ABSTRACT

Exploration of Tektite Formation Processes
Through Water and Metal Content Measurements

by

Nigel Watt

Impact events are a significant surface-modifying process on bodies lacking frequent resurfacing by atmospheric or igneous processes. To explore the effects of impacts on surface materials, we measured water and trace element compositions of tektites from Vietnam. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy was used for water measurements and laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry was used for trace element measurements. Consistent with previous investigations of tektites, we found that the samples are depleted in volatile metals (e.g., Zn, Pb) as well as in water compared to the average continental crust, though water contents are high for rocks melted at atmospheric pressure. While Zn and Pb concentrations are linearly correlated with each other, there is no correlation between H₂O and Zn or Pb contents. Combined with water contents of other tektites in the Australasian strewnfield, our results demonstrate that the source impact occurred at a wet site near the Indochina peninsula.
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PREFACE

Tektites provide a convenient window for science onto the compositional effects of impact events on the surfaces of rocky solar system bodies. As tektites are composed of modified Earth material and are found on the Earth, sensible assumptions and conclusions can be made about their origins and large numbers of samples can be found.

Conditions experienced by the target material of impacts on Earth are not, of course, identical to those on airless worlds. Thus a critical step in utilizing tektites to understand the effects of impacts is determining the effect, if any, of atmospheric conditions on the evolution of tektites. This study explores these effects for volatile metals and water, providing a foundation for future studies to correct for atmospheric effects when using tektites as analogues for the surfaces of airless worlds.
INTRODUCTION

Tektites are impact-associated glasses (Koeberl, 1986) which have major element compositions similar to continentally derived crustal rocks on Earth (Urey, 1955), and are thus interpreted to be melts of crustal material ejected from the site of the impact of an extraterrestrial object (Shaw and Wasserburg, 1982). Tektites, aside from those of the Muong Nong type (Koeberl, 1992), are extremely depleted relative to the Earth’s crust in volatile elements and species, such as Zn and H2O (Beran and Koeberl, 1997; Koeberl, 1986; Moynier et al., 2009; Moynier et al., 2010). Because of their origin as the products of extreme heating and volatile depletion, tektites may provide insights into the nature of impact events. For example, the degree to which elements of different volatilities are depleted may provide constraints on the temperature and duration of heating (Moynier et al., 2009).

A number of studies have already investigated tektite elemental compositions (Beran and Koeberl, 1997; Friedman, 1958; Moynier et al., 2009) but most have focused on fingerprinting the source rock (Ferriere et al., 2010; Tilton, 1958; Taylor, 1966; Glass, 1990; Chaussidon and Koeberl, 1995; Blum et al., 1992) rather than deciphering the origin of volatile element depletion. Recently, the mechanisms of volatile element depletion have been addressed through the volatile elements Zn and Cu based on isotope fractionation (Moynier et al., 2009; Moynier et al., 2010). Highly fractionated Zn and Cu isotopes were interpreted by the authors to reflect diffusion-limited evaporation from the tektite. Tektite formation mechanisms have also been investigated by considering their macroscopic shapes in the context of droplet formation and break-up during projectile motion (Elkins-Tanton et al., 2003). Determination of the composition of bubbles within
tectites has also been attempted (O'Keefe et al., 1962). As far as we know, a systematic study relating volatile metal and water concentrations has not yet been done.

Here, we present H$_2$O concentration data on five tektite samples alongside Zn and Pb concentration data on forty-six laser ablation spots from nineteen tektite samples and compare the degree of volatile depletion based on these elements and species. The relationships with Pb and H$_2$O, the former less volatile than Zn and the latter more so (Robie et al., 1979), will be used to better constrain the conditions of evaporation.

Since the source crater for the Australasian strewnfield has not been found, data narrowing its possible locations are important. We present a comparison of water concentrations from our tektite samples with previously measured samples from other regions of the strewnfield. Combined with $^{10}$Be data (Ma et al., 2004), we are able to more robustly constrain possible locations of the source crater.

