Senate opposes Brown's plan to alter funding

by KIM D. BROWN

The SA Senate went on record opposing Dean Katherine Brown's plan to change the income structure of the RPC in a meeting that ended well after midnight Monday Night. Minutes after that action, a motion to conduct Brown's survey on changing the blanket tax operation was blocked when a quorum call showed an insufficient number of Senators remaining.

The meeting opened with several matters of routine business. In old business, student nominees to the Campus Safety Committee and the Parking Appeals Board were approved, to be sent to President Hackerman. The recommended students for the parking board had already been approved at a previous Senate meeting, but Proctor Sam Carrington asked that the action be repeated, since he had not approved the students before the original action, which is required by University guidelines.

The Senate went on to approve the Campanile Business Manager election and the TexPirG referendum, in which the student research organization won overwhelming support in its attempt to negotiate a new contract with the University administration. SA President Bruce Marcus announced the vote totals, but a short discussion followed over which candidate in the Business Manager race had won. VP Ricky Boat settled the question by stating that Terri Jones had received the higher vote total, as duly reported in the Thresher.

Business statements were approved for the Campanile, the Thresher and KTRU. Marcus said the Campanile editors had assured him that this year's books would arrive around September. The new SA budget sailed through, including a new $1000 item for another Computer next year, which is to be held early next year according to External Affairs VP Lynn Laverty.

Sargent Hicks, Director of Food Service and Housing, fielded numerous questions from the Senators in a detailed and lengthy explanation of the FS&H budget, which included a $153 increase per student next year. He explained that a lot of the budget was in utilities and debt retirement on the colleges for replacing the Hanszen commons, destroyed by fire last summer, will appear in the room and board budget in following years. Hicks added that some of the changes suggested to him to save money were not feasible because they only saved labor costs, which comprise only 20% of his budget.

(continued on page 5)

New primary pits winners, losers, quitters

by PETER ARMATO

On Saturday, May 1, for the first time ever, Texas will be having a Presidential primary. The Republican primary may be a last showdown between Gerald Ford and Ronald Reagan. Reagan seems to be the favorite, and he is concentrating most of his resources on making a good showing in this state in order to keep to speed up the Democratic because of the way the primary law works.

The races are on the state senatorial level, and one votes on delegates rather than for President. Preference. The top three delegates (women in some districts) win with a plurality. It's possible that the top three delegate candidates could come from various slates, but the slate that wins will win all of the district's delegates. And to make things more confusing, not every candidate or uncommitted group has delegates in every area. In effect there is a separate primary going on in each of the 31 districts in the state.

This disorderly situation arose as a result of the primary law being written in favor of the candidacy of Bentsen. Most statewide elected officials, including Governor Dolph Briscoe, are supporting Bentsen's favorite son effort, and multitude of local politicians and labor leaders jumped on the bandwagon early. Some of these early supporters began taking a lower profile as it appeared that the Senator's Presidential campaign was doomed, but Bentsen, with his slogan, "big guns on his side for May 1.

Carter, of course, is gaining strength as a result of his early primary victories. His strategists say they want to maintain his "big momentum" in Texas, but much of the campaign's (continued on page 4)

Sterling forsees business interest in Jones school

Dr. Robert R. Sterling, an internationally-known accountant-economist and recently named the first chairman of the new Accounting Department, has been appointed first dean of the new Jesse H. Jones Graduate School of Administration at Rice University.

"He is one of the very top people in his field in the world," Dr. Hackerman said Tuesday.

"He represents the caliber of faculty we intend to bring into the Jones School to give it the stature that will truly honor the memory of Jesse H. Jones.

Establishment of the Jones School was announced in June 1974 by J.H. Creekmore, President of Houston Endowment, and Herbert Allen, chairman of Rice's Board of Governors, with a $5 million gift from Houston Endowment.

"This frankly is the only deanship in the country I would accept," Dr. Sterling said. "It is a rare opportunity to assist in the basic formation of a school that will rank with the other top three or four schools of its kind in the country."

"I expect to have a great deal of interchange between the business community and the Jones School," he commented. "We do not want this to be a remote, cloistered institution. We expect it to be exciting, and professional exchanges with the business community will contribute greatly to this."

Campus relaxes during last night's power failure.

—Andy Kopro

Sunday, April 15, 1976
Schroeter wants equal time

To the editor:

I can enjoy a joke as well anyone, but the April Fools issue of The Rice Thresher was an obscene degradation of the male body. Though several of the most tantalizing portions of the female received prominent display, the tits, tongues, feet, and other prominences of the male received no display at all.

I would be interested in censorship upon the Thresher if you people would give the male body the same respect as they have given the female.

Sincerely,

The Rice Bahá’í Association
Jeanine Goodson, Secretary

Cashier wants staff thrown out

To the editor:

I want you to know that I think the latest issue of the Thresher was the lowest level of college journalism I have ever witnessed. The previous few issues were bad enough, but your April Fool’s publication was nothing but unabashed obscenity and is a disgrace to the University. If copies only went to the few persons, if any, on campus who appreciate your style it would still be inappropriately rude and insensitive. But your April Fool’s publication is an apology for the Thresher and an insult to the student body. The staff has made great efforts to truly be a community paper, but this issue has set these back a long way. Many students are outraged and feel this misrepresentation, to the extent of talking about revoking the blanket tax. One also need not talk to many Rice faculty and staff members to get a sense of their disgust, not only towards the Thresher, but occasionally (we hope unjustly) toward the student body itself. This reaction may be one of disappointment regarding potential, as well; even our humor can contribute more to the world than negative ideas on trivias.

Rice is very fortunate to have a student-run newspaper, as the press in particular, is a wonderful medium for the exchange of ideas. But in order for our paper to have credibility, one must show that it is being run in a responsible manner. I must earn and keep the respect of the student body that supports it, because if in the student mind it was rejected as insignificant, we could lose our major forum for discussion of University issues. The Thresher has been and can be both unfettered and responsibly run; let us hope that this one issue will not overshadow its past and future achievements.

E. H. Potter
Cashier

Shock, crudity decried as substitute for humor

To the editor:

In previous years, the Thresher has been a great forum for Rice’s particular brand of humor. It has been a little decadent at times, but generally not offensive, and for the most part has managed to poke fun at many aspects of Rice life without degrading or alienating any person or group of people.

What is disappointing about this year’s Thresher can be seen on several levels. First of all, the staff has bowed to a new venerable convention: the shock as a substitute for humor. Flirtatious and blatanly to be equated with witiness, then the photograph of the starving child, stripped of its human reality, is a stereotypical example of such. The picture is definitely not “out of place in a responsible newspaper,” in which it should arouse anger and sympathy for the tragedy of the human condition, but it is horribly out of place when held up as a source of amusement.

The second level, reduction of people to objects (as was done with women) is a tried-and-true method precisely because it is so self-flattering: to drag others down is to give ourselves the illusion of superiority that our own lack of self-worth cannot provide. What was funny about other Threshers was an ability to laugh at ourselves, with a corresponding push towards improvement. But if by chance any positive motive was buried beneath the conception of this issue, it has been lost in a crass pseudo-intellectual jargon which in its fake self-complacency reaches out to mock elements of human society which are struggling for recognition, even existence.

