Ground-based magnetometer determination of in situ Pc4–5 ULF electric field wave spectra as a function of solar wind speed
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[1] We present a statistical characterization of ground-based ultra-low-frequency (≈1–15 mHz) magnetic wave power spectral densities (PSDs) as a function of latitude (corresponding to dipole L-shells from L≈2.5–8), local time, and solar wind speed. We show a clear latitudinal dependence on the PSD profiles, with PSDs increasing monotonically from low- to auroral zone latitudes, where PSDs are peaked before decay in amplitude at higher latitudes. In general, ULF wave powers are highest on the nightside, followed by the local morning, noon, and finally dusk sectors, and are well-characterized and well-ordered by solar wind speed at all MLTs spanning L≈2.5–8. A distinct peak in PSD in the 2–8 mHz frequency range above a background power law is evident at most stations studied in this paper, demonstrating a significant non power law like component in the ULF wave power spectrum, in particular at high solar wind speeds. We conclude that field line resonance (FLR) behavior in the magnetosphere is most likely responsible for the peak in PSD, and that such peaks should be included in any radiation belt radial diffusion model addressing radiation belt dynamics. Furthermore, we utilize a model in order to map the ground-based magnetic ULF wave power measurements into electric fields in the equatorial plane of an assumed dipole magnetic field, and find excellent agreement with the in situ CRRES electric fields shown by Brautigam et al. [2005], clearly demonstrating the utility of ground-based measurements in providing reliable estimates of ULF electric field PSD for nowcast input into radiation belt radial diffusion models.


1. Introduction

[2] Enhancements in the flux of relativistic electrons in the Earth’s outer radiation belt can cause sudden damage to sensitive spacecraft electronic components, and can pose a health hazard for astronauts [e.g., Baker, 2002]. Hence, understanding the energization, transport and loss of relativistic electrons in the Earth’s magnetosphere is of importance for satellite operations in the near-Earth space environment. A number of physical mechanisms have been proposed to explain the observed energization, transport and loss of the constituent particle populations of the outer radiation belts (see the review by Friedel et al. [2002] for a detailed discussion on this topic), although details of which mechanisms dominate under specific geomagnetic conditions is still not well understood.

[3] The prevailing solar wind speed is related to enhancements in relativistic electron fluxes at geosynchronous orbit [e.g., Paulikas and Blake, 1976, 1979], although the relationship appears to be complex [e.g., Reeves et al., 2011]. Furthermore, enhancements in relativistic electron fluxes have also been linked to periods of long-duration ultra-low-frequency (ULF) wave fields in the Pc5 (~2–7 mHz frequencies or 150–600 s period [Jacobs et al., 1964]) wave band in the magnetosphere [e.g., Rostoker et al., 1998; Baker et al., 1998a, 1998b; Mathie and Mann, 2000].

[4] ULF wave field line resonances were postulated to exist in the dipole magnetosphere [e.g., Dungey, 1955; Tamao, 1965] many years before they were observationally verified to exist and contribute to radiation belt dynamics. Strong enhancements in Pc4–5 ULF wave activity have been observed immediately prior to enhancements in the relativistic electron fluxes at geosynchronous orbit during both magnetic clouds [e.g., Baker et al., 1998a, 1998b] and high solar wind speeds [e.g., Mathie and Mann, 2001; Pahud et al., 2009; Huang et al., 2010]. During these strong external driving conditions, the magnetospheric cavity may become
energized via waveguide modes [e.g., Walker et al., 1992; Samson et al., 1992] and extract solar wind energy from magnetosheath flow via Kelvin-Helmholtz activity [e.g., Hasegawa et al., 2004] or over-reflection at the magnetopause [e.g., Mills et al., 1999; Mann et al., 1999]. These waveguide modes can excite long-lasting monochromatic ULF waves in the Pc5 band and if the frequency excited within the waveguide matches the local eigenfrequency of a geomagnetic field line then a standing mode field line resonance (FLR) may be excited [e.g., Samson et al., 1971; Southwood, 1974; Mathie et al., 1999; Mann et al., 2002; Rae et al., 2005, 2007; Lee et al., 2007; Degeling et al., 2010]. There further exists a strong correlation between solar wind speed and magnetospheric Pc5 ULF wave power [e.g., Singer et al., 1977; Rostoker et al., 1998; Mathie and Mann, 2000, 2001; O’Brien et al., 2001; Pahud et al., 2009]. These observations imply that solar wind speed constitutes one factor controlling Pc5 ULF wave power in the magnetosphere which, in turn, can couple to the large-scale dynamics of energetic electrons in the outer radiation belt. Thus, enhancements in relativistic electron flux, solar wind speeds and Pc4–5 ULF wave power seem to be intimately linked.

