



Forward–backward asymmetry of Drell–Yan lepton pairs in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV [☆]

CMS Collaboration ^{*}

CERN, Switzerland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 17 July 2012

Received in revised form 14 October 2012

Accepted 30 October 2012

Available online 5 November 2012

Editor: M. Doser

Keywords:

CMS

Physics

Electroweak

Drell–Yan

ABSTRACT

A measurement of the forward–backward asymmetry (A_{FB}) of Drell–Yan lepton pairs in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV is presented. The data sample, collected with the CMS detector, corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 5 fb^{-1} . The asymmetry is measured as a function of dilepton mass and rapidity in the dielectron and dimuon channels. Combined results from the two channels are presented, and are compared with the standard model predictions. The A_{FB} measurement in the dimuon channel and the combination of the two channels are the first such results obtained at a hadron collider. The measured asymmetries are consistent with the standard model predictions.

© 2012 CERN. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

The amplitude for the standard model (SM) Drell–Yan process $q\bar{q} \rightarrow Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$ contains both the vector and the axial-vector couplings of electroweak bosons to fermions [1,2]. The differential cross section can be written as

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta^*} = C \left[\frac{3}{8} (1 + \cos^2\theta^*) + A_{FB} \cos\theta^* \right] \quad (1)$$

for a given dilepton invariant mass, at leading order, where θ^* is the emission angle of the lepton (ℓ^-) relative to the quark momentum in the dilepton centre-of-mass frame. Forward and backward events are defined by $\cos\theta^* > 0$ and < 0 , respectively, and the asymmetry parameter A_{FB} is defined as

$$A_{FB} = \frac{\sigma_F - \sigma_B}{\sigma_F + \sigma_B}, \quad (2)$$

where σ_F and σ_B are the total cross sections for forward and backward events. Within the SM, the parameters C and A_{FB} depend on the vector and axial-vector couplings of the quarks and leptons to the Z boson and on the electric charge of the fermions.

The Drell–Yan cross section is modified by higher-order quantum chromodynamic (QCD) and radiative electroweak corrections. The electroweak corrections are negligible except near the Z peak. At dilepton masses near the Z peak, A_{FB} is predicted to be small

because of the small value of the lepton vector coupling in the SM, and is sensitive to the electroweak mixing parameter $\sin^2\theta_W$. Our measurement of $\sin^2\theta_W$ with a maximum-likelihood fit technique based on a smaller data set was reported in Ref. [3]. Above and below the Z peak, A_{FB} exhibits a characteristic energy dependence governed by virtual photon and Z interference. Deviations from the SM prediction for A_{FB} may indicate the existence of particles beyond the standard model [4–11]. If a resonant state exists at high mass, it will interfere with the SM amplitudes and will cause the A_{FB} to have a structure near the mass of the new state. Therefore, studying A_{FB} at high mass is particularly useful in a search for resonances that might be missed by a search using the dilepton mass spectrum alone.

The measurement presented in Ref. [3] and the A_{FB} measurement are complementary. The electroweak mixing parameter was measured within the framework of the SM using events in a dimuon mass window of $M(\mu^+\mu^-) = 80\text{--}100$ GeV, while in the current analysis we test the SM and look for signs of new physics at high dilepton mass. The electroweak mixing angle measurement was performed in the dimuon channel. Here we present the results of a measurement of A_{FB} with more data, the addition of the dielectron channel, and the combination of the two channels, as a function of mass in a wide mass range and in separate rapidity bins.

To study the forward–backward asymmetry, we use the Collins–Soper frame [12], in which θ_{CS}^* is defined to be the angle between the lepton momentum and the axis that bisects the angle between

[☆] © CERN for the benefit of the CMS Collaboration.

^{*} E-mail address: cms-publication-committee-chair@cern.ch.

the direction of one proton and the direction opposite to the other proton in the centre-of-mass frame of the dilepton. Use of this frame reduces the uncertainties due to the unknown transverse momentum of the incoming quarks. The sign of the longitudinal boost of the dilepton system is used to define the orientation of the Collins–Soper frame. The angle θ_{CS}^* is calculated from quantities measured in the lab frame as

$$\cos\theta_{CS}^* = \frac{Q_z}{|Q_z|} \frac{2(P_1^+ P_2^- - P_1^- P_2^+)}{|Q| \sqrt{Q^2 + Q_T^2}}, \quad (3)$$

where Q is the four-momentum of the dilepton and Q_T and Q_z are the transverse and longitudinal components of the dilepton momentum with respect to the beam axis; P_1 (P_2) represents the four-momentum of the lepton (antilepton); and $P_i^\pm = (P_i^0 \pm P_i^3)/\sqrt{2}$. The quark direction is not determined a priori at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) [13] because both beams consist of protons. However, because the antiquark is necessarily a sea quark, on average we expect it to carry less momentum than the valence quark, and therefore the dilepton system is usually boosted in the direction of the valence quark [5,14,15]. This assumption is taken into account by including the sign of the longitudinal boost in the definition of $\cos\theta_{CS}^*$. The forward–backward asymmetry is dependent on the dilepton rapidity, $y = \frac{1}{2} \ln[(E + Q_z)/(E - Q_z)]$, where E and Q_z refer to the energy and the third component of the momentum of the dilepton, respectively.

The raw A_{FB} measurement is distorted compared to the parton-level asymmetry, mainly because of the dilepton mass resolution of the detector and final-state electromagnetic radiation (FSR). The asymmetry is further distorted by the detector acceptance and diluted by the imperfect knowledge of the quark direction at the LHC. In this Letter we present the A_{FB} measurements unfolded to the electroweak vertex (Born level), taking into account the FSR, mass resolution, and other detector effects. The results are not corrected for the dilution effects due to the acceptance and unknown quark direction because such corrections require information that is not directly observable.

This analysis is based on a data sample of 5 fb^{-1} collected with the Compact Muon Solenoid (CMS) detector in 2011 at a centre-of-mass energy of 7 TeV. A detailed description of the CMS detector can be found in [16]. The central feature of the CMS detector is a 3.8 T superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter. The silicon pixel and strip tracker, the crystal electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL), and the brass/scintillator hadron calorimeter are located inside this solenoid. Muons are measured in the pseudorapidity window $|\eta| < 2.4$ using the tracker and the muon system, which is instrumented with detection planes of three complementary technologies embedded in the steel return yoke of the magnet: drift tube chambers (DT), cathode strip chambers (CSC), and resistive plate chambers (RPC) [17]. Pseudorapidity is defined as $\eta = -\ln[\tan(\theta/2)]$, where the polar angle θ is measured with respect to the anticlockwise-beam direction. The DT technology is used in the barrel ($|\eta| < 1.2$), and CSC in the endcaps ($0.9 < |\eta| < 2.4$). These are complemented by an RPC system that covers both regions up to $|\eta| < 1.6$. Electrons are detected as energy clusters in the ECAL and as tracks in the silicon tracker. The ECAL consists of nearly 76 000 lead tungstate crystals, which provide coverage in pseudorapidity $|\eta| < 1.5$ in the barrel region and $1.5 < |\eta| < 3.0$ in the two endcap regions.

The signal ($Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-, e^+e^-$) and the $Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$ process, which is considered as a background in this analysis, are simulated using POWHEG [18–20] at next-to-leading order (NLO). Parton showering is simulated using PYTHIA v6.4.24 [21] with tune Z2, while the NLO parton distribution function (PDF) is CT10 [22].

The $W + \text{jets}$ and $t\bar{t}$ background events are generated using MADGRAPH [23] and PYTHIA; the TAUOLA package is used to describe τ decays [24]. Event samples of WW, WZ, ZZ, and QCD multijet backgrounds are generated using PYTHIA. The generated events are processed with the GEANT4-based [25,26] CMS detector simulation and reconstructed with the same software as the collision data. The signal MC samples include pileup conditions (multiple pp interactions occurring in the same bunch crossing) matching those observed in the 2011 data sample.

For data taken in the earlier part of 2011, the dimuon analysis is based on triggers that select events containing at least two muons, each with transverse momentum p_T of at least 6 or at least 7 GeV, depending on the running period. For the later running period, the triggers select events containing two muons, one with $p_T > 13$ GeV or 17 GeV and the other with $p_T > 8$ GeV. Within a CSC or DT muon chamber, the hits in the multiple detection layers are fitted to a straight line representing a segment of the muon track. In the offline analysis, tracks reconstructed from hits in the silicon tracker are matched to tracks reconstructed from muon segments alone, and then the individual hits in the tracker and muon detectors are refitted to an overall track. In addition, to increase the acceptance for low momentum muons that may not penetrate deeply into the muon system, tracks from the silicon tracker are extrapolated into the muon system and any that match at least one muon chamber track segment are taken to be muon candidates. In both cases, multiple scattering and energy loss are taken into account as muons traverse the CMS detector. Well-reconstructed muons are selected by requiring (1) at least 10 hits in the tracker, including at least one in the pixel detector; (2) at least one segment in the muon system; (3) a normalized $\chi^2 < 10$ for the overall muon fit (if used); and (4) a transverse distance of closest approach to the beam axis of less than 2 mm. Cosmic ray muons that traverse CMS close to the interaction point can appear as back-to-back dimuons, but these are removed by requiring the muon pairs to have an acollinearity greater than 2.5 mrad. Each muon is required to be isolated from other charged tracks based on tracker information alone. No attempt is made to use radiated photons detected in ECAL to correct muon energies for FSR. The unfolding procedure corrects for the effect of FSR on A_{FB} on a statistical basis. More details on muon reconstruction and identification can be found in Ref. [27]. Trigger efficiency factors are calculated and applied for different data-taking periods. Each muon is required to be within the acceptance of the muon system ($|\eta| \leq 2.4$) and have $p_T > 20$ GeV. Events are selected in which opposite-charge muon pairs meet the above requirements.

Dielectron candidates are selected online by requiring two ECAL clusters, each with transverse energy E_T exceeding a threshold value. Offline reconstruction of electrons starts by building superclusters in the ECAL in order to collect the energy radiated by bremsstrahlung in the tracker material, following the procedure described in Ref. [28]. A specialized tracking algorithm is used to accommodate changes of curvature due to bremsstrahlung. Superclusters are then matched to electron tracks. Electron candidates are required to have a minimum supercluster E_T of 20 GeV after ECAL energy-scale corrections. Electrons are restricted to the same phase space as the muons, defined by $p_T > 20$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.4$, for an unambiguous comparison and combination of the two channels. In order to avoid the inhomogeneous response at the interfaces between the ECAL barrel and endcaps, electrons are further required to fall within the pseudorapidity ranges $|\eta| \leq 1.44$ or $1.57 < |\eta| < 2.40$. Electrons are identified by means of shower shape variables, and electron isolation criteria are based on a variable that combines the tracker and calorimeter measurements. Electrons arising from photon conversions are suppressed




Fig. 1. The unfolded $\mu^+\mu^-$ and e^+e^- measurements of A_{FB} at the Born level in four $|y|$ bins for $p_T(\ell) > 20$ GeV and $|\eta(\ell)| < 2.4$. The data points are shown with statistical error bars.

by requiring that there be no missing tracker hits before the first hit on the reconstructed track matched to the electron, and also by rejecting a candidate if it forms a pair with a nearby track that is consistent with a conversion. More details on electron reconstruction and identification can be found in Ref. [29]. Energy scale, resolution, and efficiency factors are calculated and applied for different data-taking periods. Energy scale and resolution factors are derived using χ^2 tests, taking the MC dielectron mass distribution as a constraint. Events are selected in which opposite-charge electron pairs meet the above requirements.