The third “level of disappointment” deals with the Thresher as a representative of the Rice student body. The staff has made great efforts to truly be a community paper, but this issue has set these back a long way. Many students are outraged and feel this misrepresentation, to the extent of talking about revoking the blanket tax. One also need not talk to many Rice faculty and staff members to get a sense of their disgust, not only towards the Thresher, but occasionally (we hope unjustly) toward the student body itself. This reaction may be one of disappointment regarding potential, as well; even our humor can contribute more to the world than negative ideas on trivias.

Rice is very fortunate to have a student-run newspaper, as the press in particular, is a wonderful medium for the free exchange of ideas. But in order for our paper to have credibility, one must show that it is being run in a responsible manner. I must earn and keep the respect of the student body that supports it, because if in the student mind it was rejected as insignificant, we could lose our major forum for discussion of University issues. The Thresher has been and can be both unfettered and responsibly run; let us hope that this one issue will not overshadow its past and future achievements.

Sincerely,

The Rice Baha’i Association
Jeanine Goodson, Secretary

Bono says apology owed for satire

To the editor:

I do not usually write letters to the editor, but this time I think you all have really gone too far, in this edition of the Thresher.

I realize that this edition was supposed to be an April Fool’s Day type of satire. Your picture of the “Breakfast of Champions” (page 1) did not offend me. Neither did the pictures of the young lady (?) obviously suffering from mastitis (page 2), or your “Stuffed Ballot Box” (page 4).

But...your picture of the young child suffering from malnutrition was “tasteless, offensive, and out of place,” to quote your own words.

I fail to find the humor or satire in that picture. Suffering of this sort is never funny. Unless I have somehow missed the point, you owe the Rice community an apology for printing this.

Cathy Bono
Cashier

Cashier wants staff thrown out

To the editor:

I want you to know that I think the latest issue of the Thresher was the lowest level of college journalism I have ever witnessed. The previous few issues were bad enough, but your April Fool’s publication was nothing but unabashed obscenity and is a disgrace to the University. If copies only went to the few people who appreciate your style it would still be inappropriate, but the idea of alumni, parents and the Board of Trustees seeing this masterpiece of depravity and associating it with the average Rice student is most repugnant to me.

I personally believe you and your entire staff should be dismissed from your jobs and have a student-run newspaper, as the press in particular, is a wonderful medium for the free exchange of ideas. But in order for our paper to have credibility, one must show that it is being run in a responsible manner. I must earn and keep the respect of the student body that supports it, because if in the student mind it was rejected as insignificant, we could lose our major forum for discussion of University issues. The Thresher has been and can be both unfettered and responsibly run; let us hope that this one issue will not overshadow its past and future achievements.

Sincerely,

E. H. Potter
Cashier

Gary Brewton
Editor
Janet Doty
Business Manager

Copyright 1976, The Rice Thresher. All rights reserved.
To the Rice community:

In April, financial aid letters will be sent out. If you are a recipient of aid, chances are good that part of your aid is federal or state-funded. I think Rice people should be made aware of the perils of this type of aid.

Being a recipient of federal aid means that this University may not spend any funds on you above $99.00 over your assessed need. As it happens, your assessed need is subject to the remotest correspondence to your actual financial situation—but, insofar as federal regulations are concerned, the "Parents' Confidential Statement," the "Texas Tuition Equalization Grant" application, etc., are filled at different times during the school year than your tax returns. Consequently, there are disparities between the forms, your aid package will be "re-evaluated," i.e., changed, to conform to federal and state regulations. A "re-evaluation" may be in your favor if your family's income plummets suddenly and will be performed at your request in writing. However, this past year, some students have had their aid "re-evaluated" without their knowledge and to their disadvantage, because there were discrepancies between forms. I personally did not receive notice of the over $1000.00 cut in aid until March 5, nearly a year after the first evaluation was sent to me. Apparently, a re-evaluation may be made with impunity at any time during the school year, if there is a discrepancy between forms.

There was a reason for the delay in notification. The Director of Financial Aid resigned early in the first semester, and in the scramble to find a replacement, the stock of "discrepancy" cases were ignored until January. If this problem had not occurred, I might have been able to pay the extra balance out as the school year progressed; instead, the payments will come at the next year's installment plan. Now, I still have to pay back $1000 more than I originally was asked to pay, and that's a hardship any way you want to slice it.

What are the essential points at issue here?

1) The forms used for evaluating students' aid needs do not take sufficient account of fluctuating incomes of parents and virtual independence of students in paying for their educations. Their only virtue lies in their computerized, anonymous uniformity in evaluating large numbers of students.

2) The Financial Aid Office has had no procedure for coping with personnel upheavals. Perhaps the new director, Mr. Hunt, will correct this deficiency. What is really needed is a little interpersonal communication: between parents and Financial Aid, between Financial Aid and the Cashier's Office. When "discrepancies" crop up, parents and students should be warned immediately that their aid status may change, so that the subsequent "re-evaluation" does not hit them like a bolt from the blue. My first knowledge that there was something wrong came when my parents were requested to provide a copy of our family's 1974 tax form to Financial Aid in December. A two-month silence followed, and the March 5 "re-evaluation" seemed arbitrary and incomprehensible to me.

3) Rice University cannot subsist on federal funds. But to you, the Rice student, being a recipient of federal funds limits the amount you can receive, and subjects you to constant doubt about the state of your funds. The biggest culprit here is the work-study program, which is a sort of inverse union for campus jobs: work-study people can do it all, get the amount of their award, and then they are fired; their salaries are small (dito departmental budgets, I will admit); and few people can get a job on-campus without having a work-study award.

Can you afford to have your aid taken back because you worked on-campus? On the other hand, if federal and/or state aid is the only aid you receive, do you have a choice? Weigh the pros and cons of it yourself. At least, for you, forewarned will be forearmed, even if I did not have that advantage.

Sincerely yours,

William H. Boyd
The Rice Christian Community

Parody good; Christians seek humanism

To the editor:

Your satire of the YNH article was well done. You followed and expanded our arguments precisely and provided valuable insight into our present situation. Appropriately, you chose the theme of altered states of consciousness (drugs, meditation, or whatever) as the center of your parody. This illustrates the direction our culture is taking. Through the cognitive faculties of our mind which we seek to re-create the world into an egocentric universe. In essence, we are attempting, as your sensitive readers suggest, to be conscious of God. Getting off daily, therefore, is a profound concept involving an escape from the problems and pressures of life. It encompasses an escape from reality to a world more pleasing to human ego. We who are believers in Jesus Christ realize that our faith is not a means of getting off to a cozy separate reality. We have not, however, communicated that fact adequately through the YNH campaign as we are obligated to do. The Christian faith is not a mindless affirmation of the irrational. Rather, it is a response to a world view which seeks to see the world and our identity as persons in it make sense in the light of a personal God who has personally revealed (through Jesus Christ) truth to humanity. To achieve this absolute and which comes equally to bear upon every individual. We who are Christian are therefore committed to absolute truth which is verifiable in terms of our universe and our personhood and to a transcendence of love which demands personal repentance and reconciliation to God. We hope this will be clearly understood by everyone.