[7] One mechanism connecting relativistic electron flux enhancements to ULF wave fields is radial diffusion [see, e.g., Fälthammar, 1965]. In general, the radial diffusion coefficients depend on the power spectral density (PSD) of the ULF wave fields in the equatorial plane along electron drift orbits whose frequencies satisfy the drift resonance condition. Theoretical and numerical analyses show that ULF waves can have a significant influence on the energization and dynamics of radiation belt electrons [e.g., Elkington et al., 1999, 2003; Brizard and Chan, 2001, 2004; Hudson et al., 2001; Degeling et al., 2007, 2010]. However, in general, it is difficult to prescribe in situ PSD in both local time and L-shell in a region as large as the magnetosphere. Statistical studies of ULF wave power in the magnetosphere have been completed at specific L-shells (e.g., at geosynchronous orbit [Huang et al., 2010]) or across a wide range of L-shells and local times but only for short epochs (e.g., with CRRES [Brautigam et al., 2005]). In addition, very few case studies have been able to determine the ULF fluctuation spectrum in both the azimuthal electric and compressional magnetic fields concurrently [e.g., Sarris et al., 2009]. Both of these fields are thought to contribute to radial diffusion, however observations of both fields simultaneously are in general limited to pointmeasurements at specific L-shells and specific local times.

[5] In this paper, we present the basis of an alternate approach which uses ground-based magnetometer data to overcome the lack of in situ coverage. We use ~15 years of ground-based magnetometer data to calculate ground-based PSD as a function of L-shell, local time and solar wind velocity. Using an Alfvénic model of the magnetic and electric field structure along geomagnetic field lines we infer the corresponding electric field PSDs in the equatorial magnetosphere (see Ozeke et al. [2012] for details) and compare our estimates to the electric fields observed in space by Brautigam et al. [2005]. We find excellent agreement between the statistics of the electric fields derived from the ground-based data and the statistical PSDs of transverse electric field power presented by Brautigam et al. [2005] over a wide range of L-shells. This suggests that ground-based measurements can provide an excellent proxy for estimating equatorial electric fields over a wide range of L-shells, local times and solar wind conditions.

[7] In a companion paper [Ozeke et al., 2012], we detail an extension of the results obtained in this study by averaging the dayside ground-based ULF wave power and hence the equatorial electric field PSD in order to calculate the resultant electric diffusion coefficient, $D_{EL}$, and compare with diffusion rates obtained by Brautigam et al. [2005] and with the empirical diffusion coefficients derived by Brautigam and Albert [2000]. We find good agreement between the ground-based determination of equatorial electric fields and those observed by CRRES, demonstrating that ground-based magnetometer measurements can be utilized to predict the ULF wave fields in space with reasonable accuracy.

2. Data and Methodology

[8] We use approximately fifteen years of data from four selected ground-based magnetometer stations from the CANOPUS (Canadian Auroral Network for the OPEN Program Unified Study [Rostoker et al. [1995]], now operated as the Canadian Array for Real-time Investigations of Magnetic Activity (CARISMA) [Mann et al., 2008]) fluxgate magnetometer array from January 1990 to May 2005 at 5 s cadence. These four magnetometer stations lie along the “Churchill Line” meridian, and correspond to L-shells that approximately span the outer radiation belt region from L~4–8. We further extend the CANOPUS/CARISMA “Churchill Line” coverage to lower L-values with data from two magnetometer stations from the European sector SAMNET (Sub-Auroral Magnetometer NETwork [e.g., Yeoman et al., 1990] http://www.dcs.lancs.ac.uk/ono/samnet/) array over a similar 15-year period (1987–2002 inclusive). Table 1 shows the station locations in geographic and geomagnetic coordinates, as well as the L-shell and the period of data used in this statistical study. The range of geomagnetic station positions reflects changes due to the IGRF in the time interval studied in the paper.

[9] Hourly estimates of the ULF wave power spectral density (PSD) are computed in both the magnetic H- and D-components, which correspond to the local geomagnetic north-south and east-west magnetic perturbations observed on the ground. Each hourly time series has a mean removed and Hanning window applied. Note that any section of any hourly time series with a data gap, data spike or otherwise erroneous data point is discarded. The windowed time series is then transformed from the time to the frequency domain via equation

$$F_k = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x_n w_n \exp \left[ -\frac{2\pi i kn}{N} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

where $x_n$ denotes the time series, $w_n$ denotes the windowing function, and $N$ is the length of each series. The power spectral density of each hourly window is then calculated from:

$$PSD_k = \frac{1}{\Delta f W} |F_k|^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$
Table 1. The Six Stations Used in This Study, Together With Their Station Code, Geographic and Corrected Geomagnetic Latitudes and Longitudesa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Geographic</th>
<th>Corrected Geomagnetic</th>
<th>Data Interval Used and Central Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Latitude (deg)</td>
<td>Longitude (deg)</td>
<td>Latitude (deg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Churchill</td>
<td>FCHU</td>
<td>58.76</td>
<td>265.91</td>
<td>69.04 (69.36–68.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Island Lake</td>
<td>ISLL</td>
<td>53.86</td>
<td>265.34</td>
<td>64.31 (64.60–63.92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinawa</td>
<td>PINA</td>
<td>50.20</td>
<td>263.96</td>
<td>60.60 (60.86–60.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>York</td>
<td>YOR</td>
<td>53.95</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>50.83 (50.93–50.73)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are shown with the middle year of the study, and the range of values shown in parentheses.

