

# ALGEBRAIC CYCLES AND HOLOMORPHIC CONVEXITY\*

*by Aldo Andreotti*

## 1. *Recollection of Known Facts*

Let  $X$  be a complex manifold,  $D \subset \subset X$  a relatively compact open subset of  $X$  with smooth boundary. We actually will assume

$$D = \{x \in X \mid \phi(x) < 0\}$$

where  $\phi$  is a  $C^\infty$  function on  $X$  and  $d\phi \neq 0$  on  $\partial D = \bar{D} - D$ .

Let  $\mathcal{H}(D) = H^0(D, \mathcal{O})$  the space of holomorphic functions on  $D$  and let  $z_0 \in \partial D$ . We will say that  $f \in \mathcal{H}(D)$  is (holomorphically) *extendible over*  $z_0$  if there exists a neighborhood  $V(z_0)$  of  $z_0$  and  $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{H}(D \cup V(z_0))$  with  $\hat{f}|_D = f$ .

The domain  $D$  is called a domain of holomorphy (a good domain of holomorphy) if for each  $z_0 \in \partial D \exists f \in \mathcal{H}(D)$  not extendible over  $z_0$  (and  $\mathcal{H}(D)$  separate points).

*The Theorem of E. E. Levi asserts that if  $D$  is a domain of holomorphy then the hermitian form*

$$(*) \quad \mathcal{L}(\phi)_{T_{z_0}} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}(\phi) \equiv \sum \left( \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial z_\alpha \partial \bar{z}_\beta} \right)_{z_0} u^\alpha \bar{u}^\beta \\ \text{restricted to} & (z_\alpha \text{ local coordinates at } z_0) \\ \sum \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z_0} \right)_{z_0} u^\alpha = 0 \end{cases}$$

*has no negative eigenvalue.*

The Levi problem asks if condition (\*) is sufficient to ensure that  $D$  is a domain of holomorphy.

An example of Grauert shows that this is not the case unless  $\mathcal{L}(\phi)|_{T_{z_0}}$  is non degenerate. He has proved

---

\* Research supported in part by the Office of Scientific Research, Office of Aerospace Research, United States Air Force, under AFOSR contract AF49 (638) 1345, at Stanford University, Stanford, California.

**Theorem of Grauert** [4]. *If  $D$  satisfies (\*) and  $\mathcal{L}(\phi)|_{T_{z_0}}$  is non degenerate then  $D$  is a domain of holomorphy.*

(Moreover if  $\phi$  can be chosen so that  $\mathcal{L}(\phi) > 0$  on all of the  $D$  then  $D$  is a good domain of holomorphy.)

## 2. Extension to Higher Cohomology Groups

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a locally free sheaf on  $X$ , for example,  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}$  or  $\mathcal{F} = \Omega^d$  the sheaf of holomorphic  $d$ -forms.

We will call  $D$  a  $d$ -domain of holomorphy (for  $\mathcal{F}$ ) if  $\forall z_0 \in \partial D \exists \xi \in H^d(D, \mathcal{F})$  which is not extendible over  $z_0$ . (The notion of extendibility being as that for  $H^0$ .)

**Levi Theorem** [1]. *If  $D$  is a  $d$ -domain of holomorphy (for a locally free sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$ ) then  $\forall z_0 \in \partial D$*

$$(*)_d \quad \begin{array}{ll} \text{in } \mathcal{L}(\phi)|_{T_{z_0}} & \text{number of negative eigenvalues } \leq d \\ \text{in } \mathcal{L}(\phi)|_{T_{z_0}} & \text{number of positive eigenvalues } \leq n-d-1. \end{array}$$

One can consider the  $d$ -Levi-problem and as before the Grauert example shows that for it to be solvable we have to assume that  $\forall z_0 \in \partial D \mathcal{L}(\phi)|_{T_{z_0}}$  is non degenerate. Actually then one can prove the following:

**Theorem** [1]. *If  $D$  satisfies  $(*)_d$  and  $\mathcal{L}(\phi)|_{T_{z_0}}$  is non degenerate  $\forall z_0 \in D$  then  $D$  is a  $d$ -domain of holomorphy for any locally free sheaf.*

A domain  $D$  satisfying the hypothesis of the theorem will be called *strictly  $d$ -convex*.

If  $\phi$  can be chosen so that  $\mathcal{L}(\phi)$  has at least  $n-d$  positive eigenvalues on  $D$  then  $D$  will be called *strictly  $d$ -complete*.

**Remarks.** We cannot talk about good  $d$ -domains of holomorphy since a cohomology class cannot be evaluated at a point.

It is this gap that has somehow motivated the considerations of the next section.