Sincerely yours,

Chris Amandos
Rice Christian Community

Council offers curriculum changes

Due to time considerations, only one item on the agenda was discussed at the University Curriculum Committee meeting on Friday, April 5, 1976. On the basis of continuing concern over the verbal competency of Rice students (see "Reading, Riting, and Rice Students" in the March-April Sallyport), the University Curriculum Committee submitted the following recommendations:

1. That the President, or possibly the Provost, in strong favor of such a proposal, in the interest of a broadening of the present staff.

2. That the standards of the University Curriculum Council be raised or that a new curriculum be established.

3. That the English department be encouraged to enrich the course offerings available to all Rice students in the general area of verbal skills and to encourage the faculty to incorporate courses which would enable the opportunity for students to make oral and written presentations in a challenging and critical environment.
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Proposal would allow RPC tax re-allocation

MEMORANDUM
FROM: Katherine Brown
DIRECTOR OF UNDERGRADUATE AFFAIRS

The Student Association Senate will vote on a student opinion poll Wednesday, April 21, at noon in the Cohee Memorial Center on behalf of the University Committee on Student Affairs. The purpose of the poll is a proposal from the Committee allowing any student in the Academic year the option of designating $5 of his or her student activity fee to an SA affiliated student organization of which such student is a member. The five dollars is the amount automatically assigned at present to the Rice Program Council out of each $.20 activity fee. All fees not designated for a student organization other than the RPC will be assigned to the RPC.

The poll is in two parts, the first part offering the option available to any student as specified above, the second line giving the percentages of the three minority organizations. The Committee on Student Affairs can then consider the results of the poll.

The Student Association has passed a resolution opposing the proposal by the University Committee on Undergraduate Affairs to alter mandatory funding of the RPC (see Senate story, page 1). The plan would be to provide financial stability for the various SA dependent organizations—that is, a decentralization of student support. However, this plan would cause instability not only in the RPC but also in the other forty or so organizations recognized by the Student Association; all would be forced by the funding until weeks in the semester. Much of the planning and organization for the Program Council has already taken place, and much more will continue throughout the summer.

Another difficulty has been brought to light: should students choose not to support the RPC, to whom would the financial burden fall? Questions would arise such as: Who would be admitted to RPC programs? Would those who had not supported the RPC be forced to "pay" at the door?

Students would not be the only ones isolated by this plan; each organization could become alienated from the University. Instead of increasing input to the RPC, each organization would feel free to act on its own, thus cutting itself off from the coordinating organization. Admittedly, in the past, one of the shortcomings of the Program Council has been its failure to support the RPC in helping overcome this problem.

The student opinion poll will be conducted by the Committee on the mandatory student fees, the student poll, that you will receive next week represents just such a change. In my opinion, the subject matter of this poll is something that needs to be brought to light and working over. Basically, the poll asks if the student would be in favor of a plan of setting aside one percent of his or her student fee to be used for feedback from the whole campus on the efficiency of the various organizations. The subject of the poll is part of a review being conducted by the Committee on all the mandatory student fees, the student poll, that you will receive next week represents just such a change. In my opinion, the subject matter of this poll is something that needs to be brought to light and working over. Basically, the poll asks if the student would be in favor of a plan of setting aside one percent of his or her student fee to be used for feedback from the whole campus on the efficiency of the various organizations.

There are many paths open to resolve these conflicts and only a very few have been tried so far. Many organizations charge nominal dues of their members. Another possible solution would be for the SA dependent organizations to seek funding through the Student Association; this would allow for feedback from the whole campus on the efficiency of the various organizations.

To the Rice students: Will we return in the fall and find out that changes have been taken place with very little notice? If student choices have been rushed through only to appease a small group? Would a student still be able to access the student choice functions if he gives his money to another organization? Would be have to pay additionally at the door? How can one answer this subjectively when it is unclear what the situation will be?

Monday night, your Senate passed a resolution against this proposal, the main reason being the problem of rushing something by the student body. I urge you to look at this proposal, not for reasons of content, but if we allow something to be rushed through without adequate consideration, we can expect the same to happen repeatedly.

Bruce Marcus
SA President

Marcus decries rushed decision

Commoner speaks here

Barry Commoner, renowned ecologist and author of the award-winning book The Closing Circle, will speak on his new book, The Poverty of Power at 8pm in Hamman Hall tonight. The talk is $1.50 with a Rice ID.

Commoner is presented as part of the speaker series of the Rice Bicentennial Program. Series subscribers will be admitted free.

It was the best of times, it was the worst...

by JIM FOWLER

A lot can happen to a body in one year at Rice. Looking back now, I can even remember some of it. I guess you could call it reminiscence. Gary Brevont calls it filler that will not be missed if a chunk is cut out of the main menu. It's only to appease a small group? Will we return in the fall and find out that changes have been taken place with very little notice? If student choices have been rushed through only to appease a small group? Would a student still be able to access the student choice functions if he gives his money to another organization? Would be have to pay additionally at the door? How can one answer this subjectively when it is unclear what the situation will be?

Monday night, your Senate passed a resolution against this proposal, the main reason being the problem of rushing something by the student body. I urge you to look at this proposal, not for reasons of content, but if we allow something to be rushed through without adequate consideration, we can expect the same to happen repeatedly.

Bruce Marcus
SA President

Marcus decries rushed decision

Commoner speaks here

Barry Commoner, renowned ecologist and author of the award-winning book The Closing Circle, will speak on his new book, The Poverty of Power at 8pm in Hamman Hall tonight. The talk is $1.50 with a Rice ID.

Commoner is presented as part of the speaker series of the Rice Bicentennial Program. Series subscribers will be admitted free.
Materials science degree in demand

The plight of students graduating with a BA in chemistry or physics is all too familiar for many; they just aren’t many desirable job offers. However, according to Materials Science Department Professor Frank R. Broten, these students could pursue promising interests in school and yet maximize their chances for employment after graduation by double-majoring with materials science, an often-overlooked opportunity.

The problem is basically one of misinformation. There is a tremendous demand in industry for materials science majors, yet many students are unaware of this need. Demand goes far beyond traditional metallurgical and mining interests; for example, companies place a high demand on construction specialists and electronics manufacturers such as Texas Instruments need semiconductors experts.

Students at Rice can take advantage both of the excellent facilities in the Materials Science Department and of the considerable overlap in curriculum between materials science and other fields of study. Besides chemistry and physics, other possible double majors include: math sci and even managerial studies.

A double-major with chemical engineering is also possible, though less easy.

There are three possible routes to a degree in materials science: the BA program (which is not a professional degree), the BS program (a four-year, accredited professional degree), and the MMS program (a five-year professional degree). The Rice program in materials science is one of only two programs in the state; consequently, the local demand is even higher than the national demand. Nationally, it is the second-highest paying engineering field.

Students who seek more information should contact Dr. Broten at 112A Space Science, x4993.

SA Senate . . .