Where $\Delta f$ is the frequency resolution defined as $\Delta f = 1/Nt$ for a series of length $N$ sampled at a resolution of $\Delta t$, and $W$ is a normalization constant for the windowing of the hourly time series defined by

$$W = N \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} w_n^2,$$

Finally, each hourly PSD is assigned an observed solar wind velocity and Kp value according to the OMNI database (http://nssdcftp.gsfc.nasa.gov/spacecraft_data/omni/).

This method of calculating PSD is equivalent to the method used by Brautigam et al. [2005], and produces window length-independent estimates of PSD. The hourly median PSD as a function of frequency and MLT was calculated for each of the 7 ground stations and collated into solar wind speed bins of <300, 300–400, 400–500, 500–600, 600–700 and >700 km/s. This database has already been utilized to compute the summed Pc5 ULF wave power in the 1–10 mHz and 2–10 mHz bands as a function of MLT and $v_{sw}$ (see Pahud et al. [2009] for details) and to examine the dependence of Pc5 power spectra on solar cycle phase and solar F10.7 flux (see Murphy et al. [2011] for details). Finally, we also compute an hourly median PSD as a function of Kp for a specific comparison to the fits to PSD as a function of Kp using in situ CRRES data as detailed by Brautigam et al. [2005].

In order to simplify the presentation of the results, we combine individual hours of MLT into four local time regions centered on 6, 12, 18 and 24 MLT; that is, for a given 6-h MLT sector, we determine a PSD that is characteristic of each of the dawn, noon, dusk and midnight local time sectors by calculating the mean of the six individual median PSD estimates calculated independently for each of the 6 one-hour MLT data windows for each station.

In order to compare these ground-based power estimates to previous statistical studies using in situ satellite data, we map the ground-based PSD estimates into equatorial electric field PSDs using the expression in equation (3) and Ozeke et al. [2012]

$$PSD_{eq}^E = \left[ \frac{E_{eq}}{b_g} \right]^2 \cdot PSD_g^b$$

where $E_{eq}/b_g$ is the ratio of equatorial electric field to ground-based magnetic field for the Alfvénic eigenfunctions of the Ozeke et al. [2009] model. This implies that the electric field $PSD_{eq}^E$ in the equatorial plane can be determined from the magnetic field $PSD_g^b$ measured by the ground-based magnetometers, and that this ratio is proportional to the square of the wave frequency since the ratio $E_{eq}/b_g$ is proportional to frequency [see Ozeke et al., 2009, equations (23) and (24)]. This in turn means that the gradient of the $PSD_{eq}^E$ as a function of frequency is different from that of the $PSD_g^b$ as a function of frequency. For example, an observed plateau in the $PSD_{eq}^E$ will in fact produce a positive gradient in $PSD_{eq}^E$.

3. Results

Figure 1 shows the variation of ground-based magnetic ULF PSD in the H- and D-components as a function of MLT and solar wind speed for the GILL magnetometer station, which is at a median location of $L = 6.51$ during the period of study. It is clear from Figure 1 that there is a well-ordered solar wind speed dependence of ULF wave PSD in both the H- and D-components in each of the four local time sectors. In each of these four MLT sectors, the median ULF wave PSD increases monotonically with increasing solar wind speed at all frequencies over the studied frequency range from 0.7 to 15 mHz. The PSD in all four local time sectors can be well-described either as a simple power law like power spectrum, or as a power law spectrum with an additional superposed localized Gaussian power enhancement above the power law centered at a specific frequency (hereafter termed as a “Gaussian enhancement” for brevity). The PSDs that can be described only by a power law can be most easily seen in the midnight sector, where the PSD profiles are close to linear on this log-log scaled plot. The power law plus Gaussian enhancement PSDs are most obviously in the dawn and noon sectors at high solar wind speeds, but are also obvious in the dusk sector at both high and low $v_{sw}$. Finally, in general the H-component PSDs are slightly larger than the D-component PSD at each frequency in each MLT sector, and the PSDs are larger in the dusk sector than those seen at dusk. For brevity, in the supplementary material, we include the ground-based PSDs from the other five magnetometers used in this study (FCHU, ISLL, PINA, GML and YOR).

