This paper describes a lightweight software library to solve the challenges of programming storage class memory (SCM). It provides primitives to demarcate failure-atomic code regions. SCM loads and stores within each demarcated code region (called a "wrap") are routed through the library, which buffers updates and transmits them to SCM locations asynchronously while allowing their speedy propagation from writers to readers. New values are entered into the alias table while being streamed to a SCM nication between writers and readers. Post-transactional values are transferred to SCM based log.

The decoupling of concurrency control (or transaction isolation) from failure atomicity allows persistence to be added flexibly to code that is already multi-threading safe. For the common case of multi-threading safe or strictly isolated transactions, this paper further decouples the reclamation of the aliasing structure from the retirement of transaction logs, and makes post-transactional values available immediately to subsequent transactions without aliasing. We call this design SoftWrAP-LAT, for SoftWrAP with a Local Aliasing Table.

Using Algorithm 1, we first describe how [4] achieves failure safe updates in SCM, and then how SoftWrAP-LAT simplifies the transactional persistence operation. The atomic persistence region starts with atomic_begin which translates into a call to the OpenWrap library function as shown on the right in Algorithm 1. OpenWrap starts a log record for the transaction in SCM. Each store, such as x = 1, translates into a library call to wrapStore, which updates an entry for x, designated by xa, in a shared alias table in DRAM, allocating space if it does not exist, with the SCM address of x, it’s size and new value. Similarly it appends an entry to the log with x’s SCM address, its new value (1), and size. A load of a wrapped variable, such as z = x translates into a library call to wrapLoad, which uses the alias of x, xa, to return the value of 1 from the shared alias table for use in the assignment. When the transaction closes with atomic_end, the CloseWrap library function performs a streaming flush of the log record with an end-of-record marker, and performs a PCOMMIT instruction to ensure the log record is safely in SCM. Subsequent transactions that need to read values of variables x, y, z modified in this atomic persistent region use wrapLoads to get them from the shared alias table. In the background, post transactional values of persistent variables are copied into SCM home locations and alias table entries are removed in lock step with trimming of the log records. A double buffered, multi-state shared alias table provides for lock-free reclamation of space [4].

For entire persistence transactions which are strictly isolated from one another, as with Serialization schemes such as locks, a shared alias table is not necessary. Instead, a local aliasing scope suffices to forward values from writers to readers. The SoftWrAP-LAT scheme introduced in this paper is shown in Algorithm 2; its difference from a shared aliasing scheme of [4], is discussed. As shown in Figure 1, each persistence transaction maintains a private (local) alias table that is allocated in DRAM at the time of OpenWrap when the transaction also allocates a log record. The library calls wrapStore and wrapLoad operate on aliased entries xa, ya, and za as in [4], except that the aliasing is performed through Transaction Local Aliasing, since strict isolation removes any overlap among variables written in one transaction and concurrently read in another. On CloseWrap, each persistence transaction streams the updated values from its local alias table to the cache hierarchy. The updated values may asynchronously move to SCM and are available in place (at their SCM addresses) without aliasing, for subsequent transactions.
To expedite trimming of log aliasing, updates are flushed (using CLWB) and durably fenced (using PCOMMIT); however, it is possible to batch the fencing for data value updates for multiple transactions as an optimization.

The SoftWrAP-LAT approach can be also used when applications can tolerate unprotected reads, i.e. eager reads of variables (not yet durably committed) that produce stale values. Also, SoftWrAP-LAT can make streaming of log and data values to SCM efficient with AVX VCSCATTER operations, akin to SoftWrAP [4]. Further, a compiler can be used to maximize static aliasing at compile time since SoftWrAP-LAT aliased values do not exist beyond each wrap.

**Algorithm 2:** Implementation of WrAP API

**OpenWrap():**
- Allocate private Alias Table in DRAM;
- Allocate Log Bucket in NVM;

**WrapStore(id, addr, val):**
- Update or Add to Alias Table entry addr with val;
- Write <addr, val> to Log Bucket [id] in NVM;

**WrapLoad(id, addr):**
- Return val from Alias Table entry addr if present;

**CloseWrap():**
- PCOMMIT to make log records durable;
- For each entry in the Alias Table:
- Non-blocking cached store val to SCM addr; CLWB addr;
- Reclaim Local Alias Table and Commit Transaction;

**Evaluation:** Our evaluation is performed on an Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU E5-2640 at 2.50 GHz with 64 GB of DDR3 system memory running RHEL Server 6.5 and built with GCC 4.4.7. We compare SoftWrAP-LAT with a 512 entry Local Alias Table (LAT) against an UndoLog based approach to persistence, which uses a log to save old values by committing synchronously to SCM before new values are written.

We first insert 20K random elements into a wrapped STX B+Tree data structure backed by an emulated SCM area in DRAM. Each insert into the B+Tree requires multiple other operations in the data structure that must also be performed atomically to preserve the integrity of the tree. On average over 60 reads and almost 20 writes are required to be performed atomically on the durable STX B+Tree of this size per insert of a single element. Figure 2a shows the relationship between the average response time to insert a new element into the tree for a varying insert request rate with a request buffer of size 10.

SoftWrAP has the fastest response time since UndoLog must perform a synchronous copy of the old data before the new data can be written. SoftWrAP only needs to persist new data out of the LAT to SCM before proceeding. Additionally, Figure 2b shows that SoftWrAP has a maximum sustained throughput almost twice that of the UndoLog approach, due to the UndoLog synchronous copies.

**Related Work:** Existing software solutions (e.g. [6], [1]) combine concurrency control with persistence in an integrated framework. Mnemosyne [6] uses software transactional memory (STM) based interception of all writes and reads within a transaction, and uses internal copying and logging to achieve both concurrency control and atomicity. However, it constrains the programmer to a single concurrency control model (TM), and is difficult to fit to legacy software applications, which support different isolation models or employ lock-based concurrency control. ATLAS [1] uses a compiler pass to automatically generate transactional regions for atomic writes utilizing a synchronous undo log, merging the providing of atomicity to a group of operations with controlling concurrent accesses among them. Analysis of consistency models for persistent memory was considered in [5]. An early presentation of SoftWrAP technique [4] was introduced in [3], and a similar approach for supporting transactional writes to persistent memory is described in REWIND [2], which offers a more elaborate alternative for in-place updates with multilayered recovery aided by an Atomic Doubly Linked List.
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