**SAMPLE DESCRIPTION**

The tektites used were collected in Da Lat, Vietnam, in the Australasian strewnfield, the source crater of which remains undetermined, though the event is dated at 0.78 million years ago (Kunz et al., 1995). Larger samples exhibit rotation-induced shapes (Elkins-Tanton et al., 2003), but smaller specimens are often elongated or amorphous. No crazing, patterns of cracks on cooled vitreous surfaces, as seen in layered tektites from the Australasian

Figure 1: Photomicrographs of areas of interest from tektite 04b. A) Flow banding exiting through sample wall. B) Large saucer-shaped bubbles. C) Swarm of bubbles with a wake. D) Complicated flow patterns in heavily banded region.
strewnfield (Futrell, 1986), was observed. All the examined tektites exhibit some level of flow banding – alternating light and dark brown bands – but the intensity of banding varies widely. Fig. 1A shows flow lines oriented normal to the surface of the tektite, but in other instances flow lines give the surface the appearance of a no-flow boundary.

In addition to flow banding, all of the samples include bubbles, both in the swarms illustrated above and as isolated bubbles, as shown in Fig. 1B. Most of the bubbles are small (<50 μm diameter) and fairly spherical, though larger bubbles more deformed by shear are also present. A few unusually large bubbles exhibit saucer or platter shapes and in some cases have dimensions larger than the thickness of the sections (approximately 1 mm). Bubbles <10 μm diameter are usually found in swarms which are generally around 100 μm across, slightly larger than individual bubbles, and are composed of ca. 10-100 bubbles of widely varying sizes. A few small swarms of less than 10 bubbles also exist, usually composed of one large bubble with very small bubbles scattered around it.

The tektites are visibly free of crystals under a microscope at 40x magnification, but several samples do contain swarms of small bubbles which may have formed from nucleation around a microlite, as shown in Fig. 1C. Frequently, flow lines are found in large parallel groups, but individual lines curving and in some cases completely reversing direction are also present. Fig. 1D shows a particularly active location, with some deformed and some spherical bubbles and flow lines curving and disappearing. The tektites are approximately 70% silica by weight, ranging from 73.8% to 68.24%.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

All work was done at the Rice University Department of Earth Science, Houston, Texas. We used Fourier Transform Infrared spectroscopy to determine water contents in glasses (Newman et al., 1986). A polychromatic infrared beam is modulated with an interferometer and passed through the sample (Libowitzky and Beran, 2004). Light of specific infrared wavelengths is capable of causing vibrations in molecules, including H₂O and OH groups, resulting in absorption of light of those wavelengths in proportion to the path-integrated molecular amount of water (Beran et al., 2004).

Thin slices, ~1 mm thick, were cut from five tektites using a Beuhler Isomet low-speed saw. These slices were polished on both sides using 1 µm grit, and infrared spectra were taken from points of interest with a Thermo Electron Nicolet Continuum FT-IR microscope. To obtain the spectra, a background (air) spectrum was first obtained and subtracted from the spectra of tektite sections. The infrared beam size was 100 x 100 µm. Water contents were determined from interpretation of the 1630 cm⁻¹ absorption band, using absorbance values determined by Newman et al (1986) plugged into Beer's Law,

\[ c = \frac{18.02a}{\rho de} \]  

(1)

where c is the concentration of the species (in this case H₂O) in weight percent, a is the absorbance measured using the FTIR spectrometer, ρ is the density of the sample in g/L, assumed to be 2300 g/L, which is typical of rhyolitic glass (Newman et al., 1986), d is the polished section’s thickness in cm, and ε is the molar absorptivity in L/(mol·cm). Absorbance was determined by calculating the height of the largest peak in the 1630 cm⁻¹ region above a baseline defined by linear interpolation between the shoulders of the peak. The peaks used in infrared spectrometry move slightly due to matrix composition; for
example, the 3570 cm\(^{-1}\) peak identified by Newman et al. (1986) is shifted in silica glass (Tomozawa et al., 2001). To reduce noise, 10-measurement moving averages were used instead of the original spectra. An example measurement is shown in Fig. 2. All five spectra are shown in Fig. 3. Sample thickness was measured with a micrometer. Detection limits ranged from 0.0057\% to 0.0084\% (3\(\sigma\)).