(continued from page 1)

The main item of business finally rolled around, as President Marcus launched into an explanation of Dean Katherine Brown’s plan to make the RPC’s $5 share of the student activities fee ($20.55 per student) an optional blanket tax to go instead to any other SA departmental organization. Marcus said that Brown was interested in implementing the plan next semester, which meant a decision would have to be made in the next two weeks. He continued by saying that Brown wanted to know the feeling of the Senate before proceeding, although the decision would not necessarily depend on the Senate’s feelings.

Copies of a survey which Dean Brown wants to go to the student body were distributed. RPC President Ed Pierce took the floor to express his feelings about the plan and how it would affect the RPC. He said that in his discussion of the plan with Brown that she stated that the possibility existed that the RPC could end up without very much money next year under the plan. He pointed out the difficulty of working with an uncertain budget and the difficulty of planning for the future as opposed to the entire student body.

Pierce stated that he believed the plan would encourage “splitting” of the student body, and added, “We are a Union-wide student organization, and don’t want to become like a dues-paying club for throwing parties.”

Lovett Senator Mike Dunn said he questioned how well the RPC had served the minority groups on campus, and attacked Pierce’s description of how the Black Student Union had spent the $200 it received from the RPC, calling Pierce’s description “irresponsible.” Other discussion focused on the danger of tampering with the blanket tax system, which seemed to be a functioning well for the major student organizations.

One senator said that this proposed plan was not of the usual high quality proposals from the Dean of Undergraduate Affairs office, which had in the past always been carefully conceived and researched. “It appears that she’s trying to railroad this plan through at the end of school,” added another, who complained that such limited time would prohibit adequate student input.

Wiesel President Asuka Nakahara introduced a resolution opposing the plan and expressing the need for “meaningful student input” on proposals of this importance. After the 10-minute discussion period had expired, the resolution passed 9-2-3.

Shortly thereafter, as the number present dwindled, a motion to adjourn and run the poll for Dean Brown in the colleges failed when a quorum was not attained. An insufficient number of members present to conduct business. Marcus adjourned the meeting after noting that he felt that Brown would weigh the Senate’s recommendation in deciding on the plan, but he was uncertain just how much weight the SA’s opposition would mean.
Administration ponders memo

Future of Rice: Provost outlines possibilities

During the last two weeks, the following memorandum has been circulated among Deans and department chairmen on a "for internal use only" basis. The paper, prepared over the past 18 months by Provost Frank Vandiver at the direction of President Hackerman and with the cooperation of the Deans, deals with possible short-term changes in policy to meet current financial problems. These tentative suggestions broadly cover the areas of teaching, finances, distribution, facilities, and enrollment. Although the proposals are intended only as possibilities for discussion, not direct implementation, they have nevertheless aroused considerable controversy. Vandiver and the Deans and department chairmen will meet next month to discuss the desirability and the feasibility of the changes, as of now, no timetable and no mechanism for implementing any proposals have been decided.

The Thresher has obtained a copy of this memorandum. Vandiver did not authorize publication; however, in the interest that the proposals receive the complete, honest and open discussion they so clearly merit, the memo is printed here in full, without editing.

PREFACE

This proposal has evolved from an earlier draft entitled "A Short Term Academic Plan for Rice University." Much of that earlier draft is included in this submission and reflects my continuing concerns.

Discussions with the academic deans and others have convinced me that this document should suggest financial alternatives for the academic programs of the University over the short term. Consequently, I propose to address the following:

1. The development and implications of new revenue sources to support academic functions over the short term.

2. Conservation of existing University resources to enhance the academic offerings of the University over the short term.

3. Redirection of existing academic offerings in an attempt to strengthen all academic functions and to enhance some present offerings that verge on distinction.

Rice's continuing existence as a small institution requires that all actions must be postulated on academic excellence. The acceptance of this goal requires continuing evaluation of our present offerings, attention to the quality of our faculty, and some assessment of productivity and costs.

This academic analysis is not fully developed since it requires information on such other institutional needs as plant facilities and increasing inflationary pressure on fixed-cost expenditures over the near term. I recognize the serious implications which these two emissions have on the University, but I am primarily concerned with the academic health of the institution.

Careful consideration should, of course, be given to these areas as a necessary part in determining the academic strength of the institution. I am convinced that established traditions and functions are important but urge the possibility that in Rice's future new functions may be equally important to our educational and research communities.

The major intent of this report is to summarize for you several alternatives for administrative decision making. I can, if implemented in whole or in part, ease the financial burdens on the University and enhance, over the short term, the University's potential.

I propose several areas where modest increases in operating budgets, through a redirection of existing resources, should enhance significantly some of the academic disciplines. You may want to encourage faculty debate of these alternatives over the next two years, hesitant to stabilize the institutions during a difficult period of financial limitation.

THE PROBLEM

Rice University faces the paradox of being an extremely well-endowed institution by any measure, and yet, because of its current educational philosophies (basically one of personalized learning), an institution which is not commonly thought of as "cost effective." A Rice education is expensive.

The 1974 General and Educational expenditure of $15,662,000 breaks down to $4,890 per student (graduates and undergraduates combined).

Table 1 summarizes the growth in expenditures for the General and Educational areas since 1969 compared to other categories. Table 2 summarizes enrollment, tuition, and other revenue sources over the same period. I would emphasize that only areas of endowment and tuition income have equalized or exceeded the rate of inflationary pressure.

In the case of indirect costs and direct cost research, we have experienced significant inflationary losses over the period 1969 through 1974. Extension of this analysis, including estimated income and expenditures through 1981, is stated in Table 3. Estimated revenues through 1981, are predicted on several assumptions, the most notable one being the decrease in mineral and oil income as the University's oil holdings diminish between now and 1981.

Additionally, growth in tuition income is dependent on increasing transfers every two years and on including the Jesse Jones Graduate School of Administration and the Shepherd School of Music enrollments with no additional drain on University Financial Aid. Neither of these may be realized in the short term. Tuition projections may be overly optimistic.

Table 3 also details estimated expenditures from 1975 through 1981, considering only the continuing inflationary pressure on utilities and a 6% annual salary adjustment for employees. Review of Table 3 containing chart data indicate the impact which the academic component of the University has on its resources. It is clear, after review of these tables and their assumptions, that without modification the University could begin to consume its endowment for annual operations by 1978.

The time for alteration is scant, and even short term patches will only alleviate the University's problems for two or three fiscal years. By definition, this report is limited to the short term. It attempts in its recommendations to offer solid bases for facing longer term problems.

In summary, my intention is to suggest alternatives which will contribute to the financial security of the institution in the next two or three years. Clearly an aggressively competitive policy is developing for University resources between the academic element, auxiliary enterprises, institutions, and support for research, and maintenance of the capital investment. If we allow this competition to develop over the short term, I suggest that the elements suffering most may be the academic area, which, naturally, I think should be the last area to be compromised.

NEW RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT

This section is developed with the following assumptions:

1. The University over the short term will not initiate a special fund drive of an unrestricted nature for endowment purposes.

2. The University cannot invest its endowment in disciplines which tend to make it an unduly strain the present financial policy in competitive areas.

3. If this modification in housing policy is not acceptable, I suggest that we make a concerted effort to interest private investment in nearby college housing available on a profit basis to supplement the existing colleges for upper division students.