For both lepton channels, the main sources of background are $Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$ and QCD dijets for the low mass region and $t\bar{t}$ for the high mass region. Diboson (WW, WZ, and ZZ) and inclusive W production processes are lesser sources of background. Because some QCD jets can pass the electron identification criteria, the QCD background contribution is non-negligible in the dielectron channel below the Z peak. Electroweak backgrounds are estimated using MC samples. For both channels, QCD background is estimated from the data under the assumption that same-sign and opposite-sign lepton pairs are equally probable because the misidentification of a charged particle in a jet as a lepton or antilepton is equally likely. Backgrounds are estimated for forward and backward events separately and subtracted bin by bin. The total background contribution to the data ranges from 0.17% to 0.21% in the dimuon channel and from 0.68% to 0.80% in the dielectron channel. After background subtraction, the numbers of events found in the muon channel in the forward and backward regions are 950 570 and 929 737, respectively. The corresponding numbers in the electron channel are 448 338 and 438 035.

All results are given in the phase-space region defined by $p_T(\ell) > 20$ GeV and $|\eta(\ell)| < 2.4$. We calculate the $\cos\theta_{CS}^*$ distributions in ten bins of dilepton mass M and four bins of rapidity $|y|$, the limits of which are defined to be $M = 40, 50, 60, 76, 86, 96, 106, 120, 150, 200$, and 2000 GeV and $|y| = 0, 1.00, 1.25, 1.50$, and 2.40.

The forward–backward asymmetry is diluted by the events in which the assumed quark and antiquark directions are incorrect. The asymmetry is further reduced by the acceptance requirements. No corrections are applied for either of these effects. The $|y| < 1$ bin has the largest asymmetry dilution due to the unknown quark direction, but the smallest acceptance effect. The next two bins, $1.00 < |y| < 1.25$ and $1.25 < |y| < 1.50$, have the largest asymmetry. The highest rapidity bin, $1.50 < |y| < 2.40$, is least affected by the unknown quark direction but suffers a large acceptance reduction resulting in a smaller asymmetry compared to other $|y|$ bins.

To correct for FSR, mass resolution, efficiencies, and other detector effects, we unfold the forward and backward mass spectra in each $|y|$ bin. The unfolding procedure is performed using a matrix inversion technique [30]. The unfolding is performed with response matrices that provide a mapping between the corrected and measured numbers of events in each mass and rapidity bin:

$$N_j^{\text{meas}}(F, k) = \sum_{i=1}^{10} R_{ji}^{\text{FF}}(k) N_i^{\text{corrected}}(F, k) \quad \begin{cases} j = 1, \dots, 10, \\ k = 1, \dots, 4, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

$$N_j^{\text{meas}}(B, k) = \sum_{i=1}^{10} R_{ji}^{\text{BB}}(k) N_i^{\text{corrected}}(B, k) \quad \begin{cases} j = 1, \dots, 10, \\ k = 1, \dots, 4. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$




Fig. 2. The unfolded and combined ($\mu^+\mu^-$ and e^+e^-) measurement of A_{FB} at the Born level in four $|y|$ bins for $p_T(\ell) > 20$ GeV and $|\eta(\ell)| < 2.4$. The data points are shown with both statistical error bars and combined statistical and systematic error bars. The error bars on the MC points represent the quadratically summed PDF uncertainties and statistical errors. The horizontal extent of the error bars indicates the bin width (except for the last bin, which is truncated at 400 GeV). Beneath each plot is shown the difference between data and MC, normalized by the combined statistical and systematic uncertainty. The green and yellow bands indicate the 1σ and 2σ differences of data from theory predictions. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this Letter.)

In these equations, $N_j(F, k)$ and $N_j(B, k)$ refer to the number of forward (F) and backward (B) events within the acceptance ($p_T > 20$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.4$) in each mass bin j for the rapidity bin k ; $R_{ji}^{FF}(k)$ is the response matrix describing the transfer of forward events from generated mass bin i to observed mass bin j , while $R_{ji}^{BB}(k)$ is the response matrix for the backward events. We construct the response matrices for unfolding the reconstructed forward and backward mass spectra in each $|y|$ bin to the Born level. The response matrices are calculated using MC events before and after simulation of FSR and the detector effects. Therefore they account for the FSR and mass resolution as well as the efficiency within the detector acceptance. In the dielectron channel, the gap in ECAL in the pseudorapidity range of $1.44 < |\eta| < 1.57$ is treated as an inefficiency and corrected by the unfolding procedure. The response matrices that represent the forward generated but backward reconstructed events (and vice versa) have a negligible contribution and are not used in this study, but the effect of this approximation is taken into account in the systematic uncertainties. The unfolded values are obtained by inverting the above equations. The corresponding uncertainties are calculated taking into account the correlations due to the unfolding procedure. The estimated uncertainties are verified by applying the procedure to a large number of independent MC samples. These MC samples are also used to check whether there is a bias in the A_{FB} values obtained through unfolding, and the maximum difference in A_{FB} is found to be 0.06.

Systematic uncertainties are estimated in each $M-|y|$ bin using MC events. All systematic uncertainties are assumed to be independent and are combined in quadrature.

Although the background is small in the Drell-Yan process, uncertainties in the background estimation lead to systematic errors in the final results. We take a conservative approach and assume that this small background is uncertain by 100%, and therefore scale the background up and down by 100% and repeat the analysis. The largest difference from the nominal A_{FB} is found to be 0.04. The systematic uncertainty in the background estimate in all other bins is smaller than 0.03.

To quantify possible systematic uncertainties that could arise from the modelling of FSR in PYTHIA, we examine the events that show the largest change in lepton momentum pre- and post-radiation. The PYTHIA description of FSR agrees with data to within $\pm 5\%$, so we reweight by $\pm 5\%$ the events for which the difference of the momenta of a lepton pre- and post-radiation is larger than 1 GeV. The distributions obtained from the reweighted events are used to obtain new values for A_{FB} . Even such a large change in event weights results in a change in the value of A_{FB} of less than 0.02. These changes in the value of A_{FB} are assigned as systematic uncertainties arising from uncertainty in the modelling of FSR.

The systematic uncertainties related to detector alignment are studied using MC samples with different assumed tracker reconstruction geometries (basic distortions) based on the cylindrical

Table 1
Unfolded combined measurements of A_{FB} in each $M-|y|$ bin. The average mass in each bin is shown, together with the measured A_{FB} and the corresponding statistical, systematic, and total uncertainties. The statistical and systematic uncertainties are combined in quadrature to obtain the total uncertainties. The A_{FB} values estimated from MC are also shown, with the corresponding statistical and PDF uncertainties. The final column shows the larger of the differences $A_{FB}(\text{Born}) - A_{FB}(\text{raw})$ in the muon and electron channels.

$ y $	M [GeV]	$\langle M \rangle$ [GeV]	$A_{FB}(\text{data})$	Stat. err.	Syst. err.	Tot. err.	$A_{FB}(\text{MC})$	Stat. err. (MC)	PDF err.	Unfolding
0–1	40–50	46.2	−0.014	0.014	0.017	0.022	−0.017	0.005	0.001	−0.002
	50–60	55.0	−0.017	0.012	0.005	0.013	−0.029	0.004	0.001	0.001
	60–76	68.7	−0.039	0.010	0.005	0.011	−0.044	0.003	0.002	−0.015
	76–86	82.5	−0.022	0.008	0.010	0.013	−0.028	0.002	0.001	−0.015
	86–96	91.1	0.006	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.007	0.001	0.001	−0.001
	96–106	99.3	0.024	0.006	0.012	0.014	0.035	0.002	0.002	0.003
	106–120	111.5	0.060	0.012	0.006	0.013	0.055	0.004	0.004	0.003
	120–150	131.5	0.072	0.014	0.010	0.017	0.092	0.006	0.006	−0.007
	150–200	169.5	0.116	0.020	0.034	0.040	0.123	0.009	0.006	0.011
	200–400	278.6	0.147	0.024	0.035	0.042	0.174	0.011	0.007	0.001
1–1.25	40–50	46.2	−0.022	0.032	0.019	0.037	−0.024	0.011	0.001	−0.004
	50–60	55.0	−0.034	0.028	0.019	0.034	−0.079	0.008	0.002	−0.005
	60–76	68.7	−0.095	0.023	0.025	0.034	−0.103	0.005	0.001	−0.045
	76–86	82.5	−0.046	0.017	0.024	0.029	−0.062	0.003	0.001	−0.051
	86–96	91.1	0.018	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.018	0.001	0.001	−0.001
	96–106	99.2	0.085	0.017	0.039	0.043	0.081	0.004	0.004	−0.021
	106–120	111.5	0.109	0.026	0.026	0.037	0.146	0.009	0.007	0.002
	120–150	131.6	0.185	0.035	0.024	0.042	0.210	0.012	0.012	−0.002
	150–200	169.0	0.261	0.050	0.029	0.058	0.260	0.018	0.009	0.006
	200–400	273.1	0.340	0.060	0.051	0.078	0.364	0.024	0.010	0.005
1.25–1.5	40–50	46.2	0.002	0.035	0.062	0.072	−0.012	0.011	0.002	−0.002
	50–60	55.0	−0.064	0.030	0.033	0.044	−0.104	0.009	0.002	−0.004
	60–76	68.6	−0.140	0.025	0.023	0.034	−0.131	0.005	0.001	−0.055
	76–86	82.5	−0.055	0.020	0.030	0.036	−0.076	0.003	0.001	−0.056
	86–96	91.1	0.021	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.020	0.001	0.001	−0.001
	96–106	99.3	0.147	0.023	0.044	0.050	0.094	0.004	0.005	0.050
	106–120	111.5	0.187	0.032	0.031	0.045	0.164	0.010	0.007	0.005
	120–150	131.5	0.242	0.039	0.027	0.047	0.238	0.013	0.010	0.013
	150–200	169.6	0.283	0.057	0.046	0.073	0.294	0.019	0.012	−0.005
	200–400	274.3	0.390	0.074	0.043	0.086	0.352	0.026	0.010	0.004
1.5–2.4	40–50	46.2	−0.053	0.024	0.061	0.066	−0.055	0.007	0.001	−0.006
	50–60	54.8	−0.084	0.023	0.044	0.050	−0.088	0.006	0.001	−0.006
	60–76	68.4	−0.124	0.020	0.033	0.039	−0.143	0.004	0.001	−0.053
	76–86	82.5	−0.114	0.028	0.045	0.053	−0.080	0.002	0.001	−0.127
	86–96	91.1	0.024	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.020	0.001	0.001	0.002
	96–106	99.3	0.123	0.053	0.055	0.076	0.091	0.003	0.003	0.059
	106–120	111.5	0.158	0.031	0.024	0.039	0.196	0.007	0.005	−0.003
	120–150	131.5	0.231	0.038	0.028	0.047	0.229	0.010	0.009	0.004
	150–200	169.4	0.234	0.053	0.028	0.060	0.252	0.015	0.007	0.009
	200–400	259.1	0.340	0.072	0.063	0.096	0.298	0.022	0.006	0.028

Table 2

Estimated systematic uncertainties on A_{FB} , in units of 10^{-3} , for each rapidity bin, in the mass bin around the Z peak, $M = 86\text{--}96$ GeV. The components are discussed in the text.

