n - a_{n-1}| = \int_{\mathcal{E}(m+1)k}^\mathcal{E}nk |f(x)| \, dx \leq \frac{1}{m^2} \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2} km(m+1)} \)

Theorem 10). If \( f(z) = \prod_{m=0}^M (1 - \frac{z}{\mathcal{E}kn_m}) \), \( kn_m = \mathcal{E}^{km} \), \( k > 0 \),

and \( a_n = \int_{0}^{\mathcal{E}kn} f(x) \, dx \), then

\[ |a_n - a_{n-1}| \leq \frac{1}{m^2} \mathcal{E}^{\frac{1}{2} km(m+1)} \]

We would have discovered only that there are infinitely
many \(|a_m - a_{m-1}|\) greater than \(\varepsilon^2\) from Theorem 4).

4. Let \(b_m = \frac{1}{m}\), and consider \(b_{m-1} \leq x \leq b_m\).

\[ |f(x)| = \left(\frac{x}{m-1}\right)\left(1 - \frac{x}{m}\right) g_1(x) g_2(x), \text{ where} \]

\[ g_1(x) = \frac{m-2}{1} \left(\frac{x}{1k} - 1\right), \quad g_2(x) = \frac{m}{m-1} \left(1 - \frac{x}{1k}\right) \]

Consider \(g_1(x)\):

\[ \frac{x}{1k} - 1 = \frac{x}{1k} \left(1 - \frac{1/k}{x}\right) \]

Now,

\[ \left(1 - \frac{1}{m-1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{m(m-1)}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{1}{m(m-1)\cdots 2}\right) \leq \frac{m^2}{1} \left(1 - \frac{1/k}{x}\right) \leq \]

\[ \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{m(m-1)}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{m(m-1)\cdots 2}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{1}{m\cdots 2}\right) \]

Thus,

\[ \left(1 - \frac{1}{m-1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{m(m-1)}\right)^{m-3} \leq \frac{m^2}{1} \left(1 - \frac{1/k}{x}\right) \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{m(m-1)}\right)^{m-3} \left(1 - \frac{1}{x}\right)^{m-3} \]

\[ 1 - \frac{x}{m} + O\left(\frac{x}{m^2}\right) \leq \frac{m^2}{1} \left(1 - \frac{1/k}{x}\right) \leq 1 - \frac{x}{m} + O\left(\frac{x}{m^3}\right) \]

Therefore,

\[ g_1(x) = \frac{m^2}{1} \left(\frac{x}{1k} - 1\right) \sim \frac{x m^2}{m^2 k} \]
Also, \((1 - \frac{1}{m})(1 - \frac{1}{m^2}) \ldots < q_m(x) \leq 1\).

Hence, \(q_m(x) \sim 1\); giving, \(|f(x)| \sim \left(\frac{x}{m-1}\right)(1 - \frac{x}{km})^{\frac{x^m}{m}}\)

\[
\int_{m-1}^{k} x^{m-2}(\frac{x}{m-1})(1 - \frac{x}{km}) \, dx = \left(\frac{x^m}{m}\right)_{m-1}^{km} + \left(\frac{x^m}{m}\right)_{m}^{km-1} + \left(\frac{x^m}{m}\right)_{m-1}^{km-1} - \left(\frac{x^m}{m}\right)_{m}^{km-1}
\]

\[
= \left(\frac{x^m}{m}\right)_{m}^{km-1} - \left(\frac{x^m}{m}\right)_{m}^{km-1} - \left(\frac{x^m}{m}\right)_{m}^{km-1}
\]

\[
= A_m
\]

Therefore, \(|a_n - a_{n-1}| = \int_{m-1}^{km} |f(x)| \, dx \sim \frac{A_m}{\frac{m^2}{11}}\).

**Theorem 11.** If \(f(x) = \frac{\exp(x)}{1 - \frac{x^m}{km}}\), \(b_n = km\), and \(\alpha_n = \int_{b_n}^{b_n} f(x) \, dx\), then

\[|\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}| \sim \frac{A_m}{\frac{m^2}{11}},\]

where \(A_m\) is given immediately above.
Again, the general theorem would not have led to a very accurate estimate.
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