Ground-based magnetic PSDs are mapped to equatorial electric fields using equation 3. The conversion factor for mapping the ground magnetic field $PSD_g^b$ to the electric field $PSD_{eq}^E$ in the equatorial plane is a function of the latitudinal spatial scale of the wave $\Delta \theta$ and the azimuthal wave number $m$ [cf. Ozeke et al., 2009]. In these studies, we use $\Delta \theta = 4^\circ$ and select a single value of $m = 1$ for simplicity,
though in reality these numbers will not be constant from
day-to-day nor necessarily across all ULF frequencies
studied in this paper. However, we do note that changing
the value of $m$ does not have a strong effect on the mapped
electric field $PSD_{eq}$ value. For example increasing the value
of $m$ from 1 to 20 increases the electric field $PSD_{eq}^E$ by less
than a factor of 2.7.

[15] Figure 2 shows the mapped electric field PSDs in the
radial (Er) and azimuthal ($E\phi$) directions obtained from the
Ozeke et al. [2009] mapping, in the same format as described.

**Figure 1.** Ground-based median magnetic ULF wave power spectral density (PSD) as a function of mag-
netic local time (MLT) and solar wind speed for the GILL magnetometer station ($L \sim 6.51$). Each two-plot
section displays the ground-based magnetic PSD in four different MLT sectors corresponding to dawn
(3–9 MLT, right), noon (9–15 MLT, top), dusk (15–21 MLT, left) and midnight (21–3 MLT, bottom).
In each MLT sector, the left-hand plot displays the MLT sector averaged median H-component PSD
(the mean of the median PSD calculated independently for each of the 6 UT hours of data in this
MLT sector), and the right-hand plot shows the MLT sector averaged median D-component PSD, as
a function of solar wind speed.
in Figure 1, for the GILL magnetometer. Note that a perfect 90° rotation from an Alfvénic eigenmode on transmission through the ionosphere has been assumed. In this case, the H- and D-components on the ground map to the toroidal mode Er and poloidal mode $E_\varphi$ in the magnetosphere, respectively. In general, the mapped Er is larger than the $E_\varphi$ component, which is clearest in the noon sector. Also clear from Figure 2 is that the “power law plus Gaussian enhancement” PSD translates to a clear peak in PSD in equatorial electric fields, Er and $E_\varphi$, as a function of frequency for the majority of solar wind speeds and local times. The power law part of the power spectrum is also shallower than its ground-based counterpart, as expected from the mapping. Interestingly, the peak PSD tends to occur at slightly higher frequencies for higher $v_{sw}$ values. Further, although the peaks in PSD are larger in Er than $E_\varphi$ at most local times, peaks in PSD clearly occur in both electric field components. Finally, the ULF wave PSD at midnight can no longer be described primarily as a power law spectrum with a single index, the negative gradient of

**Figure 2.** Mapped ULF wave electric field PSD derived from the GILL magnetometer station as a function of MLT and solar wind speed, for (left) radial Er and (right) azimuthal $E_\varphi$ components in the same format as Figure 1, and using the model outlined by Ozene et al. [2009, 2012].
the slope increasing as the frequency increases. Maximum PSD is observed in the dawn sector $E_r$ component at GILL, and is largest at high solar wind speeds.

[16] Figures 3–7 show the mapped electric fields derived from ground-based magnetometer data from FCHU ($L \sim 7.94$), ISLL ($L \sim 5.40$), PINA ($L \sim 4.21$), GML ($L \sim 2.98$) and YOR ($L \sim 2.55$), respectively, all in the same format as Figure 2. All PSDs shown in Figures 3–7 are suppressed relative to their counterparts calculated from the GILL data, and the powers decrease at both higher and lower latitudes. However, all stations observe Gaussian enhancements in the $Pc_4$–$Pc_5$ range at some local times, being clearest at higher solar wind speeds. The Gaussian peaks are also slightly narrower in frequency at FCHU as compared to GILL, the powers reducing to a power law like power spectrum at $>10$ mHz. The Gaussian peak at ISLL is not as evident at lower solar wind speeds, other than the dusk sector, where the peak is evident under all solar wind speed conditions. At PINA on the nightside, PSD does not decrease very rapidly at higher frequencies, and shows evidence of the start of a secondary peak in the $Pc_3$–$Pc_4$ (15–60 mHz) range (not shown). We leave discussion of any power enhancement outside the $Pc_4$–$Pc_5$ range to a follow-on study. At low solar wind speeds, the powers at the lowest latitudes
(GML and YOR; Figures 6 and 7) are essentially constant across all frequencies, other than the increase of power at higher frequencies similar to that seen at PINA and which corresponds to a secondary peak in the Pc3–4 band. The median PSDs from YOR (at L = 2.55; Figure 7) are remarkably similar to those calculated for GML. One difference between Figures 6 and 7 is that the pronounced power in the secondary Pc3–4 peak is somewhat more pronounced at GML than YOR.