$ y $	0–1	1–1.25	1.25–1.5	1.5–2.4
FSR	± 0.1	+0.4/−0.1	+0.8/−0.1	+6.2/−0.2
Energy scale	± 0.1	+0.4/−0.1	+0.9/−0.5	+0.3/−0.4
Resolution	+0.1/−0.2	+0.6/−0.5	± 0.2	+0.0/−0.9
Alignment	+0.4/−0.1	+0.5/−0.1	+0.7/−0.0	+0.0/−1.9
Background	± 0.1	± 0.1	± 0.1	± 0.1
Pileup and eff.	+0.2/−1.2	+0.3/−0.9	+1.9/−0.3	+0.5/−1.1
Unfolding	+0.1/−0.0	+3.5/−0.0	+1.4/−0.0	+1.1/−0.0
PDFs	± 0.6	± 0.4	± 1.4	± 1.4

symmetry of the tracker system [31]. The differences between the A_{FB} values obtained with the ideal geometry and the other scenarios are evaluated. For each $M-|y|$ bin, the largest difference is taken as the alignment uncertainty. The largest of these uncertainties over the entire $M-|y|$ range is 0.01.

In the dielectron channel, the uncertainties obtained from the χ^2 minimization used to obtain the energy-scale and resolution factors are used to modify the energy scale and hence calculate the associated uncertainties. In the dimuon channel, no energy scale or resolution factors are applied, but to account for a possible scale uncertainty the energy scale is changed by 0.1% and the analysis is repeated. The resulting mass shift is found to be neg-

ligible. The largest systematic uncertainty due to energy scale and resolution is found to be 0.02 in A_{FB} .

The trigger efficiency uncertainties are estimated by comparing the results before and after trigger scale factors are applied for both channels. The uncertainties due to pileup are estimated by comparing results with different pileup multiplicity profiles for both channels. The efficiency uncertainties are found to be smaller than 0.005 and the pileup reweighting uncertainties smaller than 0.03 in A_{FB} .

The resulting total experimental systematic uncertainty is at most 0.1 in A_{FB} ; however, for most of the bins the total experimental uncertainty is less than 0.05.

The total experimental systematic uncertainty does not include the PDF or α_s uncertainties. To determine these, we follow the recommendation of the PDF4LHC Working Group [32,33]. At the NLO level, the recommendation is to reweight a sample generated with the CT10 PDF set [22,34] to obtain samples that mimic the NNPDF2.1 [35] and MSTW2008 [36] PDFs. The internal degrees of freedom of each PDF set are varied. Samples corresponding to different $\alpha_s(M_Z)$ assumptions are obtained in a similar manner. The value of A_{FB} is calculated in each $M-|y|$ bin for each variation. The resulting variations in A_{FB} are combined to obtain the PDF uncertainty, following the PDF4LHC prescription. The largest uncertainty is found to be 0.012.

The unfolded AFB distributions at the Born level for the dimuon and dielectron channels are shown in Fig. 1. The measurements in the two channels agree well with each other. The unfolded and combined A_{FB} distributions at the Born level are displayed in Fig. 2 and Table 1. All these distributions are in agreement with the SM expectations and there is no indication of non-SM physics. The reversal of the sign of A_{FB} near the Z peak is due to the change of sign of the $Z-\gamma^*$ interference term. The asymmetry is already evident at the raw level, before unfolding, and the bins that are most affected by unfolding are those just below and just above the Z peak. Table 1 also shows the difference of the unfolded and raw asymmetries in each bin. Table 2 shows the estimated systematic uncertainties in each rapidity bin for the mass bin around the Z peak.

In summary, we have presented a measurement of the forward-backward asymmetry A_{FB} for opposite-charge lepton pairs produced via an intermediate Z/γ^* at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV in the CMS experiment, based on a sample of pp collisions corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 5 fb^{-1} . The asymmetry is studied as a function of the dilepton rapidity and the dilepton mass M for $M > 40$ GeV. The unfolded and combined measurements at the Born level are presented. We find the A_{FB} distributions to be consistent with the standard model predictions. The A_{FB} measurement in the dimuon channel and the combination of the two channels are the first such results obtained at a hadron collider.

Acknowledgements

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC machine. We thank the technical and administrative staff at CERN and other CMS institutes, and acknowledge support from: FMSR (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); COLCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES (Croatia); RPF (Cyprus); MoER, SF0690030s09 and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRT (Greece); OTKA and NKTH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); NRF and WCU (Korea); LAS (Lithuania); CINVESTAV, CONACYT, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MSI (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MSHE and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); JINR (Armenia, Belarus, Georgia, Ukraine, Uzbekistan); MON, RosAtom, RAS and RFBR (Russia); MSTD (Serbia); MICINN and CPAN (Spain); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); NSC (Taipei); TUBITAK and TAEK (Turkey); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA).

Open access

This article is published Open Access at sciencedirect.com. It is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 3.0, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and

reproduction in any medium, provided the original authors and source are credited.

References

- [1] S.D. Drell, T.-M. Yan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 25 (1970) 316, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.25.316>.
- [2] S.D. Drell, T.-M. Yan, Annals of Physics 66 (1971) 578, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916\(71\)90071-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(71)90071-6).
- [3] S. Chatrchyan, et al., CMS Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 84 (2011) 112002, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.112002>.
- [4] D. London, J.L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D 34 (1986) 1530, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.34.1530>.
- [5] J.L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D 35 (1987) 2244, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.35.2244>.
- [6] M. Cvetič, S. Godfrey, in: T.L. Barklow, S. Dawson, H.E. Haber, J.L. Siegrist (Eds.), Electroweak Symmetry Breaking and New Physics at the TeV Scale, World Scientific, 1995, arXiv:hep-ph/9504216.
- [7] J.L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D 54 (1996) 1078, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.54.1078>.
- [8] A. Bodek, U. Baur, Eur. Phys. J. C 21 (2001) 607, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s100520100778>.
- [9] F. Abe, et al., CDF Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 (1997) 2192, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.79.2192>.
- [10] F. Abe, et al., CDF Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 (1997) 2198, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.79.2198>.
- [11] H. Davoudiasl, J.L. Hewett, T.G. Rizzo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84 (2000) 2080, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.2080>.
- [12] J.C. Collins, D.E. Soper, Phys. Rev. D 16 (1977) 2219, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.16.2219>.
- [13] L. Evans, P. Bryant, JINST 03 (2008) S08001, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08001>.
- [14] P. Fisher, U. Becker, J. Kirkby, Phys. Lett. B 356 (1995) 404, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(95\)00714-V](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(95)00714-V).
- [15] M. Dittmar, Phys. Rev. D 55 (1997) 161, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.55.161>.
- [16] S. Chatrchyan, et al., CMS Collaboration, JINST 3 (2008) S08004, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004>.
- [17] CMS Collaboration, CMS muon technical design report, CMS TDR CERN-LHCC-97-032, CMS-TDR-003, 1997, <http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/343814>.
- [18] S. Fraxione, P. Nason, C. Oleari, JHEP 0711 (2007) 070, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/11/070>.
- [19] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, E. Re, JHEP 0807 (2008) 060, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/07/060>.
- [20] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, E. Re, JHEP 1006 (2010) 043, arXiv:1002.2581, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06\(2010\)043](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2010)043).
- [21] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, P.Z. Skands, JHEP 0605 (2006) 026, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/05/026>.
- [22] H.-L. Lai, M. Guzzi, J. Huston, Z. Li, P.M. Nadolsky, J. Pumplin, C.-P. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D 82 (2010) 074024, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.074024>.
- [23] J. Alwall, M. Herquet, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer, T. Stelzer, JHEP 1106 (2011) 128, arXiv:1106.0522, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06\(2011\)128](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP06(2011)128).
- [24] N. Davidson, G. Nanava, T. Przedzinski, E. Richter-Was, Z. Was, Universal interface of TAUOLA technical and physics documentation, arXiv:1002.0543, 2010.
- [25] S. Agostinelli, et al., Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 506 (2003) 250, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002\(03\)01368-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8).
- [26] J. Allison, et al., IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science 53 (2006) 270, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TNS.2006.869826>.
- [27] CMS Collaboration, Performance of muon identification in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, CMS physics analysis summary, CMS-PAS-MUO-10-002, 2010, <http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1279140>.
- [28] S. Baffioni, C. Charlot, F. Ferri, D. Futyan, P. Meridiani, I. Puljak, C. Rovelli, R. Salerno, Y. Sirois, Eur. Phys. J. C 49 (2007) 1099, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-006-0175-5>.
- [29] CMS Collaboration, Electron reconstruction and identification at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, CMS physics analysis summary, CMS-PAS-EGM-10-004, 2010, <http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1299116>.
- [30] V. Blobel, in: Conference on Advanced Statistical Techniques in Particle Physics, Durham, England, 2002, p. 258, arXiv:hep-ex/0208022.
- [31] S. Chatrchyan, et al., CMS Collaboration, JINST 05 (2010) T03009, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/5/03/T03009>.
- [32] S. Alekhin, et al. The PDF4LHC Working Group interim report, 2011, arXiv:1101.0536.
- [33] M. Botje, J. Butterworth, A. Cooper-Sarkar, A. de Roeck, J. Feltesse, S. Forte, A. Glazov, J. Huston, R. McNulty, T. Sjostrand, R. Thorne, The PDF4LHC Working Group interim recommendations, arXiv:1101.0538, 2011.
- [34] P.M. Nadolsky, H.-L. Lai, Q.-H. Cao, J. Huston, J. Pumplin, D. Stump, W.-K. Tung, C.-P. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D 78 (2008) 013004, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.013004>.

