Acceleration of laser-driven ion bunch from double-layer thin foils

X. Wang, W. Yu, E. Liang, and M. Y. Yu

Citation: Phys. Plasmas 19, 053110 (2012); doi: 10.1063/1.4714613
View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4714613
View Table of Contents: http://pop.aip.org/resource/1/PHPAEN/v19/i5
Published by the American Institute of Physics.

Related Articles
Ion impact distribution over plasma exposed nanocone arrays
Phys. Plasmas 20, 033501 (2013)
Enhanced transportation of energetic electrons in dual-frequency atmospheric microplasmas
Phys. Plasmas 20, 023506 (2013)
Suppressing longitudinal double-layer oscillations by using elliptically polarized laser pulses in the hole-boring radiation pressure acceleration regime
Phys. Plasmas 20, 023102 (2013)
Two-dimensional quasi-double-layers in two-electron-temperature, current-free plasmas
Evidence of current free double layer in high density helicon discharge

Additional information on Phys. Plasmas
Journal Homepage: http://pop.aip.org/
Journal Information: http://pop.aip.org/about/about_the_journal
Top downloads: http://pop.aip.org/features/most_downloaded
Information for Authors: http://pop.aip.org/authors

ADVERTISEMENT
Acceleration of laser-driven ion bunch from double-layer thin foils

X. Wang (王鑫), 1 W. Yu (余玮), 2 E. Liang, 1 and M. Y. Yu (郁明阳) 3

1 Rice University, Houston, Texas 77005-1892, USA
2 Shanghai Institute of Optics and Fine Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 201800, China
3 Institute for Fusion Theory and Simulation, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China

(Received 9 January 2012; accepted 10 April 2012; published online 23 May 2012)

Generation of monoenergetic ion bunch from a double-layer thin-foil target irradiated by an intense linearly polarized laser pulse is investigated using two-dimensional particle-in-cell simulation. The protons in the front low-density hydrogen target layer accelerated by the space-charge field of the laser-driven hot electrons can penetrate through the high-Z high-mass and high-density ion layer, resulting in an energetic proton bunch. A part of the latter is further accelerated by the space-charge field of the hot electrons in the vacuum behind the high-Z ion layer. With this scheme, quasi-monoenergetic proton bunches can be produced using presently available laser pulses of moderate contrast and duration. © 2012 American Institute of Physics. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4714613]

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the availability of ultrashort ultraintense (USUI) laser pulse makes possible the development of compact laser-driven ion accelerators. 1–3 Energetic ions have many important applications, including proton therapy, 4 diagnostics for laser-plasma interaction, 5 and fast ignition in inertial-confinement fusion. 6 USUI laser interaction with plasma has also been proposed for laboratory investigation of high energy density physics, especially that of some astrophysical phenomena. For most of these applications, energetic ions of sufficiently high energy and brightness are required.

Several acceleration mechanisms for generating high quality ion bunches have been proposed, including target normal sheath acceleration (TNSA), 7–10 radiation pressure acceleration (RPA), 11–18 Coulomb explosion, 19 and break-out afterburner acceleration, 20 as well as combinations of one or more mechanisms. TNSA occurs when an USUI laser pulse irradiates a solid foil and the intense sheath field of the laser-expelled hot electrons in the target-backside vacuum can pull out the ions in the back surface of the target and accelerate them to the MeV level. 21–28 With the rapid recent development of laser technology, such as that of the plasma out afterburner acceleration, 20 as well as combinations of one or more mechanisms. TNSA occurs when an USUI laser pulse makes possible the development of compact laser-driven ion accelerators. 1–3 Energetic ions have many important applications, including proton therapy, 4 diagnostics for laser-plasma interaction, 5 and fast ignition in inertial-confinement fusion. 6 USUI laser interaction with plasma has also been proposed for laboratory investigation of high energy density physics, especially that of some astrophysical phenomena. For most of these applications, energetic ions of sufficiently high energy and brightness are required.

