FLOWERS FOR ALGERNON

Five out-of-eight hands covering the musical spectrum from hard rock to pop; a talented folklorian; an electrifying dancing maids in skimpy costumes, yet;
FLOWERS FOR ALGERNON.

Liquid Blue, Fox and Games, Saturnella, The Michael, The Sherlock and Hill Haymes of "Thyme;" FLOWERS FOR ALGERNON.

Thursday night with nothing to do, no way to get there, not much cash; Saturday night, 7-30-10-00, walk to the Rice Gym, $1.50 for Rice students; FLOWERS FOR ALGERNON. Anti-imperialistic; sponsored by the ICC to provide an excellent show at a reasonable price for future projects; FLOWERS FOR ALGERNON.
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Individual's rights violated by a few

Dear Dr. Vanderlip:

The abridgment of individual rights is intolerable in a true democracy. For all this protest and disorder is currently less than half the present basic individual's rights. The right of protest or dissent (or for that matter any activity) should not be abused, however.

Such was the case Monday November 16, when a group of students virtually sealed off the hallway to the Placement Office in protest over CIA recruiting. All appointments were cancelled — either for Monday or the rest of the week. This was a practical matter, during the period when only one of them had been subsequently contacted by the CIA. Clearly, however, "getting rid" of CIA representatives is not the issue in question.

The actions of this protest group were in clear violation of our individual rights as members of the Rice Community. It is apparent that some members of the group appear to be led, if not seduced, by the practices of a few. This is an issue which must be set upon themselves to decide what is right for all. In this particular case we are currently not being bothered, for whatever reason, that the CIA is allowed to recruit on campus recruiting and assumed the task making such activities recruiting impertinent.

Because of the form of Monday's protest, this group of students has in effect voluntarily dictated who will be allowed on campus and thus, who Rice students should be allowed to see. These presumptuous attitudes and actions with respect to the views and beliefs of others is absolutely condemnatory to a democratic society, and in particular, to freedom of movement and expression in an academic environment. Eventually, it should be made clear that Monday's protest clearly went beyond past protests of CIA recruiting and was essentially uncoercive, to coercion and thus, the CIA's present context of CIA representatives, but those students being interviewed. What's more theCCA is a group of individuals directly concerned in this issue... that Rice students are not "led" by the CIA. We are led by listening. Many of the criticisms that have been made about the CIA's recruiting procedures is the same, or those targets have been sufficiently contacted by the CIA. Clearly, however, "getting rid" of CIA representatives is not the issue in question.
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Braton calls for rational discourse to present evidence

To the Editor:

I am writing in response to Mr. Elkins's letter, which appeared in last week's Thresher. If you have not read it, you may be surprised to learn that many of us believe that the results of Mr. Elkins's discussion of the protests on campus were not presented in an objective manner. Mr. Elkins, I believe, is attempting to present a biased view of the situation. We consider the results of his discussion to be incomplete, and we believe that Mr. Elkins may have failed to consider all the evidence.

I hope that you will allow me to present the results of my research. My research indicates that Mr. Elkins has failed to present a balanced view of the situation.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

To the Editor:

I am writing in response to Mr. Elkins's letter, which appeared in last week's Thresher. In my research, I have found evidence to support my claims. I believe that Mr. Elkins has failed to consider all the evidence.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
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I am writing in response to Mr. Elkins's letter, which appeared in last week's Thresher. I believe that Mr. Elkins has failed to consider all the evidence. I urge you to consider all the evidence presented in my research.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Dear Karolyn:

I should like to use this means to again request that you meet with me to discuss your actions following the demonstration in the fallout area in the BMC against the CIA recruiters on Monday, November 10, 1969. Specifically, I should like to discuss with you, before you pursue further with this case, the following matters: your actions taken to intentionally intercept my attempts to ascertain the identity of a young man participating in the demonstration; your actions taken, together with two other students, to physically block my passage along the hallway; and your continuation in intentionally blocking my passage along the hallway in spite of my warning to you that if you did not immediately stop blocking my passage you would be subject to disciplinary action.

It is my sincere hope, Karolyn, that you will examine the serious nature of your actions and that you will respond to this request to discuss these matters with me before I proceed further with this case. Sincerely, F. Wirsum

Coach Mehaffey will fly his brand new flock of birds at Autry Gym December 1st.

BE THERE! (6:00 P.M.)
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New Blue Cheer grinds out dirt, rests defense

By CHIP MATTHEWS
Rice 7, A&M 6. The second time in 13 years that the Battle of Southeast Texas ended that way. And this one, in its own way, perhaps no less significant than the other. In 1957 the King Hill-Frank Ryan Owls detailed the Farmers' hopes for a national title and won a SWC crown themselves. Under far different circumstances the Mike Spruill-Mike Tyler Owls may have saved a man's job and turned the corner to a better future for what has been a slow and painful rebuilding process.

To this observer the keys to Saturday's victory were that the Owls simply out-executed the Ags while avoiding the two pitfalls discussed last week—easy opposing scores and a third-quarter disaster. The Ags did in fact have a pair of easy scoring opportunities — both in the third quarter, too — but the defense rose to the occasion and got to the fourth quarter with a lead and a wind at their backs. Those goal-line stands might well have been made possible because the defense, for a change, was rested.