[35] R.D. Ball, L. Del Debbio, S. Forte, A. Guffanti, J.I. Latorre, J. Rojow, M. Ubiali, Nucl. Phys. B 838 (2010) 136, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2010.05.008>.

[36] A.D. Martin, W.J. Stirling, R.S. Thorne, G. Watt, Eur. Phys. J. C 63 (2009) 189, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-009-1072-5>.

CMS Collaboration

S. Chatrchyan, V. Khachatryan, A.M. Sirunyan, A. Tumasyan

Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

W. Adam, T. Bergauer, M. Dragicevic, J. Erö, C. Fabjan¹, M. Friedl, R. Frühwirth¹, V.M. Ghete, J. Hammer, N. Hörmann, J. Hrubec, M. Jeitler¹, W. Kiesenhofer, V. Knünz, M. Krammer¹, D. Liko, I. Mikulec, M. Pernicka[†], B. Rahbaran, C. Rohringer, H. Rohringer, R. Schöfbeck, J. Strauss, A. Taurok, P. Wagner, W. Waltenberger, G. Walzel, E. Widl, C.-E. Wulz¹

Institut für Hochenergiephysik der OeAW, Wien, Austria

V. Mossolov, N. Shumeiko, J. Suarez Gonzalez

National Centre for Particle and High Energy Physics, Minsk, Belarus

S. Bansal, T. Cornelis, E.A. De Wolf, X. Janssen, S. Luyckx, T. Maes, L. Mucibello, S. Ochesanu, B. Roland, R. Rougny, M. Selvaggi, Z. Staykova, H. Van Haeveermaet, P. Van Mechelen, N. Van Remortel, A. Van Spilbeeck

Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

F. Blekman, S. Blyweert, J. D'Hondt, R. Gonzalez Suarez, A. Kalogeropoulos, M. Maes, A. Olbrechts, W. Van Doninck, P. Van Mulders, G.P. Van Onsem, I. Villella

Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

B. Clerbaux, G. De Lentdecker, V. Dero, A.P.R. Gay, T. Hreus, A. Léonard, P.E. Marage, T. Reis, L. Thomas, C. Vander Velde, P. Vanlaer, J. Wang

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

V. Adler, K. Beernaert, A. Cimmino, S. Costantini, G. Garcia, M. Grunewald, B. Klein, J. Lellouch, A. Marinov, J. McCartin, A.A. Ocampo Rios, D. Ryckbosch, N. Strobbe, F. Thyssen, M. Tytgat, L. Vanelderren, P. Verwilligen, S. Walsh, E. Yazgan, N. Zaganidis

Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

S. Basegmez, G. Bruno, R. Castello, A. Caudron, L. Ceard, C. Delaere, T. du Pree, D. Favart, L. Forthomme, A. Giammanco², J. Hollar, V. Lemaître, J. Liao, O. Militaru, C. Nuttens, D. Pagano, L. Perrini, A. Pin, K. Piotrkowski, N. Schul, J.M. Vizan Garcia

Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

N. Beliy, T. Caebegs, E. Daubie, G.H. Hammad

Université de Mons, Mons, Belgium

G.A. Alves, M. Correa Martins Junior, D. De Jesus Damiao, T. Martins, M.E. Pol, M.H.G. Souza

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

W.L. Aldá Júnior, W. Carvalho, A. Custódio, E.M. Da Costa, C. De Oliveira Martins, S. Fonseca De Souza, D. Matos Figueiredo, L. Mundim, H. Nogima, V. Oguri, W.L. Prado Da Silva, A. Santoro, L. Soares Jorge, A. Sznajder

Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

C.A. Bernardes³, F.A. Dias⁴, T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei, E.M. Gregores³, C. Lagana, F. Marinho, P.G. Mercadante³, S.F. Novaes, Sandra S. Padula

Instituto de Fisica Teorica, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Sao Paulo, Brazil

V. Genchev⁵, P. Iaydjiev⁵, S. Piperov, M. Rodozov, S. Stoykova, G. Sultanov, V. Tcholakov, R. Trayanov, M. Vutova

Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Sofia, Bulgaria

A. Dimitrov, R. Hadjiiska, V. Kozhuharov, L. Litov, B. Pavlov, P. Petkov

University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

J.G. Bian, G.M. Chen, H.S. Chen, C.H. Jiang, D. Liang, S. Liang, X. Meng, J. Tao, J. Wang, X. Wang, Z. Wang, H. Xiao, M. Xu, J. Zang, Z. Zhang

Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China

C. Asawatangtrakuldee, Y. Ban, S. Guo, Y. Guo, W. Li, S. Liu, Y. Mao, S.J. Qian, H. Teng, S. Wang, B. Zhu, W. Zou

State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China

C. Avila, J.P. Gomez, B. Gomez Moreno, A.F. Osorio Oliveros, J.C. Sanabria

Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia

N. Godinovic, D. Lelas, R. Plestina⁶, D. Polic, I. Puljak⁵

Technical University of Split, Split, Croatia

Z. Antunovic, M. Kovac

University of Split, Split, Croatia

V. Brigljevic, S. Duric, K. Kadija, J. Luetic, S. Morovic

Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia

A. Attikis, M. Galanti, G. Mavromanolakis, J. Mousa, C. Nicolaou, F. Ptochos, P.A. Razis

University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus

M. Finger, M. Finger Jr.

Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

Y. Assran⁷, S. Elgammal⁸, A. Ellithi Kamel⁹, S. Khalil⁸, M.A. Mahmoud¹⁰, A. Radi^{11,12}

Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt

M. Kadastik, M. Müntel, M. Raidal, L. Rebane, A. Tiko

National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia

V. Azzolini, P. Eerola, G. Fedi, M. Voutilainen

Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

J. Härkönen, A. Heikkinen, V. Karimäki, R. Kinnunen, M.J. Kortelainen, T. Lampén, K. Lassila-Perini, S. Lehti, T. Lindén, P. Luukka, T. Mäenpää, T. Peltola, E. Tuominen, J. Tuominiemi, E. Tuovinen, D. Ungaro, L. Wendland

Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland

K. Banzuzi, A. Karjalainen, A. Korpela, T. Tuuva

Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland

M. Besancon, S. Choudhury, M. Dejjardin, D. Denegri, B. Fabbro, J.L. Faure, F. Ferri, S. Ganjour, A. Givernaud, P. Gras, G. Hamel de Monchenault, P. Jarry, E. Locci, J. Malcles, L. Millischer, A. Nayak, J. Rander, A. Rosowsky, I. Shreyber, M. Titov

DSM/IRFU, CEA/Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

S. Baffioni, F. Beaudette, L. Benhabib, L. Bianchini, M. Bluj¹³, C. Broutin, P. Busson, C. Charlot, N. Daci, T. Dahms, L. Dobrzynski, R. Granier de Cassagnac, M. Haguenaue, P. Miné, C. Mironov, M. Nguyen, C. Ochando, P. Paganini, D. Sabes, R. Salerno, Y. Sirois, C. Veelken, A. Zabi

Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3-CNRS, Palaiseau, France

J.-L. Agram¹⁴, J. Andrea, D. Bloch, D. Bodin, J.-M. Brom, M. Cardaci, E.C. Chabert, C. Collard, E. Conte¹⁴, F. Drouhin¹⁴, C. Ferro, J.-C. Fontaine¹⁴, D. Gelé, U. Goerlach, P. Juillot, A.-C. Le Bihan, P. Van Hove

Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France

F. Fassi, D. Mercier

Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de Physique des Particules (IN2P3), Villeurbanne, France

S. Beauceron, N. Beaupere, O. Bondu, G. Boudoul, J. Chasserat, R. Chierici⁵, D. Contardo, P. Depasse, H. El Mamouni, J. Fay, S. Gascon, M. Gouzevitch, B. Ille, T. Kurca, M. Lethuillier, L. Mirabito, S. Perries, V. Sordini, S. Tosi, Y. Tschudi, P. Verdier, S. Viret

Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France

Z. Tsamalaidze¹⁵

Institute of High Energy Physics and Informatization, Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia

G. Anagnostou, S. Beranek, M. Edelhoff, L. Feld, N. Heracleous, O. Hindrichs, R. Jussen, K. Klein, J. Merz, A. Ostapchuk, A. Perieanu, F. Raupach, J. Sammet, S. Schael, D. Sprenger, H. Weber, B. Wittmer, V. Zhukov¹⁶

RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany

M. Ata, J. Caudron, E. Dietz-Laursonn, D. Duchardt, M. Erdmann, R. Fischer, A. Güth, T. Hebbeker, C. Heidemann, K. Hoepfner, D. Klingebiel, P. Kreuzer, J. Lingemann, C. Magass, M. Merschmeyer, A. Meyer, M. Olschewski, P. Papacz, H. Pieta, H. Reithler, S.A. Schmitz, L. Sonnenschein, J. Steggemann, D. Teysier, M. Weber

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany

M. Bontenackels, V. Cherepanov, G. Flügge, H. Geenen, M. Geisler, W. Haj Ahmad, F. Hoehle, B. Kargoll, T. Kress, Y. Kuessel, A. Nowack, L. Perchalla, O. Pooth, J. Rennefeld, P. Sauerland, A. Stahl

RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany

M. Aldaya Martin, J. Behr, W. Behrenhoff, U. Behrens, M. Bergholz¹⁷, A. Bethani, K. Borras, A. Burgmeier, A. Cakir, L. Calligaris, A. Campbell, E. Castro, F. Costanza, D. Dammann, C. Diez Pardos, G. Eckerlin, D. Eckstein, G. Flucke, A. Geiser, I. Glushkov, P. Gunnellini, S. Habib, J. Hauk, G. Hellwig, H. Jung, M. Kasemann, P. Katsas, C. Kleinwort, H. Kluge, A. Knutsson, M. Krämer, D. Krücker, E. Kuznetsova, W. Lange, W. Lohmann¹⁷, B. Lutz, R. Mankel, I. Marfin, M. Marienfeld, I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann, A.B. Meyer, J. Mnich, A. Mussgiller, S. Naumann-Emme, J. Olzem, H. Perrey, A. Petrukhin, D. Pitzl, A. Raspereza, P.M. Ribeiro Cipriano, C. Riedl, E. Ron, M. Rosin, J. Salfeld-Nebgen, R. Schmidt¹⁷, T. Schoerner-Sadenius, N. Sen, A. Spiridonov, M. Stein, R. Walsh, C. Wissing

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany

C. Autermann, V. Blobel, S. Bobrovskiy, J. Draeger, H. Enderle, J. Erfle, U. Gebbert, M. Görner, T. Hermanns, R.S. Höing, K. Kaschube, G. Kaussen, H. Kirschenmann, R. Klanner, J. Lange, B. Mura, F. Nowak, T. Peiffer, N. Pietsch, D. Rathjens, C. Sander, H. Schettler, P. Schleper, E. Schlieckau, A. Schmidt, M. Schröder, T. Schum, M. Seidel, H. Stadie, G. Steinbrück, J. Thomsen