In this regard, we might consider long-term land leases to enable these structures to be built at no cost to the institution.

4. The addition of 125 students in any class could unduly strain the present regionalism and a lack of conviction that we can attract and retain the outstanding student outside the southwest. As the independent candidates continue to thrive in the many college housing available on a profit basis to supplement the existing colleges for upper division students. This observation would suggest that admission quotas might be considered in the various disciplines.

At face value, this proposal for increasing enrollment might appear to be fallacious when we consider national trends in college enrollment and perceive decreasing national quality in student applicants. But on reflection, I believe that independent higher education will endure as a desirable alternative to public higher education for those with the resources and desire to maintain quality and individuality. Perhaps our major problem has been that of regionalism and a lack of conviction that we can attract and retain the outstanding student outside the southwest. As the independent candidates continue to thrive in terms of students and number of strong survivors increase. Modest increases in student body size without compromising significant quality either in the students or in the offering may be a resource for the University over the short term. Redoubled emphasis on excellence is, I think, an important need for Rice.

Research Grant Growth

As noted earlier, Rice has not experienced substantial growth in research grants in the period 1968-1975. I see with concern that Rice in the late 1960's used to maintain a position in the
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University is in the development of new research functions, both of which are applied research, rather than support for research. During a period of financial limitation, however, we may have to encourage greater support for the conduct of research from federal or institutional research funds. Even though they are difficult to attract with a companion diminution of support from federal sources, the University should be willing and active partner in this activity. At present, University policy states that a professor should have the opportunity in research on a roughly one-to-one basis with his teaching activities. Because of the problems associated with lack of institutional grants in the 60's, the University has drawn back from "soft money" support of faculty. We can identify departments where continuing research grant salary support during the academic year has been successful over a long term. I believe that if departments like Space Physics are able to maintain a significant percentage of salaries on research grants during the academic year, that other departments in engineering and the natural sciences could be encouraged to do more along this line. Support for academic year salaries can be defrayed in a variety of ways. Individual research grant rather than the institutional grant tends to spread the risk to a much broader base of support, and terminations can be minimized generally to not more than one or two faculty members at a time, rather than several one time as we experienced with the National Science Foundation Grant. In addition, salary substitution of this sort is available to support students on research projects. Such a policy may be thought of as limiting individual research but may have to be accepted as a compromise during this period. In the case of humanities and social sciences, I believe that some further pump-priming in disciplinary areas capable of attracting outside help may be necessary if we are to expand research here. Key faculty might be relieved from all teaching for a semester to develop and receive funding projects. We would have to cover their teaching loads if that appears justifiable.

I also recommend, in addition to limiting stipend support to first year graduate students that we retain a constant number of tuition waivers and give the locational equivalent to individuals entering teaching assistantships where there is a high demand for laboratory assistants or teaching assistants.

Comparisons with other areas of the University support for graduate students indicate that salary levels can be redefined. Unpopular as it may be, a policy to limit University support for graduate student stipends to the first year may be necessary, particularly in the sciences and engineering where grant sources are available to support students on research projects. Such a policy may be thought of as limiting individual research but may have to be accepted as a compromise during this period. In the case of humanities and social sciences, I believe that some further pump-priming in disciplinary areas capable of attracting outside help may be necessary if we are to expand research here. Key faculty might be relieved from all teaching for a semester to develop and receive funding for research. We would have to cover their teaching loads if that appears justifiable.
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Provost says University headed for rough financial times

Redirection of Existing Offerings

During this past academic year the academic deans and I have made a serious effort to review existing University programs and consolidate or eliminate those that we feel are not a part of the University’s future. These recommendations recognize that established traditions and functions are important. They also recognize that in the future, new functions may be important to the University’s future, as stated earlier. For instance, the development of educational activity in the computer science requires that we explore progressive ways of funding this area.

Reviews of projections for income and expenditure indicate that expected annual salary increases (6% per annum) will more than offset annual reserves for common expenses and for inflationary pressure including modest salary increases (6% per annum). Of these, the contingency reserve established in FY 1979 would be sufficient to sustain operations by FY 1979. Beyond FY 1979, the University would be visible by 1981. The impact of this change will depend, however, on the level of the academic activities of the University.

In all instances extensive data from statistical sources, the Graduate Council, department chairs, the Self Study, and the work of the Goals and Objectives Commission were used in this analysis. Again, the overriding consideration was to achieve or maintain excellence at whatever the level of the academic functions.

Summary

In the three broad areas of this report (New Resources Development, Conservation, and Redirection), eight possibilities for additional revenue have been discussed. Five of these have some potential for adding educational and general income. The other three will require either a re-budgeting of current expenditures or reduction and consolidation.

The first five possibilities are presented in a recommended order of priority:

1. The addition of 500 undergraduate students with annual incremental cost of $1,200,000 beginning in fiscal year 1978. Should produce a net tuition increase of $1,200,000. One or both of these steps could be taken.

2. A reduction of the Financial Aid Program to increase the ratio of funds awarded as loans instead of grants in aid. Additional income to the University would be visible by 1981. The implication of this would depend, obviously, on the ratio of loans to gifts and on the starting date.

3. The annual addition of regular income of $100,000 in unrestricted dollars annually commencing in fiscal...
Table 3 — University expenditure forecast

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Forecasted income</strong></td>
<td>$17,600,000</td>
<td>$17,582,000</td>
<td>$18,235,000</td>
<td>$18,493,000</td>
<td>$19,224,000</td>
<td>$19,655,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adjustments</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Shepherd &amp; Jones Schs. (A)</td>
<td>(139,000)</td>
<td>(179,000)</td>
<td>(403,000)</td>
<td>(596,000)</td>
<td>(757,000)</td>
<td>(921,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spending of contingency reserve</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(27,000)</td>
<td>(52,000)</td>
<td>(78,000)</td>
<td>(103,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total income</strong></td>
<td>$17,461,000</td>
<td>$17,403,000</td>
<td>$17,805,000</td>
<td>$17,845,000</td>
<td>$18,389,000</td>
<td>$18,631,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Projected expenditures** |           |              |              |              |              |              |
| Salaries (B)             | $12,305,000 | $13,043,000  | $13,826,000  | $14,655,000  | $15,535,000  | $16,467,000  |
| Utilities (B)            | $3,746,000  | $3,971,000   | $4,209,000   | $4,462,000   | $4,729,000   | $5,013,000   |
| Maintenance Improvement  | $1,250,000  | $1,375,000   | $1,513,000   | $1,664,000   | $1,830,000   | $2,013,000   |
| **Total expense**        | $5,346,000  | $5,496,000   | $5,872,000   | $6,176,000   | $6,559,000   | $7,026,000   |
| **Surplus (Deficit)**    | $17,631,000 | $18,539,000  | $19,698,000  | $20,831,000  | $22,094,000  | $23,493,000  |
| **Deficit Funded from**  |             |              |              |              |              |              |
| Contingency Reserve      | $190,000    | $1,136,000   | $610,000     | —            | —            | —            |
| Other Funds              | —           | —            | —            | —            | —            | —            |
| **Total expenditures**   | $17,631,000 | $18,539,000  | $19,698,000  | $20,831,000  | $22,094,000  | $23,493,000  |

(A) — Represents difference between $350,000 appropriated in FY 1976 compounded at 6% rate and the restricted funds available for Shepherd and Jones
(B) — Salaries and operating expenses have been increased at a compounded rate of 6%
(C) — Utilities have been increased at a compounded rate of 10%
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Concert series here continues on Tuesday

Continuing its program of free concerts, the Shepherd School of Music is presenting two concerts this week. Tripp and pianist Drusilla Huffmain to Rice next Tuesday. Tripp is solo flautist with the Vienna Philharmonic Orchestra and Vienna State Orchestra, and Huffmain is a resident student in the School of Music at Western University.