Laser ablation measurements were done on a ThermoFinnigan Element 2 single collector magnetic sector ICP-MS coupled to a 213 nm New Wave laser. Samples were ablated with an energy flux of 16-18 J/cm\(^2\) at a 10 Hz repetition rate and a 55 \(\mu\)m diameter spot. \(^{66}\)Zn was determined in medium mass resolution mode (m/\(\Delta m\) ~ 3000) and \(^{208}\)Pb in low mass resolution (m/\(\Delta m\) ~ 300). Long term magnetic drift in medium mass resolution was corrected for during every run by centering on the \(^{40}\)Ar\(^{40}\)Ar dimer. Hysteresis effects in medium mass resolution were accounted for by applying specific offsets to each mass analyzed. These effects were not important for low mass resolution mode. Signal intensities were converted to concentration by first correcting for internal drift using an internal normalization standard (\(^{30}\)Si) and then by external normalization to international standards (USGS BHVO-1g and BIR-1g). Detection limits were 0.5 ppm for both zinc and lead.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>TEC01a</th>
<th>TEC01b</th>
<th>TEC02</th>
<th>2TEC03</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spot</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO2, wt%</td>
<td>73.86</td>
<td>70.22</td>
<td>70.39</td>
<td>71.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn, ppm</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb, ppm</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2O, wt%</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>0.0431</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>TEC03</th>
<th>TEC04a</th>
<th>TEC05a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spot</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO2, wt%</td>
<td>73.82</td>
<td>70.02</td>
<td>73.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn, ppm</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb, ppm</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2O, wt%</td>
<td>0.0185</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>TEC06</th>
<th>TEC07a</th>
<th>TEC07b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spot</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO2, wt%</td>
<td>70.56</td>
<td>68.24</td>
<td>69.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn, ppm</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb, ppm</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2O, wt%</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>TEC08</th>
<th>TEC09</th>
<th>TEC10a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spot</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO2, wt%</td>
<td>70.98</td>
<td>70.50</td>
<td>69.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn, ppm</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb, ppm</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2O, wt%</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>TEC10b</th>
<th>TEC04b</th>
<th>TEC05b</th>
<th>TECB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spot</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO2, wt%</td>
<td>68.28</td>
<td>72.17</td>
<td>69.76</td>
<td>71.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn, ppm</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb, ppm</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2O, wt%</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>0.0121</td>
<td>0.0175</td>
<td>0.0133</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>TECA</th>
<th>TECC</th>
<th>TECD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spot</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO2, wt%</td>
<td>73.65</td>
<td>70.11</td>
<td>70.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn, ppm</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb, ppm</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2O, wt%</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Table of results. Samples are homogeneous.
RESULTS

Measured water contents are listed in Table 1. The average water content of our tektites was 0.0209±0.0035% by weight. A comparison of our water contents with those given by Beran and Koeberl (1997), shown in Fig. 4, demonstrates that our results are consistent with previous measurements of tektite water contents from the Australasian strewnfield. However, we note that water contents, including ours, from the Australasian strewnfield are slightly higher than those found in other strewnfields (Beran and Koeberl, 1997). No correlations between flow band frequency, bubble frequency and water content were observed.

As shown in Fig. 5, Zn and Pb are highly correlated ($R^2 = 0.89$). The Pb (1-9.6 ppm) and Zn (2-18.9 ppm) contents of the tektites are highly depleted compared to upper continental crust (Pb ~17 ppm and Zn~67 ppm; (Rudnick and Gao, 2003)), which we take as an approximate target/protolith composition of the tektites. Curiously, we also find that the Pb/Zn ratio of the tektites (0.49) is higher than that of upper continental crust (0.25) and primitive mantle (0.00273; (McDonough and Sun, 1995)). The increased Pb/Zn ratio is assumed to be due to differential volatilization, as Zn is more volatile than Pb (Robie et al., 1979).
There is no correlation between water contents and Zn or Pb concentrations ($R^2 \leq 0.1$), as shown in Fig. 6.

Finally, motivated by studies attempting to locate the source crater of the Australasian strewnfield from variations in the $^{10}$Be activity of tektites from different localities within the strewnfield (Ma et al., 2004), we compare average water contents of tektites sampled from various localities in the Australasian strewnfield. While there are significant gaps in the water data, it appears that tektite water content decreases with distance from Indochina, as shown in Fig. 7. This is consistent with work showing decreasing Na and K content with distance from Indochina (Folco et al., 2010).