[17] Figure 8 shows a specific example of the L-shell dependence of PSD as a function of frequency for the morning-sector (Figure 8a) H- and D-component averaged median PSDs for the highest solar wind speeds ($v_{sw} > 700$ km/s), together with (Figure 8b) their equivalent mapped equatorial electric fields $E_r$ and $E_\varphi$ calculated according to Ozeke et al. [2009] and as discussed above for the six magnetometers used in this study. Figure 8a demonstrates that in general PSD increases with L-shell from L = 2.5 to 6.5, before decreasing toward higher L-shells at L = 8 [cf. Engebretson et al., 1998; Mathie and Mann, 2001] (see also the integrated Pc5 power results obtained by Pahud et al. [2009] using this data set). Figure 8b demonstrates that the mapped equatorial electric fields show a significant enhancement of PSD above the

Figure 4. Mapped ULF wave electric field PSD derived from the ISLL magnetometer (L = 5.40), in the same format as Figure 2.
background power law due to the Gaussian power peak. Indeed, the mapped electric field PSDs are the highest in the Pc5 ULF wave band in the middle of Gaussian peak, as opposed to lower frequencies. As for the ground, the mapped and inferred equatorial electric fields peak in the auroral zone at the GILL station.

4. Comparison With CRRES Electric Field Spectra [Brautigam et al., 2005]

Brautigam et al. [2005] presented electric field observations from a 9 month subset of the ~14 months of CRRES satellite operation during solar maximum. In their study, Brautigam et al. [2005] calculated the median transverse electric field PSD in the Er-Eφ plane as a function of Kp, for different L-shell bins. Brautigam et al. [2005] then went on to provide fits for power as a function of L-shell for each frequency and binned these results by Kp. In order to specifically compare the results presented by Brautigam et al. [2005] and the results from our ground-space mapping, we compute the median dayside (06–18 MLT) PSDs derived from ground-based magnetometer data as a function of Kp. We then compare the results at three similar L-shells for the three Kp bins that are shown in the Brautigam et al. figure.

Figure 5. Mapped ULF wave electric field PSD derived from the PINA magnetometer (L~4.21), in the same format as Figure 2.

[18]
Figure 9 shows the results from three stations (PINA, ISLL and GILL) that correspond as closely as possible to the central bin values used by Brautigam et al. [2005] in L-shell ranges from L = 3.75–4.25, L = 5.25–5.75 and L = 6.25–6.75. We also note that the Brautigam et al. [2005] results represent median L-values throughout their 1 h FFT analysis interval. During such an interval, the authors note that CRRES can move across a large range of L. For example, from L = 2.25 to 5.25 as a worst-case scenario when the satellite was closer to perigee, or from L = 5.25 to 6.75 when the satellite was closer to apogee. This means the in situ powers when binned by the L-shell at the midpoint of the time series used by Brautigam et al. [2005] may be artificially enhanced, since CRRES will, in general, spend a longer duration at higher L-shells during any 1 h period. A direct advantage of using ground-based measurements as a proxy for in situ electric fields is the relatively constant location in L-shell of the measurements within a one hour measurement period.

Figure 9 shows that there is excellent comparison at L = 6.5 across all Kp values in both magnitude and shape. In particular, the mapped $E_\phi$ electric fields are remarkably...
consistent with those obtained in situ by Brautigam et al. [2005], in particular at moderate Kp. In both spectra, the peak frequencies of the spectral peaks increase with solar wind velocity, and both peaks span primarily the 2–8 mHz range. The low Kp results also have similar absolute power values, but the results presented within this paper for high Kp are larger than those observed by Brautigam et al. [2005] by a factor of ~2 in $E_\phi$, and larger in $E_r$ at the highest L at L~6.5. Figure 9 (middle) shows the equivalent comparison between observations at L = 5.5. Again, there is excellent agreement between our results and those in Brautigam et al.’s study, though there is less low frequency power observed by CRRES than within this study at lower Kp, and the ground-based results again show power larger than that at CRRES at higher Kp. Figure 9 (bottom) shows the same comparison close to L = 4.0. Again, there appears to be smaller powers at lower frequencies in the CRRES results at lower Kp as compared to the results presented in this paper. The peak frequency of the Gaussian peak tends to occur at similar frequencies in both studies for all three L-values and all Kp. Overall, there is excellent agreement in all three L-shell bins, validating the utility of
ground-based data for estimating equatorial electric fields in the magnetosphere.

5. Discussion

ULF wave power in the magnetosphere has a well-documented strong dependence on solar wind speed [e.g., Singer et al., 1977; Rostoker et al., 1998; Engebretson et al., 1998; Mathie and Mann, 2000, 2001; O'Brien et al., 2001; Pahud et al., 2009]. In a previous statistical study of in situ ULF electric field power [e.g., Brautigam et al., 2005] used Kp to rather than solar wind speed to characterize the waves. In this paper, we use ground-based measurements of magnetic field variations to infer the equatorial magnetospheric electric field PSD (using the Ozeke et al. [2009, 2012] model), and study its variation with solar wind velocity, L-shell and MLT. Additionally, and in order to validate our electric fields with the previous study, we also characterized the in situ ULF wave electric field derived from out ground-based magnetometers by Kp.