Several acceleration mechanisms for generating high quality ion bunches have been proposed, including target normal sheath acceleration (TNSA), 7–10 radiation pressure acceleration (RPA), 11–18 Coulomb explosion, 19 and break-out afterburner acceleration, 20 as well as combinations of one or more mechanisms. TNSA occurs when an USUI laser pulse irradiates a solid foil and the intense sheath field of the laser-expelled hot electrons in the target-backside vacuum can pull out the ions in the back surface of the target and accelerate them to the MeV level. 21–28 With the rapid recent development of laser technology, such as that of the Texas Petawatt Laser, 35 is $I = I_0 = 3 \times 10^{20} \exp (−4r^2/d^2) \text{Wcm}^{-2}$ for $15T_0 < t < 45T_0$, where $T_0 = 3.3$ fs is the wave period, $d = 10\lambda_0$ is the spot size, and $I = I_0 \sin^2(\pi t/2\tau)$ for $0 < t < 15T_0$ and $45T_0 < t < 60T_0$, here $\tau = 15T_0$ is the laser ascend or descend duration. The laser parameter and pulse duration are therefore $a_0 = 15$ and $45T_0$, respectively. The low-Z plasma on the front side of the target is hydrogen ($Z = 1$) with electron density $n_e = 4n_i$, which is much lower than that of the backside high-Z high-density large-mass ion layer. The latter is aluminum (Al, with $Z = 13$) with electron density $n_e = 650n_i$ (i.e., the Al ion density is $n_i = 50n_i$). Initially, both the H and Al layers are of thickness 0.1$\lambda_0$, and are located in 4.9 $< z/\lambda_0 < 5.0$ and $5.0 < z/\lambda_0 < 5.1$, respectively. The H plasma critical density is $n_c = 1.1 \times 10^{13} \text{cm}^{-3}$. Accordingly, the nonrelativistic skin depths of the H and Al plasmas are 0.08$\lambda_0$ and 0.006$\lambda_0$, respectively.

The simulation box is $30\lambda_0 \times 19.2\lambda_0$, the spatial mesh contains $3000 \times 1920$ cells, and each cell contains 625 each of ions and electrons. The electron-ion mass ratios are 1/1836 for H and 1/49572 for Al. The initial temperature of all the plasma particles is assumed to be $T_e = T_i = 1\text{keV}$, although the electrons can be rapidly heated during the initial stages of the interaction. The simulation time step is 0.0067$T_0$. Absorbing boundary conditions are used for both the y and z boundaries of the simulation box.

II. PARTICLE-IN-CELL (PIC) SIMULATION PARAMETERS

For the investigation, we shall use 2D3V (two dimensional in space and three dimensional in velocity) PIC simulation. 32,33 A short LP laser pulse of wavelength $\lambda_0 = 1\mu m$ is incident normally on the double-layer target along the z direction from the left vacuum. The laser intensity, modeling the Texas Petawatt Laser, 35 is $I = I_0 = 3 \times 10^{20} \exp (−4r^2/d^2) \text{Wcm}^{-2}$ for $15T_0 < t < 45T_0$, where $T_0 = 3.3$ fs is the wave period, $d = 10\lambda_0$ is the spot size, and $I = I_0 \sin^2(\pi t/2\tau)$ for $0 < t < 15T_0$ and $45T_0 < t < 60T_0$, here $\tau = 15T_0$ is the laser ascend or descend duration. The laser parameter and pulse duration are therefore $a_0 = 15$ and $45T_0$, respectively. The low-Z plasma on the front side of the target is hydrogen ($Z = 1$) with electron density $n_e = 4n_i$, which is much lower than that of the backside high-Z high-density large-mass ion layer. The latter is aluminum (Al, with $Z = 13$) with electron density $n_e = 650n_i$ (i.e., the Al ion density is $n_i = 50n_i$). Initially, both the H and Al layers are of thickness 0.1$\lambda_0$, and are located in 4.9 $< z/\lambda_0 < 5.0$ and $5.0 < z/\lambda_0 < 5.1$, respectively. The H plasma critical density is $n_c = 1.1 \times 10^{13} \text{cm}^{-3}$. Accordingly, the nonrelativistic skin depths of the H and Al plasmas are 0.08$\lambda_0$ and 0.006$\lambda_0$, respectively.

The simulation box is $30\lambda_0 \times 19.2\lambda_0$, the spatial mesh contains $3000 \times 1920$ cells, and each cell contains 625 each of ions and electrons. The electron-ion mass ratios are 1/1836 for H and 1/49572 for Al. The initial temperature of all the plasma particles is assumed to be $T_e = T_i = 1\text{keV}$, although the electrons can be rapidly heated during the initial stages of the interaction. The simulation time step is 0.0067$T_0$. Absorbing boundary conditions are used for both the y and z boundaries of the simulation box.

III. SIMULATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The dominant interaction forces here are the relativistic ponderomotive force action on the electrons and the charge-separation forces acting on the ions and electrons. Since our
PIC code does not include close (such as binary) collisions among the charged particles, we first estimate its validity for the present problem. From Wesson,\textsuperscript{36} one can obtain for the electron and ion mean free paths $3270 \frac{T_e^{1/3}(1022T_e+T_i)^{1/3}}{n_e^{1/3}(511+T_i)^{1/3}} \mu$m and $1.98 \times 10^5 \frac{A_{1/2}(2T_e^{1/3}(1022T_e+T_i)^{1/3})}{n_e^{1/3}(511+T_i)^{1/3}} \mu$m, respectively, where $T_e$, $T_i$, $n_e$, $Z_i$, and $A$ are the electron temperature in keV, ion temperature in keV, ion density, ion charge number, and atomic number, respectively, and $a = m_e/m_i = 1836$. The values of the Coulomb logarithms $\ln \Lambda_e$ and $\ln \Lambda_i$ are usually around 10. Thus, the Coulomb-collision cross section falls off rapidly for high-energy particles, especially the laser accelerated electrons. One finds that even a 1.4 keV electron from the H target plasma can easily penetrate 0.1$\mu$m of the Al plasma, but for a proton, the energy required would be 35keV. Accordingly, our PIC simulation, in which close (binary) collisions are not included, should be applicable.

The blue solid and green dotted curves in Fig. 1(a) show the spatial distribution of the charge densities (normalized by their initial values) of the Al and H plasma at $t = 6T_0$, respectively, together with that of the electrostatic charge-separation field $E_z$. We see that most of the H-layer electrons (mainly represented by the negative part of the H-plasma charge density, see also the proton density profile in Fig. 2(a)) have already been driven into the high-density Al layer as well as the backside vacuum. These high-energy electrons can be attributed to ponderomotive acceleration by $v \times B$ force, relativistic effects, stochastic heating, vacuum heating, etc. The protons are then accelerated forward by TNSA. Although the laser light can easily penetrate the H-layer, it can only slightly tunnel through the Al layer (now with excess electrons), which is instead compressed and pushed forward by RPA. One can also observe charge density oscillations of the Al plasma, which can be attributed to acoustic motion excited by the passing energetic H-plasma electrons. As time progresses, more and more protons are accelerated into the Al layer and beyond. Eventually, the higher intensity part of the laser pulse arrives at the interface, Fig. 1(b) is for $t = 15T_0$. We can see that the Al layer has been strongly compressed and further pushed forward by RPA. Moreover, it splits the proton bunch that is expanding and moving, passing it into two groups (see also the density profiles of the protons and Al ions in Fig. 2(b)), as if the compressed Al plasma layer is trapped in a proton cavity. In the meantime, the now enhanced space-charge field in the backside vacuum continues to accelerate the protons, but now only that of the leading group since the trailing group is shielded by the Al layer. This scenario is consistent with the distributions of the field

\[ E_z = \frac{\alpha}{C_0} \frac{V}{\lambda_0} \]

FIG. 1. Net charge-density distributions (normalized by their initial values) along the laser propagation axis ($y = 0$) at (a) $t = 6T_0$ and (b) $t = 15T_0$. The blue solid and green dotted curves show the charge densities of the Al and H plasmas, respectively, the red dashed and purple dot-dashed curves show the normalized total charge and $E_z$, respectively. For clarity, the latter are displaced by +0.75 and −0.9, respectively.

FIG. 2. $E_z$ (red dotted curve), $E_y$ (blue dot-dashed curve), proton density (green solid curve), and Al ion density (purple dashed curve) on $y = 0$ at (a) $t = 6T_0$ and (b) $t = 15T_0$. Here, $E_z$ is normalized by the initial laser amplitude. The vertical dashed lines mark the front boundaries of the H and Al layers. For clarity, the insets show the magnified center regions of the figures.
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quantities given in Fig. 2. In Fig. 2(a) for \( t = 6T_0 \), the red dotted curve represents the laser electric field \( E_y \), normalized by its peak value. We can see that the laser light has penetrated into the H layer, whose thickness is comparable to the skin depth. Some light at the tip of the laser pulse has also tunneled through the Al layer, whose thickness is much more than the skin depth. The blue dotted-dashed curve shows the electrostatic field \( E_z \), and the green solid and purple dashed curves show the H and Al ion densities, respectively. As mentioned, the laser expelled H-layer electrons entering into the Al layer and the vacuum behind the target create charge-separation electrostatic fields in the H and Al plasmas, as well as in the vacuum behind the target, so that the affected protons are driven forward by TNSA. Fig. 2(b) for \( t = 15T_0 \) shows that the Al plasma layer is indeed compressed by RPA, in fact to more than three times the original density. The main part of the laser cannot penetrate the Al layer and is absorbed as well as reflected. As mentioned, the strongly compressed Al plasma effectively split the protons into two groups. The leading group continues to propagate forward by TNSA as a nearly monoenergetic bunch. The trailing group is driven backwards in the \(-z\) direction by the space-charge field of the electrons that have expanded into the left vacuum region.