Figure 7: Comparison of $^{10}$Be levels in Australasian tektites (Ma et al. 2004) and water levels from this work and the literature (Beran and Koeberl 1997; Koeberl 1992).
DISCUSSION

Because Zn, Pb and water are all volatile, we had anticipated that water contents would correlate with Zn and Pb concentrations. Moynier et al. (2009, 2010) suggested that volatile metals were lost from the tektites by a combination of internal circulation, outward diffusion, and evaporation at the tektite surface. We thus expected to see differences in water content varying with flow structure if the mechanism of water and volatile metal loss were identical. As there is no correlation between metal and water contents, it is very unlikely that the process which removed the volatile species could also have removed water from the tektite. Although circulation within the molten mass of ejecta is thought to be an important process for removal of volatile metals according to Moynier et al (2009), the lack of any systematic variation of water content with Zn or flow banding frequency, suggests the low water concentrations must be explained by other processes.

Because metal depletion does not appear to correlate with water depletion, it is possible that water in the molten tektites was buffered by water vapor in bubbles within the molten rock and/or in a hot, moist enveloping cloud formed during impact. However, given the lack of correlation between bubble frequency and water content, along with earlier studies which did not find water vapor or condensed water droplets in tektite bubbles (O'Keefe et al., 1962), it seems unlikely that water present in the bubbles could have buffered water dissolved in the molten material. We thus speculate that water in the immediate atmosphere in the ejecta cloud from which the tektites emerged must have slowed or buffered exsolution of dissolved water from the tektites. If this interpretation is correct, it is reasonable to speculate that the impact site of the Australasian strewnfield
may have been in a wet or aqueous environment. As stated in the Results section, this is consistent with the fact that tektites from the Australasian strewnfield have systematically higher water contents than those from other strewnfields.

As rocks melted by impacts on rocky worlds without atmospheres would not be buffered by a volatile cloud, it is possible that despite significant volatile contents in rocks of primitive composition, such as on the Moon (Saal et al., 2008), those altered by impacts would have negligible volatile contents, significantly reducing the volatile content of the surface of a highly cratered body.

We now assess whether the measured water concentrations are plausible at conditions expected in the vapor cloud associated with an extraterrestrial impact, essentially, 1 atm (101.325 MPa) pressure and very high temperatures (>1400°C). Using a model for water solubility in rhyolitic liquids at low pressures (Liu et al., 2005), the equation for water concentration in molten rhyolitic rock at equilibrium is:

\[ C = \frac{354.94 \sqrt{P_w} + 9.623 P_w - 1.5223 P_w^{3/2}}{T} + 0.0012439 P_w^{3/2} + P_{CO_2} (-1.084 \times 10^{-4} \sqrt{P_w} - 1.362 \times 10^{-5} \sqrt{P_w}) \]

(2)

where \( C \) is the weight percent of water in the molten rock, \( P_w \) is the partial pressure of water (MPa) in the system, \( T \) is the temperature in kelvin, and \( P_{CO_2} \) is the partial pressure of CO₂. Assuming negligible CO₂, i.e., \( P_{CO_2} = 0 \), Eq. 2 becomes

\[ C = \frac{354.94 \sqrt{P_w} + 9.623 P_w - 1.5223 P_w^{3/2}}{T} + 0.0012439 P_w^{3/2} \]

(3)

Since tektites are exposed to the atmosphere during their formation, and \( P_w = X_w P \), where \( X_w \) is the mole fraction of water in the atmosphere and \( P \) is the total gas pressure, \( P_w = X_w \times 0.101325 \) MPa, assuming a sea level impact. Substituting for \( P_w \) yields
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This equation shows that water solubility, $C$, decreases rapidly with increasing $T$ and increases with increasing $X_w$. For a given temperature and total pressure, maximum solubility of course occurs when $X_w=1$. We have extrapolated our calculations to temperatures far beyond the quoted validity ($<1473$ K) of the model of Liu et al. (2005), so our calculations are at best qualitative, but nonetheless predict the sense of how solubility changes with temperature, as shown in Figure 8. At the temperatures required for vapor fractionation during the short duration of the formative event – in the range of 3000 K (Walter and Clayton, 1967) – an $X_w$ of $\sim 0.3$ is required to explain the water contents in our tektites, assuming equilibrium between vapor and tektite. Although equilibrium is certainly not guaranteed, disequilibrium effects would only require higher values of $X_w$ to implant water into the tektites. This suggests an enormous quantity of water vapor at the impact site.