Brautigam et al. [2005] found that there was a strong Kp and L-shell dependence of transverse PSD as a function of frequency, and demonstrated the presence of both a power law and superposed “Gaussian peak” in the PSD spectra as a function of wave frequency. Despite the fact that we derive in situ electric fields from ground-based magnetometer data, we find very similar spectra features. At low L-shells (L < 4), the calculated PSDs had significantly smaller power law exponents than at higher L-shells (L > 4) during more active geomagnetic conditions (Kp > 3). Furthermore, at higher geomagnetic activity (Kp = 6) the background electric field PSDs spectrum is approximately constant across all frequencies, and the power in the Gaussian peaks dominates. We find an excellent qualitative and quantitative agreement between the electric fields derived from ground-based magnetometer data and those derived by Brautigam et al. [2005] (Figure 8). The dawn local time sector (~0300–1200 MLT) did not form a large part of the statistics compiled by Brautigam et al. [2005], which is where the occurrence and amplitude of Pc5 wave power reaches a maximum [e.g., Engebretson et al., 1998; Posch et al., 2003; Pahud et al., 2009]. By using the ground-based magnetic field measurements to infer equatorial
electric field wave amplitudes, we can extend previous studies of ULF electric field wave power to extract and characterize the strong MLT dependence. Based upon the successful ground-based estimation of the electric fields in the magnetosphere, we assert that the wave statistics reported in this paper represent an excellent basis for describing the expected ULF wave power in the magnetosphere based on the characteristics of driving and incident solar wind speed or prevalent Kp.

Previous studies have shown that the Pc5 ULF wave power in the integrated 1–2 to 10 mHz power range in the morning side increases significantly when the solar wind speed is in excess of 500 km/s [e.g., Engebretson et al., 1998; Mathie and Mann, 2001; Pahud et al., 2009]. Mathie and Mann [2001] presented the statistics of dawn sector Pc5 PSD as a function of solar wind speed for ground magnetometer stations between L = 3.75–6.79. In their paper, these authors found a monotonic increase of solar wind power as a function of L-shell in this L-shell range. In this study, we extend the L-shell range to both lower and higher values. We find that the ULF wave powers continue to decrease toward lower L-shells, but at higher L-shells (L~8), the ULF powers also begins to decrease. The results of this study therefore extend the findings of previous integrated Pc5 power studies such as Engebretson et al. [1998] and Mathie and Mann [2001], providing additional local time information and, more importantly, new spectral information about the ULF wave power which has not previously been reported. Significant discrete peaks in the power spectra occur at noon and dawn, with the largest overall ULF wave power being seen at midnight. Midnight, however, is usually characterized by a simple single index power law distribution.

In general, the morning, noon and dusk ULF electric field PSD spectra can be considered to be comprised of two parts: a localized Gaussian centered at a specific frequency superimposed on a single index power law. In general, the power law index, p, characterizing power $P \propto f^p$, increases with L-shell (compare Figures 2 through 7). The localized Gaussian peaks are the largest and most distinct in the

Figure 9. Comparison of the mapped electric fields and fits to the transverse electric field observed by CRRES and tabled by Brautigam et al. [2005]. Shown are the comparison of the (left) $E_r$ and (middle) $E_\phi$ median PSDs from our mapped ground-based results as a function of Kp, and (right) Brautigam et al. [2005] fits to in situ transverse electric field power for the same Kp values. Also shown are comparisons between the results for different Kp bins at (top) L~4.0, (middle) L~5.5, and (bottom) L~6.5.
morning sector, followed by the noon sector and finally
the dusk sector. A number of studies have discussed the
asymmetry of ground-based dawn and dusk side Pc5 wave
activity, and these studies show similar results as described
above and within this paper [e.g., Gupta, 1975; Ziesolleck
and McDermid, 1994; Chisham and Orr, 1997; Glassmeier
and Stellmacher, 2000; Baker et al., 2003]. Potential
explanations of the clear dawn-dusk asymmetry range
from the orientation of the Parker spiral angle [e.g.,
Gupta, 1975], a change in polarization across noon [e.g.,
Olson and Rostoker, 1978], or ionospheric screening effects
[e.g., Hughes and Southwood, 1976]. Generally, azimuthal
wave number effects are proposed to explain any asymmetry
of dawn-dusk ULF wave activity, specifically that higher-m
ULF waves may be preferentially generated in the post-noon
sector [e.g., Yumoto et al., 1983]. However, radial gradients
in plasma density may lead to local time variations in the
latitudinal width of the resonance, which may be of equal
importance [Glassmeier and Stellmacher, 2000]. These
effects are not necessarily mutually exclusive, and therefore
additively contribute to the clear dawn-dusk asymmetry
observed by these authors and also demonstrated within this
paper. We assert that the Gaussian peaks detailed within this
paper are most likely due to FLR-driven energy accumulation
in the L = 4–7 range. The lack of a Gaussian enhance-
ment on the nightside may be a consequence of low ionospheric Pedersen conductivity, which does not support
field line resonances in locations where the Alfvén and Pedersen conductances are similar [Ellis and Southwood,
1983; Ozeke and Mann, 2004]. Consequently, the FLRs
that we propose are the cause of the peaks in the PSD are not
observed on the nightside and so the PSD can be generally
characterized by a power law both on the ground and
in space.
[25] That the nightside ULF PSD can be characterized by
a power law is well known, both for in situ and ground-
based measurements [e.g., Arthur et al., 1978; Francia et al.,
1995; Weatherwax et al., 2000; Murphy et al., 2011]. However, there are few studies detailing the power law plus
Gaussian enhancement/peak nature of geomagnetic activity
at other local times [e.g., Bloom and Singer, 1995; Brautigam et al., 2005; Murphy et al., 2011]. Bloom and Singer
[1995] presented low-mid latitude observations of
ground spectral powers as a function of local time in specific
Pc4–5 ULF wave frequency ranges. Bloom and Singer
[1995] found that there was evidence of enhanced spectral power near 55° latitude in the 2–6 mHz frequency range
across the dayside region, which is consistent with the results
we obtain at those latitudes (see Figure 1 and Figure S1 in
the auxiliary material).1