The first half of the program features works for both piano and flute. Vincenzo Sartori, in D Major Drusilla Huffmain to Rice next Tuesday. Tripp is solo flautist with the Vienna Philharmonic Orchestra and Vienna State Orchestra, and Huffmain is a resident student in the School of Music at Western University.

The first half of the program features works for both piano and flute. Vincenzo Sartori, in D Major, Op. 27, No. 2 is also included, and the program also includes Prokofiev's Sonata No. 2, Prokofiev's Sonata No. 2 in D Major, Op. 54, for flute and piano.

Tripp has received numerous awards including the Mozart Flute Award, a prize for the best Mozart recording of the year (1975) given by the Mozart Society of Vienna, while Huffmain divides her time between teaching and performing. She is a faculty member of the National Guild of Piano Teachers, Delta Omicron, and is a recording artist of the Piano Guild Library.

The piano flute concert will be in Hamman Hall, at 8:30pm Tuesday, April 20. It is open to the public without charge; for this concert, it is not necessary to pick up tickets for admission in advance.

—pete harland

Watergate flick eschews moralism

All the President's Men Starring Dustin Hoffman and Robert Redford

At area theaters

We've all followed the Watergate hearings on television until it has become a favorite national scandal, just ripe for exploitation. Not only Woodward and Bernstein get credit for cracking the whole story, but the authors themselves made a fortune with their book describing how they managed it. Now the story to make even more with the new Redford-Hoffman movie version of All the President's Men. It's a great film—that simple.

Of course, William Goldman had a wonderful plot to work with, so incredible that it would have been unusable if it had been fiction. His adaptation of the reporters' book keeps in all the natural quality of the newsroom and the fumbling, ineptitude of the reporters.

Redford as Woodward and Hoffman as Bernstein are well-cast, playing the parts of daring young reporters to the hilt. Director Alan Pakula has let himself go in this film—doing everything necessary to recreate an actual newsroom environment and the change to the obscure, threatening world outside. His goal was to film the drama of investigative reporting, and that's certainly what he's managed to do. Even if you know the whole story of the uncovering of the cover-up, it's an exciting plot to watch.

With the help of Gordon Willis' superb photography, Pakula has made a really entertaining film, eschewing the idiocy of the Washington crowd and the increasingly believable facts of that Woodward and Bernstein worked against. Switching back and forth between humor and drama as often as it does between brightness and shadows, the film carries the audience away.

Watergate may have been a terrible thing, but All the President's Men isn't designed to be a moralistic afternoon at the movies while the director preaches to the audience. It's an exciting adventure story, spiced by the fact that it's true. Draw your own conclusions about the morality of both the White House and The Washington Post.

—elaine bonilla

by ELAINE BONILLA

It's time for the annual Thresher Theater Awards. The Thresher Fine Arts staff got together over the weekend and considered all of the plays that had been produced on the Rice campus this year. These are the nominations that we came up with:

For best production: Hello, Hamlet! at Wiess; Henry IV, Part I at Baker; the Rice Players' Jumpers and The Maid; and You're a Good Man, Charlie Brown at Wiess.

For best actor in a dramatic play: Kevin Allen for Henry IV, Neil Havens for Jumpers, Andy Kappel for Henry IV, James Logan for Henry IV, and John Merkling for The Visit.

For best supporting actress: Nancy Dingus for Marigolds, Cathy Lankford for Marigolds, Claire Ramond for Out at Sea, Donna Yeager for Jumpers, and Donna Yeager for Out at Sea.

For best director: Matt Cooper in Hello, Hamlet! and Chris Jones in Hello, Hamlet!.

For best costume: Sue Penner in Charlie Brown, David Franklin in Hello, Hamlet!, and Chris Jones in Hello, Hamlet!.

For best makeup: Paula Penner in Charlie Brown, Frances Krouse in Charlie Brown, and Nancy Dingus in Hello, Hamlet!.

For best set: Designs for the St. Elmo's Fire — As a special feature for weary students, the Department of Theatre Under the Stars Cabaret Theater, 664-3344.

For best lighting: Kevin Allin for Hello, Hamlet!, and David Franklin in Hello, Hamlet!.

For best supporting actor: Greg Alexander in Hello, Hamlet!, Ben Battershell in Hello, Hamlet!, and Chris Jones in Hello, Hamlet!.

For best supporting actress: Jane Williams in Hello, Hamlet!

For best actress in a musical: Paula Penner in Charlie Brown, Frances Krouse in Charlie Brown, and Nancy Dingus in Hello, Hamlet!.

For best director for a musical: Matt Cooper in Hello, Hamlet! and Chris Jones in Hello, Hamlet!.

For best musical: Hello, Hamlet! and Chris Jones in Hello, Hamlet!.

For best costume: Sue Penner in Charlie Brown, David Franklin in Hello, Hamlet!, and Chris Jones in Hello, Hamlet!.

For best makeup: Paula Penner in Charlie Brown, Frances Krouse in Charlie Brown, and Nancy Dingus in Hello, Hamlet!.

For best set: Designs for the St. Elmo's Fire — As a special feature for weary students, the Department of Theatre Under the Stars Cabaret Theater, 664-3344.

For best lighting: Kevin Allin for Hello, Hamlet!, and David Franklin in Hello, Hamlet!.

For best supporting actor: Greg Alexander in Hello, Hamlet!, Ben Battershell in Hello, Hamlet!, and Chris Jones in Hello, Hamlet!.

For best supporting actress: Jane Williams in Hello, Hamlet!

For best actress in a musical: Paula Penner in Charlie Brown, Frances Krouse in Charlie Brown, and Nancy Dingus in Hello, Hamlet!.

For best director for a musical: Matt Cooper in Hello, Hamlet! and Chris Jones in Hello, Hamlet!.

For best musical: Hello, Hamlet! and Chris Jones in Hello, Hamlet!.

For best costume: Sue Penner in Charlie Brown, David Franklin in Hello, Hamlet!, and Chris Jones in Hello, Hamlet!.

For best makeup: Paula Penner in Charlie Brown, Frances Krouse in Charlie Brown, and Nancy Dingus in Hello, Hamlet!.

For best set: Designs for the St. Elmo's Fire — As a special feature for weary students, the Department of Theatre Under the Stars Cabaret Theater, 664-3344.

For best lighting: Kevin Allin for Hello, Hamlet!, and David Franklin in Hello, Hamlet!.