At the very high temperatures experienced by tektites, most of the hydrogen dissolved in the melt would be in the form of OH (Stolper, 1982), so our measurements may not show total water contents. However, given that the equilibrium constant for water speciation should be a function of melt composition (Stolper, 1982), which can reasonably be assumed to be constant across our samples, our estimated H$_2$O contents should be considered minimum bounds on the total water content of the tektites.
Previous work on the origin of the Australasian strewnfield (Glass and Barlow, 1979; Ma et al., 2004; Chaussidon and Koeberl, 1995; Blum et al., 1992) concluded that the impact likely occurred in a region of silty sediments and/or sedimentary rocks. Ma et al. (2004) suggested that the impact site was in northeastern Thailand or off the coast of eastern Vietnam based on geographic variation in the \(^{10}\text{Be}\) activity of the tektites within the strewnfield. They observed that \(^{10}\text{Be}\) activity increased with increasing distance from the purported impact site, and suggested that this could be explained if the stratigraphically highest layers of sediment at the impact site, which would have contained the most \(^{10}\text{Be}\), were thrown the furthest from the impact, i.e., to Australia.

Our high water contents suggest that the impact site must have been wet in order to generate a water-rich ejecta cloud. We speculate that water contents in the tektites during formation were homogenized by melting at very high temperatures in a very wet local atmosphere generated at the impact site. As they were still very hot while flying through the atmosphere, those that were thrown the furthest spent more time flying through ambient air of normal (dry) composition, resulting in subsequent diffusive loss of water because the ambient atmosphere no longer buffered the water activity. Those that were not ejected very far spent a greater proportion of their time within the ejecta vapor cloud near the impact site. Far-traveled ejecta may have thus had a longer time to lose water, whereas the tektites which fell close to the impact site had less time to lose water, retaining the high water contents from their formation in a cloud of water vapor above the impact site.

While diffusion of Zn and, presumably, Pb is slower (<\(10^{-10}\) m/s\(^2\)) (Moynier et al., 2010) than the diffusion of heat in silicate liquid (>\(10^{-6}\)) (Bagdassarov and Dingwell,
1994), this does not render implausible the scenario of diffusion-controlled (Moynier et al., 2009; Moynier et al., 2010) escape of volatiles while the tektite is molten. In the upper atmosphere a tektite will be thermally insulated by the lack of material around it to absorb heat from the tektite; meanwhile, evaporation of volatile species (e.g., water) from the surface of the tektite will still occur owing to their low vapor pressure in the upper atmosphere. While radiation would remove some heat, clearly the tektites remained sufficiently hot to be found as glass rather than crystallized objects across the entire strewnfield. We thus envision three stages in the short (Moynier et al., 2009) flight of a tektite: (1) Ejection and lower atmosphere contact, where metals evaporate freely but water evaporation from the tektite is limited by the high water vapor pressure due to volatilization by the heat of the impact, (2) Flight through upper atmosphere, where low pressures retard cooling and encourage evaporation of water, (3) Reentry and quenching upon impact. Evidence that tektites from the Australasian field remained molten in flight is provided by the finding of decrease in Na and K with increasing distance all the way to the Transantarctic Mountains (Folco et al., 2010).

CONCLUSIONS

There is no correlation between water depletion and volatile metal (Zn, Pb) depletion in the sampled tektites. Therefore, different processes are required to explain the water and volatile metal content in tektites. High water content is due to implantation of water from water vapor-rich ejecta clouds while low Zn and Pb contents are due to volatile loss. In other words, the water content of the tektites may have been buffered by the water in the ejecta cloud, whereas Zn and Pb are depleted because the vapor cloud was free of Zn and Pb. We conclude that the Australasian strewnfield was created by an
impact at a site sufficiently wet to raise the concentration of water vapor in the air. Tektite water content within the Australasian strewnfield decreases with distance from the general area of the presumed impact site. This is expected because, as the tektite travels further from its impact site, it leaves its water-rich ejecta cloud and enters ambient and dry atmosphere.
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