Fig. 3 for \( E_y \) and \( E_z \) at \( t = 42T_0 \) shows that, as the laser pulse compresses and accelerates the Al plasma by RPA, it is also absorbed (and reflected). The electrons of the highly compressed Al layer are resonantly heated and expand out of the layer but the much heavier Al ions respond much more slowly and remain in place. As a result, the space charge fields on both sides of the Al layer, as well as in the transverse directions, are widened and enhanced, as can be seen in Fig. 3(b). We can see in Fig. 4 that the distributions of the electrostatic field, \( E_z \), and the proton and Al ion densities at \( t = 42T_0 \) are consistent with the discussed scenario.
Thus, as the rest of the laser pulse interacts with the compressed Al plasma, the latter is further pushed forward by RPA and heated, and the quasistatic charge-separation field in the right vacuum continues to accelerate the leading proton bunch forward. We see that the present scheme efficiently converts laser energy into the electrostatic energy for accelerating the protons. Since the Al target prevents transmission of the laser pulse through the target, the electrostatic accelerating field and thus the proton bunch remain in the forward direction. The transverse motion of the protons is greatly reduced and Rayleigh-Taylor-like instabilities\(^3\) suppressed. Figs. 5(a) and 5(b) show the 2D proton density distributions at \(t = 42T_0\) and \(t = 78T_0\), respectively. Fig. 5(c) gives the proton densities on the axis (\(y = 0\)) at 12\(T_0\) intervals. The initial proton density is \(n_p = 4n_c\). We see that the average proton density is close to 0.05\(n_c\) at \(t = 90T_0\).

Figs. 6(a) and 6(b) shows the proton spectrum and average energy of the forward moving proton bunch at \(t = 6T_0, 18T_0, 30T_0, 42T_0, 54T_0, 66T_0, 78T_0\) and \(90T_0\). We see that, at \(t = 90T_0\), the average proton energy is \(\sim 55\) MeV (blue solid curve), and the most energetic (upper 40\%) of the forward moving protons have an average energy \(\sim 65\) MeV (green dashed curve). The corresponding energy density is \(5 \times 10^4\) J/cm\(^3\), which should be suitable for many applications.

The proton energy spectrum can be improved by tailoring the relative sizes of the target layers. One way is to reduce the lateral extent of the hydrogen layer. Figure 7 is the simulation result for a hydrogen layer of lateral size 1\(\mu\)m (centered on the axis), keeping the laser and Al-layer parameters the same as before. One can see that the proton spectrum is indeed improved.

**IV. DISCUSSION**

For the given laser parameters, we found that neither the Al nor the H layer can be too thick or too thin. If the Al layer is too thin, the laser can penetrate through the target, so that RPA becomes less effective, and Weibel- or Rayleigh-Taylor-like instabilities can also occur. If the Al layer is too thick, it blocks too many hot electrons, so that fewer TNSA protons can pass through it. As for the H layer, if it is too thin, there will be too few hot electrons to pull out enough protons. If it is too thick, the hot electrons will pull out too many protons (more than one layer) for the latter to be accelerated effectively over the aluminum layer.

In this scheme, the H-layer density must be much lower than that of the Al layer. So, we vary the density of the front layer to get more stable experimental conditions. We have also investigated a target with 0.07\(\lambda_0\) H and 0.05\(\lambda_0\) Al layers.

**FIG. 7.** Evolution of the proton energy spectrum for a target with smaller (located in \(-0.5<y<0.5\)) H layer.

**FIG. 8.** Energy density at \(t = 90T_0\) for two targets with different initial H-layer densities and Al-layer densities. Blue dashed curve: Al layer thickness 0.05\(\lambda_0\), H layer thickness 0.07\(\lambda_0\). Green solid curve: Al layer thickness 0.1\(\lambda_0\), H layer thickness 0.1\(\lambda_0\). The other parameters are the same as those in Fig. 7.
and another with 0.1\textsubscript{\textalpha} H and Al layers, for initial H densities between 4\textsubscript{\textalpha} and 40\textsubscript{\textalpha}. As Fig. 8 shows, for H densities between 4\textsubscript{\textalpha} and 10\textsubscript{\textalpha}, the proton energy density is almost independent of the relativethicknesses of the layers. The first case yields an asymptotic proton density of about 0.028\textsubscript{\textalpha} at 93MeV average energy. The second yields a proton density of about 0.046\textsubscript{\textalpha} at 60MeV average energy.

In conclusion, using 2D PIC simulations, we have investigated generation of high quality proton bunch from a thin double-layer target irradiated by a high intensity laser pulse. The target consists of a low density H layer and a higher density Al layer. This configuration prevents the laser from passing through the target and hinders the occurrence of transverse instabilities. The leading part of the TNSA protons can be accelerated through the Al layer into a quasi-monoenergetic proton bunch.
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