For best supporting actor: Greg Alexander in Hello, Hamlet!, Ben Battershell in Hello, Hamlet!, and Chris Jones in Hello, Hamlet!.

For best supporting actress: Jane Williams in Hello, Hamlet!

For best actress in a musical: Paula Penner in Charlie Brown, Frances Krouse in Charlie Brown, and Nancy Dingus in Hello, Hamlet!.

For best director for a musical: Matt Cooper in Hello, Hamlet! and Chris Jones in Hello, Hamlet!.

For best musical: Hello, Hamlet! and Chris Jones in Hello, Hamlet!.
Runners warm up against TSU at Waco Saturday

The Rice University track team easily beat Texas Southern University, 95-41, in a dual meet at the Rice track stadium on Saturday, April 21. Rice so dominated the meet that the Owls scored 1-2-3 sweeps in five events: 120 high hurdles, mile run, 440 intermediate hurdles, two-mile run, and javelin.

There were some outstanding individual performances for the Owl team, and several seasonal bests were set. In the mile run, Jeff Wills edged out teammate Ropy Trup for the victory as both were timed in 4:07.1. John Lodwick was close behind in third with a career-best of 4:58.0. Trup’s time was also a career-best, and he and Lodwick are now the second and third fastest milers in Rice track history.

Buddy Briscoe improved on his best discus throw of the year, with a winning toss of 174 feet. Chris Pechoux scaled 15 feet in the pole vault and Curtis Isiah led the high hurdles sweep with a 14.1 clocking, for two of the more outstanding performances of the meet.

Even without star sprinter Zach Johnson, who is still recovering from an injury sustained last weekend, the Rice sprint relay was still able to defeat TSU. The team of John Dykes, Sammy Waugh, Carlton Derrett, and Mike Fulghum sped to a 41.4 victory. The Owl mile relay was also victorious, as the team of David Beyer, Herb Kinney, Karl Abies, and Waugh timed 3:12.5, their best time of the year.

Other winners for Rice were Waugh in the 440 at 48.5, Beyer in the 880 in 1:52.1, Isiah in the 440 hurdles at 53.7, and Larry Nettles in the two-mile run at 9:25.5. In the field events, Bruce Atkinson won the javelin with a heave of 221 feet, and Steve Hoerner shot the shot put with 51’11”, which was another career best. This weekend the Owl trackmen compete in the Baylor Invitational at Waco, a meet in which they were named outstanding team last year.

************** JOCK NOTES **************

Women’s Soccer — The Rice women’s soccer team tied UT women 1-1 last Saturday.

Track — Lovett took the men’s half college track meet with 60 1/3 points. Baker, Wiess, and Richardson placed second, third, and fourth. Brown amassed 60 points to edge Jones, with 56%. Baker and Hansanen followed in that order. In the Masters’ 100-yard dash, Steven Kleinberg of Lovett beat Bill Martin by a full second, with a 12.1. Stewart Baker of Wiess and James Castaneda of Will Rice trailed, both with a 13.2.

Aggie Joke — In one of the Owls’ recent games the Texas A&M, Rice’s Mike Macha was on third base. The Aggie’s pitcher stepped off the mound and went into the appeal routine, throwing the ball to Bob, a member of the Rice tennis team, on the condition that Bob play left-handed. Admission is free and all members of the press are welcome to this most unique and exciting match.
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Owls Ramirez, Hodge kiss away Cougars’ SWC hopes

by CHRIS JAGMIN

The Rice Owls dealt a severe blow to the University of Houston’s SWC Championship hopes, sweeping a doubleheader Saturday 8-1, 7-2, after losing in 10 innings Friday, 9-4. The Owls, loose and thoroughly enjoying themselves, pounded out 29 hits and tightened up their defense enough to overcome the Cougars, who showed the strain of a close pennant race.

In the opener, Steve Buckley, constantly working himself out of jams, held the Cougars to four runs through nine innings, and the Owls came back from a 4-2 deficit to send the game into extra innings. But in the tenth, after giving up a single to the lead-off batter, Buckley departed in favor of Tim Holder. Three errors followed in the inning emptied both benches and the game was headed into extra innings. But in the tenth, after giving up a single to the lead-off batter, Buckley departed in favor of Tim Holder. Three errors followed in the inning emptied both benches.

In the tenth, after giving up a single to the lead-off batter, Buckley departed in favor of Tim Holder. Three errors followed in the inning emptied both benches. But in the tenth, after giving up a single to the lead-off batter, Buckley departed in favor of Tim Holder. Three errors followed in the inning emptied both benches. But in the tenth, after giving up a single to the lead-off batter, Buckley departed in favor of Tim Holder. Three errors followed in the inning emptied both benches. But in the tenth, after giving up a single to the lead-off batter, Buckley departed in favor of Tim Holder. Three errors followed in the inning emptied both benches.

In the tenth, after giving up a single to the lead-off batter, Buckley departed in favor of Tim Holder. Three errors followed in the inning emptied both benches. But in the tenth, after giving up a single to the lead-off batter, Buckley departed in favor of Tim Holder. Three errors followed in the inning emptied both benches. But in the tenth, after giving up a single to the lead-off batter, Buckley departed in favor of Tim Holder. Three errors followed in the inning emptied both benches.

In the opener, Steve Buckley, constantly working himself out of jams, held the Cougars to four runs through nine innings, and the Owls came back from a 4-2 deficit to send the game into extra innings. But in the tenth, after giving up a single to the lead-off batter, Buckley departed in favor of Tim Holder. Three errors followed in the inning emptied both benches. But in the tenth, after giving up a single to the lead-off batter, Buckley departed in favor of Tim Holder. Three errors followed in the inning emptied both benches.

In the opener, Steve Buckley, constantly working himself out of jams, held the Cougars to four runs through nine innings, and the Owls came back from a 4-2 deficit to send the game into extra innings. But in the tenth, after giving up a single to the lead-off batter, Buckley departed in favor of Tim Holder. Three errors followed in the inning emptied both benches. But in the tenth, after giving up a single to the lead-off batter, Buckley departed in favor of Tim Holder. Three errors followed in the inning emptied both benches. But in the tenth, after giving up a single to the lead-off batter, Buckley departed in favor of Tim Holder. Three errors followed in the inning emptied both benches. But in the tenth, after giving up a single to the lead-off batter, Buckley departed in favor of Tim Holder. Three errors followed in the inning emptied both benches.

In the opener, Steve Buckley, constantly working himself out of jams, held the Cougars to four runs through nine innings, and the Owls came back from a 4-2 deficit to send the game into extra innings. But in the tenth, after giving up a single to the lead-off batter, Buckley departed in favor of Tim Holder. Three errors followed in the inning emptied both benches. But in the tenth, after giving up a single to the lead-off batter, Buckley departed in favor of Tim Holder. Three errors followed in the inning emptied both benches. But in the tenth, after giving up a single to the lead-off batter, Buckley departed in favor of Tim Holder. Three errors followed in the inning emptied both benches. But in the tenth, after giving up a single to the lead-off batter, Buckley departed in favor of Tim Holder. Three errors followed in the inning emptied both benches. But in the tenth, after giving up a single to the lead-off batter, Buckley departed in favor of Tim Holder. Three errors followed in the inning emptied both benches. But in the tenth, after giving up a single to the lead-off batter, Buckley departed in favor of Tim Holder. Three errors followed in the inning emptied both benches.
Vandiver

misclassifieds

Call 526-8849 if interested in a summer job harvesting wheat in parts north. Some experience with Massey Ferguson or John-Deere combines preferable, but not required.