University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany

C. Barth, J. Berger, C. Böser, T. Chwalek, W. De Boer, A. Descroix, A. Dierlamm, M. Feindt, M. Guthoff⁵, C. Hackstein, F. Hartmann, T. Hauth⁵, M. Heinrich, H. Held, K.H. Hoffmann, S. Honc, I. Katkov¹⁶, J.R. Komaragiri, D. Martschei, S. Mueller, Th. Müller, M. Niegel, A. Nürnberg, O. Oberst, A. Oehler, J. Ott, G. Quast, K. Rabbertz, F. Ratnikov, N. Ratnikova, S. Röcker, A. Scheurer, F.-P. Schilling, G. Schott, H.J. Simonis, F.M. Stober, D. Troendle, R. Ulrich, J. Wagner-Kuhr, S. Wayand, T. Weiler, M. Zeise

Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, Karlsruhe, Germany

G. Daskalakis, T. Geralis, S. Kesisoglou, A. Kyriakis, D. Loukas, I. Manolakos, A. Markou, C. Markou, C. Mavrommatis, E. Ntomari

Institute of Nuclear Physics "Demokritos", Aghia Paraskevi, Greece

L. Gouskos, T.J. Mertzimekis, A. Panagiotou, N. Saoulidou

University of Athens, Athens, Greece

I. Evangelou, C. Foudas⁵, P. Kokkas, N. Manthos, I. Papadopoulos, V. Patras

University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece

G. Bencze, C. Hajdu⁵, P. Hidas, D. Horvath¹⁸, F. Sikler, V. Veszpremi, G. Vesztergombi¹⁹

KFKI Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Budapest, Hungary

N. Beni, S. Czellar, J. Molnar, J. Palinkas, Z. Szillasi

Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary

J. Karacsi, P. Raics, Z.L. Trocsanyi, B. Ujvari

University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar, N. Dhingra, R. Gupta, M. Jindal, M. Kaur, M.Z. Mehta, N. Nishu, L.K. Saini, A. Sharma, J. Singh

Panjab University, Chandigarh, India

Ashok Kumar, Arun Kumar, S. Ahuja, A. Bhardwaj, B.C. Choudhary, S. Malhotra, M. Naimuddin, K. Ranjan, V. Sharma, R.K. Shivpuri

University of Delhi, Delhi, India

S. Banerjee, S. Bhattacharya, S. Dutta, B. Gomber, Sa. Jain, Sh. Jain, R. Khurana, S. Sarkar, M. Sharan

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata, India

A. Abdulsalam, R.K. Choudhury, D. Dutta, S. Kailas, V. Kumar, P. Mehta, A.K. Mohanty⁵, L.M. Pant, P. Shukla

Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India

T. Aziz, S. Ganguly, M. Guchait²⁰, M. Maity²¹, G. Majumder, K. Mazumdar, G.B. Mohanty, B. Parida, K. Sudhakar, N. Wickramage

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research – EHEP, Mumbai, India

S. Banerjee, S. Dugad

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research – HECR, Mumbai, India

H. Arfaei, H. Bakhshiansohi²², S.M. Etesami²³, A. Fahim²², M. Hashemi, A. Jafari²², M. Khakzad, A. Mohammadi²⁴, M. Mohammadi Najafabadi, S. Paktinat Mehdiabadi, B. Safarzadeh²⁵, M. Zeinali²³

Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran

M. Abbrescia^{a,b}, L. Barbone^{a,b}, C. Calabria^{a,b,5}, S.S. Chhibra^{a,b}, A. Colaleo^a, D. Creanza^{a,c}, N. De Filippis^{a,c,5}, M. De Palma^{a,b}, L. Fiore^a, G. Iaselli^{a,c}, L. Lusito^{a,b}, G. Maggi^{a,c}, M. Maggi^a, B. Marangelli^{a,b}, S. My^{a,c}, S. Nuzzo^{a,b}, N. Pacifico^{a,b}, A. Pompili^{a,b}, G. Pugliese^{a,c}, G. Selvaggi^{a,b}, L. Silvestris^a, G. Singh^{a,b}, R. Venditti, G. Zito^a^a INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy^b Università di Bari, Bari, Italy^c Politecnico di Bari, Bari, ItalyG. Abbiendi^a, A.C. Benvenuti^a, D. Bonacorsi^{a,b}, S. Braibant-Giacomelli^{a,b}, L. Brigliadori^{a,b}, P. Capiluppi^{a,b}, A. Castro^{a,b}, F.R. Cavallo^a, M. Cuffiani^{a,b}, G.M. Dallavalle^a, F. Fabbri^a, A. Fanfani^{a,b}, D. Fasanella^{a,b,5}, P. Giacomelli^a, C. Grandi^a, L. Guiducci^{a,b}, S. Marcellini^a, G. Masetti^a, M. Meneghelli^{a,b,5}, A. Montanari^a, F.L. Navarria^{a,b}, F. Odorici^a, A. Perrotta^a, F. Primavera^{a,b}, A.M. Rossi^{a,b}, T. Rovelli^{a,b}, G. Siroli^{a,b}, R. Travaglini^{a,b}^a INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy^b Università di Bologna, Bologna, ItalyS. Albergo^{a,b}, G. Cappello^{a,b}, M. Chiorboli^{a,b}, S. Costa^{a,b}, R. Potenza^{a,b}, A. Tricomi^{a,b}, C. Tuve^{a,b}^a INFN Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy^b Università di Catania, Catania, ItalyG. Barbagli^a, V. Ciulli^{a,b}, C. Civinini^a, R. D'Alessandro^{a,b}, E. Focardi^{a,b}, S. Frosali^{a,b}, E. Gallo^a, S. Gonzi^{a,b}, M. Meschini^a, S. Paoletti^a, G. Sguazzoni^a, A. Tropiano^{a,5}^a INFN Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy^b Università di Firenze, Firenze, ItalyL. Benussi, S. Bianco, S. Colafranceschi²⁶, F. Fabbri, D. Piccolo

INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy

P. Fabbri, R. Musenich

INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy

A. Benaglia^{a,b,5}, F. De Guio^{a,b}, L. Di Matteo^{a,b,5}, S. Fiorendi^{a,b}, S. Gennai^{a,5}, A. Ghezzi^{a,b}, S. Malvezzi^a, R.A. Manzoni^{a,b}, A. Martelli^{a,b}, A. Massironi^{a,b,5}, D. Menasce^a, L. Moroni^a, M. Paganoni^{a,b}, D. Pedrini^a, S. Ragazzi^{a,b}, N. Redaelli^a, S. Sala^a, T. Tabarelli de Fatis^{a,b}^a INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy^b Università di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, ItalyS. Buontempo^a, C.A. Carrillo Montoya^{a,5}, N. Cavallo^{a,27}, A. De Cosa^{a,b,5}, O. Dogangun^{a,b}, F. Fabozzi^{a,27}, A.O.M. Iorio^a, L. Lista^a, S. Meola^{a,28}, M. Merola^{a,b}, P. Paolucci^{a,5}^a INFN Sezione di Napoli, Napoli, Italy^b Università di Napoli "Federico II", Napoli, ItalyP. Azzi^a, N. Bacchetta^{a,5}, D. Bisello^{a,b}, A. Branca^{a,5}, R. Carlin^{a,b}, P. Checchia^a, T. Dorigo^a, U. Dosselli^a, F. Gasparini^{a,b}, U. Gasparini^{a,b}, A. Gozzelino^a, K. Kanishchev^{a,c}, S. Lacaprarà^a, I. Lazzizzera^{a,c}, M. Margoni^{a,b}, A.T. Meneguzzo^{a,b}, J. Pazzini^a, N. Pozzobon^{a,b}, P. Ronchese^{a,b}, F. Simonetto^{a,b}, E. Torassa^a, M. Tosi^{a,b,5}, S. Vanini^{a,b}, P. Zotto^{a,b}, A. Zucchetta^a, G. Zumerle^{a,b}^a INFN Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy

^b Università di Padova, Padova, Italy
^c Università di Trento (Trento), Padova, Italy

M. Gabusi ^{a,b}, S.P. Ratti ^{a,b}, C. Riccardi ^{a,b}, P. Torre ^{a,b}, P. Vitulo ^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Pavia, Pavia, Italy
^b Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy

M. Biasini ^{a,b}, G.M. Bilei ^a, L. Fanò ^{a,b}, P. Lariccia ^{a,b}, A. Lucaroni ^{a,b,5}, G. Mantovani ^{a,b}, M. Menichelli ^a,
A. Nappi ^{a,b}, F. Romeo ^{a,b}, A. Saha ^a, A. Santocchia ^{a,b}, S. Taroni ^{a,b,5}

^a INFN Sezione di Perugia, Perugia, Italy
^b Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy

P. Azzurri ^{a,c}, G. Bagliesi ^a, T. Boccali ^a, G. Broccolo ^{a,c}, R. Castaldi ^a, R.T. D’Agnolo ^{a,c}, R. Dell’Orso ^a,
F. Fiori ^{a,b,5}, L. Foà ^{a,c}, A. Giassi ^a, A. Kraan ^a, F. Ligabue ^{a,c}, T. Lomtadze ^a, L. Martini ^{a,29}, A. Messineo ^{a,b},
F. Palla ^a, A. Rizzi ^{a,b}, A.T. Serban ^{a,30}, P. Spagnolo ^a, P. Squillacioti ^{a,5}, R. Tenchini ^a, G. Tonelli ^{a,b,5},
A. Venturi ^{a,5}, P.G. Verdini ^a

^a INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy
^b Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy
^c Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Pisa, Italy

L. Barone ^{a,b}, F. Cavallari ^a, D. Del Re ^{a,b,5}, M. Diemoz ^a, M. Grassi ^{a,b,5}, E. Longo ^{a,b}, P. Meridiani ^{a,5},
F. Micheli ^{a,b}, S. Nourbakhsh ^{a,b}, G. Organtini ^{a,b}, R. Paramatti ^a, S. Rahatlou ^{a,b}, M. Sigamani ^a, L. Soffi ^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Roma, Roma, Italy
^b Università di Roma “La Sapienza”, Roma, Italy

N. Amapane ^{a,b}, R. Arcidiacono ^{a,c}, S. Argiro ^{a,b}, M. Arneodo ^{a,c}, C. Biino ^a, N. Cartiglia ^a, M. Costa ^{a,b},
N. Demaria ^a, A. Graziano ^{a,b}, C. Mariotti ^{a,5}, S. Maselli ^a, E. Migliore ^{a,b}, V. Monaco ^{a,b}, M. Musich ^{a,5},
M.M. Obertino ^{a,c}, N. Pastrone ^a, M. Pelliccioni ^a, A. Potenza ^{a,b}, A. Romero ^{a,b}, M. Ruspa ^{a,c}, R. Sacchi ^{a,b},
V. Sola ^{a,b}, A. Solano ^{a,b}, A. Staiano ^a, A. Vilela Pereira ^a