The Owl offense was able to play ball-rooted for a change because the offense in general, and the line in particular, simply beat the people they were supposed to beat. Newhere was that more apparent than at the end of the game, when Rice preserved a lead by hanging on to the ball for the last five minutes. Had the Owls been forced to give up the ball, though, it would have been a well-rested defense instead of the eleven tired men who would have gone between the chalk lines to stop the Farmers.

The Owls have apparently jelled just at that point in their schedule when they face the three conference foes—A&M, TCU, and Baylor—that they figure to have good chances of beating. Three closing victories would mean 6-4 for the season, 3-4 for SWC play, and fourth place in a seven high school quarterbacks, and while some were necessarily forced into new positions this year—a painful adjustment — all will get shots at the varsity lineup shifts are made permanent.

To add to the momentum such a finish would surely produce are some several stouts from the freshmen. The rookies were only 1-4, which won't raise many eyebrows. However the opposition consisted of the premier recruiting crops of the area — Texas, A&M, and LSU — and the games were usually close with the losses being the fault of offensive mistakes, which were the fault of poor timing, which in turn the fault of having to run scout squad plays with the snakes instead of being able to work on their own stuff. The biggest names figure to be receivers Ron Arcondez and Gary Butler, linemen Herb Meischen and Nick Fowler, linebackers Laffey Broshers, and backs Bill Charles, Mike McDonald, Bruce Gaddy, Jody Turner, and Bubba Berg.

The freshman roster included seven high school quarterbacks, and while some were necessarily forced into new positions this year—a painful adjustment — all will get shots at the varsity non-under job before any position shifts are made permanent. Since most high school teams put their best athlete at quarterback, having so many of them has to imply a bright future. Look for Arcondez to give Rice another fine wide receiver—his 25 catches were an Owlet record.
Mahler’s Symphony 7 draws an overpowering performance

This gigantic, 82-minute masterpiece, the last played by all Mahler’s works in this form, was an overpowering experience for this reviewer and the audience at Jones Hall, and in the end resulted in a chorus of bravos and cheers for Almeida and his musicians. This represented Almeida’s last appearance for this season (he will return again in the 1970-71 season as principal conductor) and he could have chosen no more difficult work for his farewell.

In his own right, spared neither us nor the orchestra in his symphonies, he makes use of virtually every instrument in the orchestra, and then adds a few odd items, such as a guitar, mandolin and cobweb for good measure. The fact that any orchestra can get through this symphony is a miracle. That the Houston Symphony came through with flying colors is a tribute to the guiding hand of Almeida during these past weeks. Strings and woodwinds outdid themselves and even the over-worked brass made fewer fluffs than usual. Special mention should be made of the percussion section for their magnificent construction.

The Mahler symphony occupied the entire second half of the program. Earlier, distinguished horn virtuoso Barry Tuckwell was heard as soloist in the horn concerto No. 3 by Mozart, and as the opening work we heard Almeida’s own arrangement of Suite from the Water Music by Handel.

Mr. Tuckwell (well known as the former principal horn soloist for the London Symphony Orchestra) negotiated the difficult passages in the Mozart concertos with apparent ease, and was given understanding support by Almeida and the orchestra.

But Mahler was the star of the evening, and there can be no longer any excuse for the neglect given to his vast works and those of his great teacher, Anton Bruckner. Mahler and Bruckner’s works are now commonplace in New York, and the Houston management should believe that, given good performance, they would not be a success in Houston. It is time for our city to awaken and discover the fact that we are on the threshold of having a great symphony orchestra in our midst. What is needed most is a permanent music director, and serious consideration should be given to this problem at the earliest possible moment.

—THOMAS ZIMMERMANN

Notes and Notices

Turkey Shot—First annual vaudeville roast turkey shoot, Rice, Gym rifle range, 1-7 shots. Open today through Nov. 29, 9-2 p.m., 2:30-7 p.m., 7-2 p.m. on weekends. First prize $10 certificate for a turkey; special men’s shotguns will be awarded. * * *

Chili Jubilee — Thanksgiving Magic Show and Chili Jubilee. To celebrate the national student American Institute of Architects convention, BMC students are planning. Monday and Tuesday, 3:30-5:30 p.m. Free chili, movies and music both nights. * * *

Legacy of Blackmen — Program continues through Saturday at University of Houston, with Don Lee, pool-associate tonight at 8 p.m in the Houston Room of the University Center. The C.U.E. just made their second screening of “12:30 tomorrow in the UC Aeron, and the Friends of Distinction concert Saturday in the Houston Room at 9:30 p.m. person. Ken.

Check Cashed for Rice Student

Aaron Lee

Enco Service

2238 Rice Blvd. — 7012-1109 — 194

Mechanical On Duty

Discussions, these, term paper. Expert typist with degree in English, IBM electric, reasonable rates. Also editing, considerable experience.—110-4012

ATTENTION POOL PLAYERS! YOUCAN JOIN NOW IN YOUR MEMBERSHIP CARD AT

America's Finest Billiard Clubs No Other Membership Necessary

“In The Village”—2458 Rice Blvd.

LOCATED ABOVE

LE-CUNE-BRUNSWICK SHOWROOM

OPEN 8:00 A.M.—3:00 A.M. DAILY

12 NS THURSDAY—1 MIDNIGHT, SUNDAY

Downtown—1104 Rusk at Fanzin

Open Table—Open 24 Hours, 7 Days a Week

the rice thresher, november 20, 1969—page 6