---


---

For sale: 1974 Honda CB360G; excellent condition; 45 mpg; $750; call 741-1097.

---

I lost the score to Handel's Messiah (red cover) somewhere between the Pub and the Media Center while drunk. Please turn it in to the library after 5.

---

It was a shoutout. George won, 7-0.

---

Dear "Heal 229":

If you think you can do better, try it. And the name is Dian.

P.S. Is the rest of the class willing to take responsibility for you? Or is that why you're afraid to sign your name?

---


---

J.V. Beware the Fruit Salad Club.

---

$3summer Job$3

Food, Rent, Travel, MGT TRNG Options, Army ROTC 027-4896

---

Need a room for the summer and/or next year? $150 for a room (furnished) in a house 2 miles from Rice. Bills paid. Must be non-smoker. Call 664-5899 after 7.

---

Found: one white sweater at 1300 North Blvd. party. Call 477-6160.

---

"That Rabbit has a vicious streak a mile wide." Tim the Sorcerer

---

$300 Stipend MGT Trainee course w/Laboratory Mill Sci 027-8456

---

Star Lord

Thank you for the compliment. It's not often one gets anonymous notes from secret admirers.

---

Spawning the globe to bring you the constant monotony of sin

The "thrills" of the flash and the agony of its death

The human drama of selfish competition.

This is Satan's Wide World of Sin.

---

Think about it.

---

Does the R stand for Royally, Raw, or Royalty?

---

Room & Board (Large Room, Private Bath) in exchange for babysitting two children, ages 7 and 3. 2154 Rydger, 664-3246

---

'74 Kawasaki Mach III. Like new. $1100. Call 477-6160.

---

Like new. $1100. Call 477-6160.

---

"That Rabbit has a vicious streak a mile wide." Tim the Sorcerer

---

Ralph Renault—$500—522-7482

---

If you own a black mixed-breed dog about 18 inches tall with grey-tined hair that's about 8 inches long, your dog may be one that bit me in the stadium parking lot Saturday afternoon. I hear you no ill feelings but I will soon if I have to start a series of rabies injections. If you say fits this description and might have been running loose at the stadium Saturday, please call 526-5402.

---

This is the lowest price system you can buy that delivers the full range of audible sound...

...because the smallest Advent loudspeaker, for $92, is the least expensive speaker because the smaller Advent loudspeaker, for $92, is the least expensive speaker...
**the rice people's calendar**

**Thursday the fifteenth**

6pm. Common's. Fried chicken.
7:05pm. KTRU. Up in the Air. Columnist Lynn Ashby, of the Houston Post. Call 526-6268.
10pm. KTRU. Feature Album: You Can't Argue With a Sick Mind, by Joe Walsh.

12m. Does the IRS have all your red tape?

**Friday the sixteenth**

Good Friday; beginning of Easter break.
7:30pm. Media Center. The Promised Land (Milestone '69). $1.

7:30pm. HB224. Rice Christian Community meeting.

**Saturday the seventeenth**

2pm. Media Center. Three short features by Lewis Milestone, with subtitles. 1961), with Marilyn Monroe and Clark Gable. $1.50.
1pm. Baseball. Lamar at Rice.
2pm. Media Center Auditorium, Dr. Martin Eidelberg, Art Nouveau. $1.
3:30pm (?). Room. EREF Lecture: "Disseminating Factual Energy Information to the Public." with Dr. Rudy Thall.
8am. Break ends: only one more week. .
8am. Break ends: only one more week. .
10pm. KTRU. Feature Album: You Can't Argue With a Sick Mind, by Joe Walsh.

**Sunday the eighteenth**

2pm. Media Center. The Shop on Main Street (Jan Kodar, 1965). With subtitles. The director will also be on hand to comment on the film.
11:23pm. You won't believe this, but nothing else is happening all day.

**Monday the nineteenth**

8am. Break ends: only one more week. ...
4pm. One week since Why Bother- set in.
9pm. Media Center Auditorium. Dr. Martin Eidelberg, Art Nouveau. $1.
8pm. Common's. Fried chicken.
10pm. KTRU. Feature Album: You Can't Argue With a Sick Mind, by Joe Walsh.

**Tuesday the twentieth**

1pm. Baseball. Lamar at Rice.
7:30pm. Media Center. Weekend (Goddard, 1968). In French, with subtitles. $1.
8:30pm. Hamman Hall. Shepherd School of Music special concert: Dussilla Huffmaster, piano, Werner Tripp, flute. $1.
10pm. Media Center. Weekend.

**Wednesday the twenty-second**

3:30pm (?). R Room. EREF Lecture: "Disseminating Factual Energy Information to the Public." $1.
7:30pm. Media Center. Several recent underground films. $1.
8pm. Common's. Fried chicken.

3:30pm. Media Center. Weekend (Goddard, 1968). In French, with subtitles. $1.
8:30pm. Hamman Hall. Shepherd School of Music special concert: Dussilla Huffmaster, piano, Werner Tripp, flute. $1.
10pm. Media Center. Weekend.

**Thursday the twenty-third**

6pm. Last Threshor
7pm-10pm. Constellation Room, 2nd floor, Continuing Education Center, U of H. National Park Service public workshop on proposed uses of the Big Thicket.
7:30pm. Media Center. Two or Three Things I Know About Her (Goddard, 1966). $1. Also at 10.

**Friday the twenty-third**

**LOST — An up-dated Constitution and a list of the new officers of all SA-dependent organizations is now due in the Student Association office on the second floor of the RMC. Failure to do so could result in the dissolution of the organization.**

**Pay up — Physics 112b costs to the Physics Department vary slightly before the end of classes.**

**Gotcha — In recent actions by the Proctor:**

1. A student has lost credit on an examination, which has been regraded, and has been placed on disciplinary probation until May 14, 1977, because of an Honor Code violation.
2. A student has lost credit in a course and has been placed on disciplinary probation for the remainder of his undergraduate academic career because of an Honor Code violation.
3. A student has been placed on disciplinary probation until November 1, 1976, and has been barred from the physical confines of his residential college until May 5, 1977, for having shot firecrackers.

**Rings — Orders for the 1977 Rice ring will be taken on Thursday, April 22, and Friday, April 23, 1976, from 8am until 2:30pm in the lobby of the Rice Memorial Center. A $10 nonreturnable deposit will be required at the time the order is taken for the ring.**

**Grads — On Tuesday, April 27, a commencement will be held to determine the number of the GSA membership on voluntary participation in the Health Service. A proposed increase in the GSA dues for the current $3.00 to $5.00.**

Hello, Hamlet! — Will all cast members and technical staff from Wiesse Tabletop's Hello, Hamlet! please contact Bob Rosalont, 526-7257, Ben Battershall, 526-3583, or during any meal at the Rice. This is very important!