[26] Figure 8 shows that the central frequency of the Gaussian enhancement has some tendency to increase with
decreasing L-shell, which is consistent with the excitation
of standing Alfvén waves in the magnetosphere. However,
the peak is observed at most solar wind speeds, and across
all dayside local times, raising the question as to how this
statistical behavior is consistent with previous case studies

1Auxiliary material is available in the HTML. doi:10.1029/
2011JA017335.
“magic frequencies” [Samson et al., 1992]; rather, the magic frequencies form part of a continuum of geomagnetic activity that is controlled by the density distribution and field line topology within the magnetosphere. Note, however, that this does not preclude the occurrence of individual events with clear and narrow-band discrete FLR signatures, nor specifically in terms of event occurrence rate that some frequencies within the continuum may occur more often than others.

[28] It is interesting to note that the FLR frequencies reported by Samson et al. [1992; see also, e.g., Ruohoniemi et al., 1991; Walker et al., 1992; Ziesolleck and McDiarmid, 1994] were centered around a series of discrete values around 1.3, 1.9, 2.6–2.7, 3.2–3.4 mHz etc. Subsequent work, such as Mathie et al. [1999], demonstrated that when narrow-band FLR events were selected from ground-based magnetometer time series there were peaks in FLR occurrence in bands that contain the “Samson” frequencies. However, these occurrence peaks at the “Samson” frequencies given by Samson et al. [1992] were not unique between 1 and 4 mHz. Mathie et al. [1999] therefore suggested that this distribution may be explained by variability in the eigenfrequencies of the waveguide from day to day and under differing geomagnetic activity, magnetic field, and mass density distributions inside the waveguide [see Mathie et al., 1999, Figure 3].

[29] Assuming that events at the “Samson” frequencies are both preferred and of sufficiently high amplitude, it might be expected that waves at these narrow-band frequencies should appear in the ground-based median PSD power spectra results presented in this paper. Such amplitude peaks were found by Villante et al. [2001] in the post-noon sector of a 2 year statistical analysis of low-L (L = 1.6) ground magnetometer data, being most obvious at high solar wind pressures, during conditions which presumably significantly compress the magnetospheric cavity. Power peaks were also found by Villante et al. using a statistical ULF wave power analysis during the interval of the Mathie et al. [1999] event analysis, but these power peaks were not clearly statistically significant. More recently, Plaschke et al. [2009] used seven months of THEMIS magnetic field data [e.g., Auster et al., 2009]. In order to characterize magnetopause motion in a period of low solar activity, these authors finding clear occurrence peaks in the magnetopause oscillation frequencies at Samson frequencies. Although the lower-frequency part of our median power spectra show some small variations superposed on top of a power law plus Gaussian distribution, they do not show fine structure with peaks at the “Samson frequencies.” The question is why?

[30] First, a median power spectra derived from a superposition of events, even a superposition of a series of “narrow band” FLR events, will demonstrate less clear peaks in frequency than a histogram of the occurrence distribution of the frequency of the peak power value alone. Second, narrow band FLR events only represent one element of the overall Pc4–5 power spectra in the 1–10 mHz band once the median values of power at each bin for the entire distribution of events from all days is calculated. Certainly there can also be significant power contained in the Pc5 band in events whose frequencies are not narrow band, such as from broadband fast mode wave sources which drive standing Alfvén waves either locally or over a broad range of latitudes [cf. Hasegawa et al., 1983]. Further contributions in the ground spectra may arise from changes in ionospheric currents from, for example, changes in ionospheric conductivity, which may not have any clear magnetospheric ULF wave counterpart. However, even with these provisos one might still expect a signature of the “Samson” frequency fine structure to remain if they represent a statistically preferred set of frequencies which accumulate power.