^a INFN Sezione di Torino, Torino, Italy
^b Università di Torino, Torino, Italy
^c Università del Piemonte Orientale (Novara), Torino, Italy

S. Belforte ^a, V. Candelise ^{a,b}, F. Cossutti ^a, G. Della Ricca ^{a,b}, B. Gobbo ^a, M. Marone ^{a,b,5},
D. Montanino ^{a,b,5}, A. Penzo ^a, A. Schizzi ^{a,b}

^a INFN Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy
^b Università di Trieste, Trieste, Italy

S.G. Heo, T.Y. Kim, S.K. Nam

Kangwon National University, Chunchon, Republic of Korea

S. Chang, J. Chung, D.H. Kim, G.N. Kim, D.J. Kong, H. Park, S.R. Ro, D.C. Son, T. Son

Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Republic of Korea

J.Y. Kim, Zero J. Kim, S. Song

Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Republic of Korea

S. Choi, D. Gyun, B. Hong, M. Jo, H. Kim, T.J. Kim, K.S. Lee, D.H. Moon, S.K. Park

Korea University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

M. Choi, S. Kang, J.H. Kim, C. Park, I.C. Park, S. Park, G. Ryu

University of Seoul, Seoul, Republic of Korea

Y. Cho, Y. Choi, Y.K. Choi, J. Goh, M.S. Kim, E. Kwon, B. Lee, J. Lee, S. Lee, H. Seo, I. Yu

Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Republic of Korea

M.J. Bilinskas, I. Grigelionis, M. Janulis, A. Juodagalvis

Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania

H. Castilla-Valdez, E. De La Cruz-Burelo, I. Heredia-de La Cruz, R. Lopez-Fernandez, R. Magaña Villalba, J. Martínez-Ortega, A. Sánchez-Hernández, L.M. Villasenor-Cendejas

Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico

S. Carrillo Moreno, F. Vazquez Valencia

Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico

H.A. Salazar Ibarquen

Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico

E. Casimiro Linares, A. Morelos Pineda, M.A. Reyes-Santos

Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico

D. Krofcheck

University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

A.J. Bell, P.H. Butler, R. Doesburg, S. Reucroft, H. Silverwood

University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand

M. Ahmad, M.I. Asghar, H.R. Hoorani, S. Khalid, W.A. Khan, T. Khurshid, S. Qazi, M.A. Shah, M. Shoaib

National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

G. Brona, K. Bunkowski, M. Cwiok, W. Dominik, K. Doroba, A. Kalinowski, M. Konecki, J. Krolikowski

Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

H. Bialkowska, B. Boimska, T. Frueboes, R. Gokieli, M. Górski, M. Kazana, K. Nawrocki, K. Romanowska-Rybinska, M. Szleper, G. Wrochna, P. Zalewski

Soltan Institute for Nuclear Studies, Warsaw, Poland

N. Almeida, P. Bargassa, A. David, P. Faccioli, M. Fernandes, P.G. Ferreira Parracho, M. Gallinaro, J. Seixas, J. Varela, P. Vischia

Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal

I. Belotelov, I. Golutvin, I. Gorbunov, A. Kamenev, V. Karjavin, V. Konoplyanikov, G. Kozlov, A. Lanev, A. Malakhov, P. Moisenz, V. Palichik, V. Perelygin, M. Savina, S. Shmatov, V. Smirnov, A. Volodko, A. Zarubin

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

S. Evstyukhin, V. Golovtsov, Y. Ivanov, V. Kim, P. Levchenko, V. Murzin, V. Oreshkin, I. Smirnov, V. Sulimov, L. Uvarov, S. Vavilov, A. Vorobyev, An. Vorobyev

Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St. Petersburg), Russia

Yu. Andreev, A. Dermenev, S. Gninenko, N. Golubev, M. Kirsanov, N. Krasnikov, V. Matveev, A. Pashenkov, D. Tlisov, A. Toropin

Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia

V. Epshteyn, M. Erofeeva, V. Gavrilov, M. Kossov⁵, N. Lychkovskaya, V. Popov, G. Safronov, S. Semenov, V. Stolin, E. Vlasov, A. Zhokin

Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia

A. Belyaev, E. Boos, M. Dubinin⁴, L. Dudko, A. Ershov, A. Gribushin, V. Klyukhin, O. Kodolova, I. Lokhtin, A. Markina, S. Obraztsov, M. Perfilov, S. Petrushanko, A. Popov, L. Sarycheva[†], V. Savrin, A. Snigirev

Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

V. Andreev, M. Azarkin, I. Dremin, M. Kirakosyan, A. Leonidov, G. Mesyats, S.V. Rusakov, A. Vinogradov

P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia

I. Azhgirey, I. Bayshev, S. Bitioukov, V. Grishin⁵, V. Kachanov, D. Konstantinov, A. Korablev, V. Krychkin, V. Petrov, R. Ryutin, A. Sobol, L. Tourtchanovitch, S. Troshin, N. Tyurin, A. Uzunian, A. Volkov

State Research Center of Russian Federation, Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia

P. Adzic³¹, M. Djordjevic, M. Ekmedzic, D. Krpic³¹, J. Milosevic

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia

M. Aguilar-Benitez, J. Alcaraz Maestre, P. Arce, C. Battilana, E. Calvo, M. Cerrada, M. Chamizo Llatas, N. Colino, B. De La Cruz, A. Delgado Peris, D. Domínguez Vázquez, C. Fernandez Bedoya, J.P. Fernández Ramos, A. Ferrando, J. Flix, M.C. Fouz, P. Garcia-Abia, O. Gonzalez Lopez, S. Goy Lopez, J.M. Hernandez, M.I. Josa, G. Merino, J. Puerta Pelayo, A. Quintario Olmeda, I. Redondo, L. Romero, J. Santaolalla, M.S. Soares, C. Willmott

Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain

C. Albajar, G. Codispoti, J.F. de Trocóniz

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

H. Brun, J. Cuevas, J. Fernandez Menendez, S. Folgueras, I. Gonzalez Caballero, L. Lloret Iglesias, J. Piedra Gomez³²

Universidad de Oviedo, Oviedo, Spain

J.A. Brochero Cifuentes, I.J. Cabrillo, A. Calderon, S.H. Chuang, J. Duarte Campderros, M. Felcini³³, M. Fernandez, G. Gomez, J. Gonzalez Sanchez, C. Jorda, P. Lobelle Pardo, A. Lopez Virto, J. Marco, R. Marco, C. Martinez Rivero, F. Matorras, F.J. Munoz Sanchez, T. Rodrigo, A.Y. Rodríguez-Marrero, A. Ruiz-Jimeno, L. Scodellaro, M. Sobron Sanudo, I. Vila, R. Vilar Cortabitarte

Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC – Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain

D. Abbaneo, E. Auffray, G. Auzinger, P. Baillon, A.H. Ball, D. Barney, C. Bernet⁶, G. Bianchi, P. Bloch, A. Bocci, A. Bonato, C. Botta, H. Breuker, T. Camporesi, G. Cerminara, T. Christiansen, J.A. Coarasa Perez, D. D'Enterria, A. Dabrowski, A. De Roeck, S. Di Guida, M. Dobson, N. Dupont-Sagorin, A. Elliott-Peisert, B. Frisch, W. Funk, G. Georgiou, M. Giffels, D. Gigi, K. Gill, D. Giordano, M. Giunta, F. Glege, R. Gomez-Reino Garrido, P. Govoni, S. Gowdy, R. Guida, M. Hansen, P. Harris, C. Hartl, J. Harvey, B. Hegner, A. Hinzmann, V. Innocente, P. Janot, K. Kaadze, E. Karavakis, K. Kousouris, P. Lecoq, Y.-J. Lee, P. Lenzi, C. Lourenço, T. Mäki, M. Malberti, L. Malgeri, M. Mannelli, L. Masetti, F. Meijers, S. Mersi, E. Meschi, R. Moser, M.U. Mozer, M. Mulders, P. Musella, E. Nesvold, T. Orimoto, L. Orsini, E. Palencia Cortezon, E. Perez, L. Perrozzi, A. Petrilli, A. Pfeiffer, M. Pierini, M. Pimiä, D. Piparo, G. Polese, L. Quertenmont, A. Racz, W. Reece, J. Rodrigues Antunes, G. Rolandi³⁴, T. Rommerskirchen, C. Rovelli³⁵, M. Rovere, H. Sakulin, F. Santanastasio, C. Schäfer, C. Schwick, I. Segoni, S. Sekmen, A. Sharma, P. Siegrist, P. Silva, M. Simon, P. Sphicas^{36,*}, D. Spiga, M. Spiropulu⁴, M. Stoye, A. Tsiros, G.I. Veres¹⁹, J.R. Vlimant, H.K. Wöhri, S.D. Worm³⁷, W.D. Zeuner

CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland

W. Bertl, K. Deiters, W. Erdmann, K. Gabathuler, R. Horisberger, Q. Ingram, H.C. Kaestli, S. König, D. Kotlinski, U. Langenegger, F. Meier, D. Renker, T. Rohe, J. Sibille³⁸

Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland

L. Bäni, P. Bortignon, M.A. Buchmann, B. Casal, N. Chanon, A. Deisher, G. Dissertori, M. Dittmar, M. Dünser, J. Eugster, K. Freudenreich, C. Grab, D. Hits, P. Lecomte, W. Lustermann, A.C. Marini, P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol, N. Mohr, F. Moortgat, C. Nägeli³⁹, P. Nef, F. Nessi-Tedaldi, F. Pandolfi, L. Pape, F. Pauss, M. Peruzzi, F.J. Ronga, M. Rossini, L. Sala, A.K. Sanchez, A. Starodumov⁴⁰, B. Stieger, M. Takahashi, L. Tauscher[†], A. Thea, K. Theofilatos, D. Treille, C. Urscheler, R. Wallny, H.A. Weber, L. Wehrli

Institute for Particle Physics, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

E. Aguilo, C. AMSler, V. Chiochia, S. De Visscher, C. Favaro, M. Ivova Rikova, B. Millan Mejias, P. Otiougo, P. Robmann, H. Snoek, S. Tuppiti, M. Verzetti

Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland

Y.H. Chang, K.H. Chen, C.M. Kuo, S.W. Li, W. Lin, Z.K. Liu, Y.J. Lu, D. Mekterovic, A.P. Singh, R. Volpe, S.S. Yu