[31] Probably the principal reason for the discrepancy in FLR frequencies. Takahashi et al. [2010] showed that the FLR eigenfrequencies of standing Alfvén waves seen at geosynchronous orbit can change by a factor of ~2 over a solar cycle, due to solar cycle dependence of the ambient mass density (independently verified by Murphy et al. [2011] using the ground-based data set presented here). This will change the FLR frequency on the ground at a station at a given invariant latitude, almost certainly contributing to the smoothing of the median power spectra seen on the ground when data from an entire solar cycle are combined. If the “Samson” frequencies are signatures of the eigenmodes of the magnetospheric waveguide, the frequencies of the waveguide modes which are determined by a phase integral across the non-uniform waveguide may also change not only from day to day with geomagnetic activity (as Mathie et al. [1999] suggested), but also on average across the solar cycle as a result of the density changes inferred by Takahashi et al. [2010]. Such effects would smooth the median spectra, perhaps removing evidence of fine structure of power accumulation at the “Samson” frequencies, leaving instead a broader spectral peak from ~1–4 mHz in median spectra like that reported here where the FLR power accumulates. However, since Samson et al. [1992], Mathie et al. [1999], and Villante et al. [2001] all showed some evidence of either narrow-band spectral peaks in occurrence or power during periods of strong solar wind driving, either during solar maximum, during high solar wind speed streams during the declining phase, or during intervals of high solar wind dynamic pressure, but the Plaschke et al. [2009] study showed clear evidence of occurrence peaks during low solar wind driving, it is clear that more work is required to study the dependence of median ULF wave power spectra fine structure on solar cycle phase.

[32] The amplitudes of the H- and D- component PSDs are approximately equal at lower L-shells (e.g., GML and YOR, Figures 5 and 6, respectively), but at mid-high L-shells and in particular for higher solar wind speeds, the H-component PSDs can be over two times larger than the D-component PSDs. This additional PSD implies additional energy accumulation in the H-component wave field as compared to the D-component, perhaps another strong indication that the Gaussian enhancement is related to toroidal-mode FLRs [e.g., Takahashi et al., 2010; Murphy et al., 2011]. In general the H- component power typically dominates the D-component power, which under an Alfvénic approximation and 90° rotation through the ionosphere, translates to a larger Er component than Eφ in the equatorial magnetosphere. Since both Er and Eφ are strongly peaked on the dayside, the contribution of the Gaussian ULF wave spectral enhancement must be taken into account when defining
the PSD in the Pc4–5 ULF wave band for radiation belt modeling purposes. In an azimuthally symmetric magnetic field all of the electron energization is due to the $E_j$ component, however in non-azimuthally symmetric magnetic fields such as a compressed dipole then the $E_r$ component can also provide electron energization [e.g., Elkington et al., 1999, 2003]. Hence both the $E_r$ and $E_j$ results presented in this paper represent important parameterization for specifying the role of Pc4–5 waves in radiation belt dynamics under the action of radial diffusion.

6. Conclusions

[33] In this paper, we use ~15 years of data from the CANOPUS/CARISMA and SAMNET magnetometer arrays in order to statistically characterize the ground-based H- and D-component magnetic Pc5 ULF wave spectrum as a function of solar wind speed, L-shell and MLT. We find that, in general, ULF wave activity can be described by a power law like power spectrum with a superposed localized Gaussian enhancement centered at a specific frequency superimposed on this power law at all local times other than midnight. The midnight sector PSD is best described as a simple power law.

[34] We use a guided Alfvén wave approximation detailed by Özéke et al. [2009, 2012] in order to map these ground-based magnetic fields into azimuthal and radial equatorial magnetospheric electric fields. We find that the in situ electric field power laws have shallower exponents in space, and that in general the Gaussian enhancements become much more pronounced, revealing that Field Line Resonances provide energetically significant ULF wave power that should not be ignored when ascribing ULF wave fields for inclusion into radiation belt radial diffusion models. We find excellent agreement between our ground-based estimates of electric field and the transverse electric field ULF wave powers observed in situ with CRRES by Brautigam et al. [2005], over the L-shell ranges that were studied within this paper. This demonstrates the utility of using ground-based magnetometer data in order to prescribe equatorial electric fields as a function of solar wind driving conditions for input into radiation belt radial diffusion models. The accurate determination of both electric and magnetic diffusion coefficients is critical for understand the influence that ULF electric field and the transverse electric field ULF wave fluctuations observed in situ with CRRES by Baker et al. [2009, 2012] in order to map these ground-based estimates of electric field fluctuations at synchronous orbit: 1. Power spectra, J. Geophys. Res., 110, A10212, doi:10.1029/2010JA015410.
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