National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan

P. Bartalini, P. Chang, Y.H. Chang, Y.W. Chang, Y. Chao, K.F. Chen, C. Dietz, U. Grundler, W.-S. Hou, Y. Hsiung, K.Y. Kao, Y.J. Lei, R.-S. Lu, D. Majumder, E. Petrakou, X. Shi, J.G. Shiu, Y.M. Tzeng, X. Wan, M. Wang

National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan

A. Adiguzel, M.N. Bakirci⁴¹, S. Cerci⁴², C. Dozen, I. Dumanoglu, E. Eskut, S. Girgis, G. Gokbulut, E. Gurpinar, I. Hos, E.E. Kangal, G. Karapinar, A. Kayis Topaksu, G. Onengut, K. Ozdemir, S. Ozturk⁴³, A. Polatoz, K. Sogut⁴⁴, D. Sunar Cerci⁴², B. Tali⁴², H. Topakli⁴¹, L.N. Vergili, M. Vergili

Cukurova University, Adana, Turkey

I.V. Akin, T. Aliev, B. Bilin, S. Bilmis, M. Deniz, H. Gamsizkan, A.M. Guler, K. Ocalan, A. Ozpineci, M. Serin, R. Sever, U.E. Surat, M. Yalvac, E. Yildirim, M. Zeyrek

Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey

E. Gülmez, B. Isildak⁴⁵, M. Kaya⁴⁶, O. Kaya⁴⁶, S. Ozkorucuklu⁴⁷, N. Sonmez⁴⁸

Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey

K. Cankocak

Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

L. Levchuk

National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine

F. Bostock, J.J. Brooke, E. Clement, D. Cussans, H. Flacher, R. Frazier, J. Goldstein, M. Grimes, G.P. Heath, H.F. Heath, L. Kreczko, S. Metson, D.M. Newbold³⁷, K. Nirunpong, A. Poll, S. Senkin, V.J. Smith, T. Williams

University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom

L. Basso⁴⁹, K.W. Bell, A. Belyaev⁴⁹, C. Brew, R.M. Brown, D.J.A. Cockerill, J.A. Coughlan, K. Harder, S. Harper, J. Jackson, B.W. Kennedy, E. Olaiya, D. Petyt, B.C. Radburn-Smith, C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous, I.R. Tomalin, W.J. Womersley

Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom

R. Bainbridge, G. Ball, R. Beuselinck, O. Buchmuller, D. Colling, N. Cripps, M. Cutajar, P. Dauncey, G. Davies, M. Della Negra, W. Ferguson, J. Fulcher, D. Futyan, A. Gilbert, A. Guneratne Bryer, G. Hall, Z. Hatherell, J. Hays, G. Iles, M. Jarvis, G. Karapostoli, L. Lyons, A.-M. Magnan, J. Marrouche, B. Mathias, R. Nandi, J. Nash, A. Nikitenko⁴⁰, A. Papageorgiou, J. Pela⁵, M. Pesaresi, K. Petridis, M. Pioppi⁵⁰, D.M. Raymond, S. Rogerson, A. Rose, M.J. Ryan, C. Seez, P. Sharp[†], A. Sparrow, A. Tapper, M. Vazquez Acosta, T. Virdee, S. Wakefield, N. Wardle, T. Whyntie

Imperial College, London, United Kingdom

M. Chadwick, J.E. Cole, P.R. Hobson, A. Khan, P. Kyberd, D. Leggat, D. Leslie, W. Martin, I.D. Reid, P. Symonds, L. Teodorescu, M. Turner

Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom

K. Hatakeyama, H. Liu, T. Scarborough

Baylor University, Waco, USA

O. Charaf, C. Henderson, P. Rumerio

The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, USA

A. Avetisyan, T. Bose, C. Fantasia, A. Heister, J. St. John, P. Lawson, D. Lazic, J. Rohlf, D. Sperka, L. Sulak

Boston University, Boston, USA

J. Alimena, S. Bhattacharya, D. Cutts, A. Ferapontov, U. Heintz, S. Jabeen, G. Kukartsev, E. Laird, G. Landsberg, M. Luk, M. Narain, D. Nguyen, M. Segala, T. Sinthuprasith, T. Speer, K.V. Tsang

Brown University, Providence, USA

R. Breedon, G. Breto, M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez, S. Chauhan, M. Chertok, J. Conway, R. Conway, P.T. Cox, J. Dolen, R. Erbacher, M. Gardner, R. Houtz, W. Ko, A. Kopecky, R. Lander, T. Miceli, D. Pellett, B. Rutherford, M. Searle, J. Smith, M. Squires, M. Tripathi, R. Vasquez Sierra

University of California, Davis, Davis, USA

V. Andreev, D. Cline, R. Cousins, J. Duris, S. Erhan, P. Everaerts, C. Farrell, J. Hauser, M. Ignatenko, C. Jarvis, C. Plager, G. Rakness, P. Schlein[†], J. Tucker, V. Valuev, M. Weber

University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA

J. Babb, R. Clare, M.E. Dinardo, J. Ellison, J.W. Gary, F. Giordano, G. Hanson, G.Y. Jeng⁵¹, H. Liu, O.R. Long, A. Luthra, H. Nguyen, S. Paramesvaran, J. Sturdy, S. Sumowidagdo, R. Wilken, S. Wimpenny

University of California, Riverside, Riverside, USA

W. Andrews, J.G. Branson, G.B. Cerati, S. Cittolin, D. Evans, F. Golf, A. Holzner, R. Kelley, M. Lebourgeois, J. Letts, I. Macneill, B. Mangano, S. Padhi, C. Palmer, G. Petrucciani, M. Pieri, M. Sani, V. Sharma, S. Simon, E. Sudano, M. Tadel, Y. Tu, A. Vartak, S. Wasserbaech⁵², F. Würthwein, A. Yagil, J. Yoo

University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, USA

D. Barge, R. Bellan, C. Campagnari, M. D'Alfonso, T. Danielson, K. Flowers, P. Geffert, J. Incandela, C. Justus, P. Kalavase, S.A. Koay, D. Kovalskyi, V. Krutelyov, S. Lowette, N. Mccoll, V. Pavlunin, F. Rebassoo, J. Ribnik, J. Richman, R. Rossin, D. Stuart, W. To, C. West

University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, USA

A. Apresyan, A. Bornheim, Y. Chen, E. Di Marco, J. Duarte, M. Gataullin, Y. Ma, A. Mott, H.B. Newman, C. Rogan, V. Timciuc, P. Traczyk, J. Veverka, R. Wilkinson, Y. Yang, R.Y. Zhu

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA

B. Akgun, R. Carroll, T. Ferguson, Y. Iiyama, D.W. Jang, Y.F. Liu, M. Paulini, H. Vogel, I. Vorobiev

Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA

J.P. Cumalat, B.R. Drell, C.J. Edelmaier, W.T. Ford, A. Gaz, B. Heyburn, E. Luiggi Lopez, J.G. Smith, K. Stenson, K.A. Ulmer, S.R. Wagner

University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, USA

J. Alexander, A. Chatterjee, N. Eggert, L.K. Gibbons, B. Heltsley, A. Khukhunaishvili, B. Kreis, N. Mirman, G. Nicolas Kaufman, J.R. Patterson, A. Ryd, E. Salvati, W. Sun, W.D. Teo, J. Thom, J. Thompson, J. Vaughan, Y. Weng, L. Winstrom, P. Wittich

Cornell University, Ithaca, USA

D. Winn

Fairfield University, Fairfield, USA

S. Abdullin, M. Albrow, J. Anderson, L.A.T. Bauerdick, A. Beretvas, J. Berryhill, P.C. Bhat, I. Bloch, K. Burkett, J.N. Butler, V. Chetluru, H.W.K. Cheung, F. Chlebana, V.D. Elvira, I. Fisk, J. Freeman, Y. Gao, D. Green, O. Gutsche, J. Hanlon, R.M. Harris, J. Hirschauer, B. Hooberman, S. Jindariani, M. Johnson, U. Joshi, B. Kilminster, B. Klima, S. Kunori, S. Kwan, C. Leonidopoulos, D. Lincoln, R. Lipton, J. Lykken, K. Maeshima, J.M. Marraffino, S. Maruyama, D. Mason, P. McBride, K. Mishra, S. Mrenna, Y. Musienko⁵³, C. Newman-Holmes, V. O'Dell, O. Prokofyev, E. Sexton-Kennedy, S. Sharma, W.J. Spalding, L. Spiegel, P. Tan, L. Taylor, S. Tkaczyk, N.V. Tran, L. Uplegger, E.W. Vaandering, R. Vidal, J. Whitmore, W. Wu, F. Yang, F. Yumiceva, J.C. Yun

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, USA

D. Acosta, P. Avery, D. Bourilkov, M. Chen, S. Das, M. De Gruttola, G.P. Di Giovanni, D. Dobur, A. Drozdetskiy, R.D. Field, M. Fisher, Y. Fu, I.K. Furic, J. Gartner, J. Hugon, B. Kim, J. Konigsberg, A. Korytov, A. Kropivnitskaya, T. Kypreos, J.F. Low, K. Matchev, P. Milenovic⁵⁴, G. Mitselmakher, L. Muniz, R. Remington, A. Rinkevicius, P. Sellers, N. Skhirtladze, M. Snowball, J. Yelton, M. Zakaria

University of Florida, Gainesville, USA

V. Gaultney, L.M. Lebolo, S. Linn, P. Markowitz, G. Martinez, J.L. Rodriguez

Florida International University, Miami, USA

J.R. Adams, T. Adams, A. Askew, J. Bochenek, J. Chen, B. Diamond, S.V. Gleyzer, J. Haas, S. Hagopian, V. Hagopian, M. Jenkins, K.F. Johnson, H. Prosper, V. Veeraraghavan, M. Weinberg

Florida State University, Tallahassee, USA

M.M. Baarmand, B. Dorney, M. Hohlmann, H. Kalakhety, I. Vodopiyarov

Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, USA

M.R. Adams, I.M. Anghel, L. Apanasevich, Y. Bai, V.E. Bazterra, R.R. Betts, I. Bucinskaite, J. Callner, R. Cavanaugh, C. Dragoiu, O. Evdokimov, L. Gauthier, C.E. Gerber, D.J. Hofman, S. Khalatyan, F. Lacroix, M. Malek, C. O'Brien, C. Silkworth, D. Strom, N. Varelas

University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, USA

U. Akgun, E.A. Albayrak, B. Bilki⁵⁵, W. Clarida, F. Duru, S. Griffiths, J.-P. Merlo, H. Mermerkaya⁵⁶, A. Mestvirishvili, A. Moeller, J. Nachtman, C.R. Newsom, E. Norbeck, Y. Onel, F. Ozok, S. Sen, E. Tiras, J. Wetzel, T. Yetkin, K. Yi

The University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA

B.A. Barnett, B. Blumenfeld, S. Bolognesi, D. Fehling, G. Giurgiu, A.V. Gritsan, Z.J. Guo, G. Hu, P. Maksimovic, S. Rappoccio, M. Swartz, A. Whitbeck

Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA

P. Baringer, A. Bean, G. Benelli, O. Grachov, R.P. Kenny III, M. Murray, D. Noonan, S. Sanders, R. Stringer, G. Tinti, J.S. Wood, V. Zhukova

The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA

A.F. Barfuss, T. Bolton, I. Chakaberia, A. Ivanov, S. Khalil, M. Makouski, Y. Maravin, S. Shrestha, I. Svintradze

Kansas State University, Manhattan, USA

J. Gronberg, D. Lange, D. Wright

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, USA

A. Baden, M. Boutemour, B. Calvert, S.C. Eno, J.A. Gomez, N.J. Hadley, R.G. Kellogg, M. Kirn, T. Kolberg, Y. Lu, M. Marionneau, A.C. Mignerey, K. Pedro, A. Peterman, A. Skuja, J. Temple, M.B. Tonjes, S.C. Tonwar, E. Twedt

University of Maryland, College Park, USA

G. Bauer, J. Bendavid, W. Busza, E. Butz, I.A. Cali, M. Chan, V. Dutta, G. Gomez Ceballos, M. Goncharov, K.A. Hahn, Y. Kim, M. Klute, K. Krajczar⁵⁷, W. Li, P.D. Luckey, T. Ma, S. Nahn, C. Paus, D. Ralph, C. Roland, G. Roland, M. Rudolph, G.S.F. Stephans, F. Stöckli, K. Sumorok, K. Sung, D. Velicanu, E.A. Wenger, R. Wolf, B. Wyslouch, S. Xie, M. Yang, Y. Yilmaz, A.S. Yoon, M. Zanetti

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA

S.I. Cooper, B. Dahmes, A. De Benedetti, G. Franzoni, A. Gude, S.C. Kao, K. Klapoetke, Y. Kubota, J. Mans, N. Pastika, R. Rusack, M. Sasseville, A. Singovsky, N. Tambe, J. Turkewitz

University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA

L.M. Cremaldi, R. Kroeger, L. Perera, R. Rahmat, D.A. Sanders

University of Mississippi, University, USA

E. Avdeeva, K. Bloom, S. Bose, J. Butt, D.R. Claes, A. Dominguez, M. Eads, J. Keller, I. Kravchenko, J. Lazo-Flores, H. Malbouisson, S. Malik, G.R. Snow

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, USA

U. Baur, A. Godshalk, I. Iashvili, S. Jain, A. Kharchilava, A. Kumar, S.P. Shipkowski, K. Smith

State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, USA

G. Alverson, E. Barberis, D. Baumgartel, M. Chasco, J. Haley, D. Nash, D. Trocino, D. Wood, J. Zhang

Northeastern University, Boston, USA

A. Anastassov, A. Kubik, N. Mucia, N. Odell, R.A. Ofierzynski, B. Pollack, A. Pozdnyakov, M. Schmitt, S. Stoynev, M. Velasco, S. Won

Northwestern University, Evanston, USA

L. Antonelli, D. Berry, A. Brinkerhoff, M. Hildreth, C. Jessop, D.J. Karmgard, J. Kolb, K. Lannon, W. Luo, S. Lynch, N. Marinelli, D.M. Morse, T. Pearson, R. Ruchti, J. Slaunwhite, N. Valls, M. Wayne, M. Wolf

University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, USA

B. Bylsma, L.S. Durkin, A. Hart, C. Hill, R. Hughes, K. Kotov, T.Y. Ling, D. Puigh, M. Rodenburg, C. Vuosalo, G. Williams, B.L. Winer

The Ohio State University, Columbus, USA

N. Adam, E. Berry, P. Elmer, D. Gerbaudo, V. Halyo, P. Hebda, J. Hegeman, A. Hunt, P. Jindal, D. Lopes Pegna, P. Lujan, D. Marlow, T. Medvedeva, M. Mooney, J. Olsen, P. Piroué, X. Quan, A. Raval, B. Safdi, H. Saka, D. Stickland, C. Tully, J.S. Werner, A. Zuranski

Princeton University, Princeton, USA

J.G. Acosta, E. Brownson, X.T. Huang, A. Lopez, H. Mendez, S. Oliveros, J.E. Ramirez Vargas, A. Zatserklyaniy

University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, USA

E. Alagoz, V.E. Barnes, D. Benedetti, G. Bolla, D. Bortoletto, M. De Mattia, A. Everett, Z. Hu, M. Jones, O. Koybasi, M. Kress, A.T. Laasanen, N. Leonardo, V. Maroussov, P. Merkel, D.H. Miller, N. Neumeister, I. Shipsey, D. Silvers, A. Svyatkovskiy, M. Vidal Marono, H.D. Yoo, J. Zablocki, Y. Zheng

Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA

S. Guragain, N. Parashar

Purdue University Calumet, Hammond, USA

A. Adair, C. Boulahouache, K.M. Ecklund, F.J.M. Geurts, B.P. Padley, R. Redjimi, J. Roberts, J. Zabel

Rice University, Houston, USA

B. Betchart, A. Bodek, Y.S. Chung, R. Covarelli, P. de Barbaro, R. Demina, Y. Eshaq, A. Garcia-Bellido, P. Goldenzweig, J. Han, A. Harel, D.C. Miner, D. Vishnevskiy, M. Zielinski

University of Rochester, Rochester, USA

A. Bhatti, R. Ciesielski, L. Demortier, K. Goulianos, G. Lungu, S. Malik, C. Mesropian

The Rockefeller University, New York, USA

S. Arora, A. Barker, J.P. Chou, C. Contreras-Campana, E. Contreras-Campana, D. Duggan, D. Ferencek, Y. Gershtein, R. Gray, E. Halkiadakis, D. Hidas, A. Lath, S. Panwalkar, M. Park, R. Patel, V. Rekovic, J. Robles, K. Rose, S. Salur, S. Schnetzer, C. Seitz, S. Somalwar, R. Stone, S. Thomas

Rutgers, the State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, USA

G. Cerizza, M. Hollingsworth, S. Spanier, Z.C. Yang, A. York

University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA

R. Eusebi, W. Flanagan, J. Gilmore, T. Kamon⁵⁸, V. Khotilovich, R. Montalvo, I. Osipenkov, Y. Pakhotin, A. Perloff, J. Roe, A. Safonov, T. Sakuma, S. Sengupta, I. Suarez, A. Tatarinov, D. Toback

Texas A&M University, College Station, USA

N. Akchurin, J. Damgov, P.R. Duderov, C. Jeong, K. Kovitanggoon, S.W. Lee, T. Libeiro, Y. Roh, I. Volobouev

Texas Tech University, Lubbock, USA

E. Appelt, C. Florez, S. Greene, A. Gurrola, W. Johns, C. Johnston, P. Kurt, C. Maguire, A. Melo, P. Sheldon, B. Snook, S. Tuo, J. Velkovska

Vanderbilt University, Nashville, USA

M.W. Arenton, M. Balazs, S. Boutle, B. Cox, B. Francis, J. Goodell, R. Hirosky, A. Ledovskoy, C. Lin, C. Neu, J. Wood, R. Yohay

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, USA

S. Gollapinni, R. Harr, P.E. Karchin, C. Kottachchi Kankanamge Don, P. Lamichhane, A. Sakharov

Wayne State University, Detroit, USA

M. Anderson, M. Bachtis, D. Belknap, L. Borrello, D. Carlsmith, M. Cepeda, S. Dasu, L. Gray, K.S. Grogg, M. Grothe, R. Hall-Wilton, M. Herndon, A. Hervé, P. Klabbbers, J. Klukas, A. Lanaro, C. Lazaridis, J. Leonard, R. Loveless, A. Mohapatra, I. Ojalvo, F. Palmonari, G.A. Pierro, I. Ross, A. Savin, W.H. Smith, J. Swanson

University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: cms-publication-committee-chair@cern.ch (P. Sphicas).

† Deceased.

¹ Also at Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria.

² Also at National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia.

³ Also at Universidade Federal do ABC, Santo Andre, Brazil.

⁴ Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA.

⁵ Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland.

⁶ Also at Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3-CNRS, Palaiseau, France.

⁷ Also at Suez Canal University, Suez, Egypt.

⁸ Also at Zewail City of Science and Technology, Zewail, Egypt.

⁹ Also at Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt.

¹⁰ Also at Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt.

¹¹ Also at British University, Cairo, Egypt.

¹² Now at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.

¹³ Also at Soltan Institute for Nuclear Studies, Warsaw, Poland.

¹⁴ Also at Université de Haute-Alsace, Mulhouse, France.

¹⁵ Now at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia.

¹⁶ Also at Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia.

¹⁷ Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany.

¹⁸ Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary.

¹⁹ Also at Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary.

²⁰ Also at Tata Institute of Fundamental Research – HECR, Mumbai, India.

²¹ Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India.

²² Also at Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran.

²³ Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran.

²⁴ Also at Shiraz University, Shiraz, Iran.

²⁵ Also at Plasma Physics Research Center, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Teheran, Iran.

²⁶ Also at Facoltà Ingegneria, Università di Roma, Roma, Italy.

²⁷ Also at Università della Basilicata, Potenza, Italy.

²⁸ Also at Università degli Studi Guglielmo Marconi, Roma, Italy.

²⁹ Also at Università degli studi di Siena, Siena, Italy.

³⁰ Also at University of Bucharest, Faculty of Physics, Bucuresti-Magurele, Romania.

³¹ Also at Faculty of Physics of University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia.

³² Also at University of Florida, Gainesville, USA.

³³ Also at University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA.

³⁴ Also at Scuola Normale e Sezione dell'INFN, Pisa, Italy.

³⁵ Also at INFN Sezione di Roma; Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Roma, Italy.

³⁶ Also at University of Athens, Athens, Greece.

³⁷ Also at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom.

³⁸ Also at The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA.

³⁹ Also at Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland.

⁴⁰ Also at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia.

⁴¹ Also at Gaziosmanpasa University, Tokat, Turkey.

⁴² Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey.

⁴³ Also at The University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA.

⁴⁴ Also at Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey.

⁴⁵ Also at Ozyegin University, Istanbul, Turkey.

⁴⁶ Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey.

⁴⁷ Also at Suleyman Demirel University, Isparta, Turkey.

⁴⁸ Also at Ege University, Izmir, Turkey.

⁴⁹ Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom.

⁵⁰ Also at INFN Sezione di Perugia; Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy.

⁵¹ Also at University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia.

⁵² Also at Utah Valley University, Orem, USA.

⁵³ Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia.

⁵⁴ Also at University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia.

⁵⁵ Also at Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, USA.

⁵⁶ Also at Erzincan University, Erzincan, Turkey.

⁵⁷ Also at KFKI Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Budapest, Hungary.

⁵⁸ Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Republic of Korea.