## 3. Holomorphic Convexity and Cycles

(a) We recall that  $D$  is called *holomorphically convex* if for any divergent sequence  $\{x_v\} \subset D$  we can find  $f \in \mathcal{H}(D)$  with

$$\sup |f(x_v)| = \infty.$$

Moreover if  $\mathcal{H}(D)$  separate points on  $D$  then  $D$  is called *holomorphically complete*.

Let us consider the following space

$$\begin{aligned} C_0^+(D) &= \{ \sum n_i p_i \mid n_i \text{ integer } \geq 0, \text{ almost all } n_i = 0, p_i \in D \} \\ &= D \cup D^{(2)} \cup D^{(3)} \cup \dots \end{aligned}$$

where the union is disjoint union and  $D^{(s)}$  =  $s$ -fold symmetric product. The space  $C_0^+(D)$  is an analytic space and we have a natural linear map:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_0: H^0(D, \mathcal{O}) &\rightarrow H^0(C_0^+(D), \mathcal{O}) \\ \rho_0(f) &= \sum n_i f(p_i). \end{aligned}$$

Note that holomorphic convexity (completeness) of  $D$  implies holomorphic convexity (completeness) of  $C_0^+(D)$  and these properties are already exhibited by  $\text{im } \rho_0$ .

(b) Let us assume that  $X$  is a projective algebraic manifold. Let

$$C_d^+(D) = \{ \sum n_i A_i \mid n_i \text{ integers } \geq 0, \text{ almost all } 0, A_i \text{ irreducible compact analytic subset of } D, \dim_c A_i = d \}.$$

It is known that  $C_d^+(D)$  has a natural weakly normal structure of a locally algebraic variety. (Weakly normal means that continuous functions holomorphic at non singular points are holomorphic.)

*Example 1.*  $D = X = P_m(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $d = n-1$  then

$$C_{n-1}^+(P_n(\mathbb{C})) = P_n(\mathbb{C}) \cup P_{\binom{n+2}{2}-1}(\mathbb{C}) \cup \dots$$

*Example 2.*  $D = P_n(\mathbb{C}) - \text{pt.}$ ,  $d = n-1$  then

$$C_{n-1}^+(D) = \mathbb{C}^n \cup \mathbb{C}^{\binom{n+2}{2}-1} \cup \dots$$

Let us take as analogue of  $H^0(D, \mathcal{O})$  the space  $H^d(D, \Omega^d)$ . Given  $\xi \in H^d(D, \Omega^d)$ , this is represented by a  $C^\infty$  form  $\phi^{dd}$  of type  $(d, d)$ ,  $\bar{\partial}\phi^{dd} = 0$  given up to the addition of a  $\bar{\partial}$  of a  $C^\infty$  form  $\mu$  of type  $(d, d-1)$ .

By a theorem of Lelong  $\forall c \in C_d^+(D)$ ,  $\int_c \phi^{dd}$  exists and moreover  $\int_c \bar{\partial}\mu^{dd-1} = 0$ , thus

$$\zeta(c) = \int_c \phi^{dd}$$

is a well-defined function on  $C_d^+(D)$ .

**Theorem** [2]. 1) *The function  $\zeta(c)$  is holomorphic so that we get a linear map*

$$\rho_0: H^d(D, \Omega^d) \rightarrow H^0(C_d^+(D), \mathcal{O}).$$

2) If  $D$  is strictly  $d$ -convex then for any divergent sequence  $\{c_v\}$  in  $C_d^+(D)$  we can find  $\xi \in H^d(D, \Omega^d)$  such that

$$\sup |\xi(c_v)| = \infty.$$

3) If  $D$  is strictly  $d$ -complete then given  $c_1, c_2 \in C_d^+(D)$ ,  $c_1 \neq c_2$  exists  $\xi \in H^d(D, \Omega^d)$  with

$$\xi(c_1) \neq \xi(c_2).$$

This theorem tells us therefore that if  $D$  is strictly  $d$ -convex then  $C_d^+(D)$  is holomorphically convex and if moreover  $D$  is  $d$ -complete then  $C_d^+(D)$  is holomorphically complete.

(c) These results can be extended to manifolds  $X$  without boundary when these are union of increasing sequences of strictly  $d$ -convex ( $d$ -complete) domains which satisfy a certain Runge condition.

In particular if  $X$  is obtained by removing from a compact projective algebraic manifold  $Z$  a non singular subvariety  $Y$  of codimension  $d + 1$  which is a complete intersection, then  $X$  has the properties of strictly  $d$ -complete domains.

The map

$$\rho_0: H^d(X, \Omega^d) \rightarrow H^0(C_d^+(X), \mathcal{O})$$

already produces infinitely many holomorphic functions on  $C_d^+(X)$ ; but in general it is not injective.

To describe the kernel of  $\rho_0$  it turns out necessary to discard as negligible all finite dimensional vector spaces (which is a "class"  $\Phi$  of abelian groups in the sense of Serre [5]). Then one can prove the following:

**Theorem [3].** For  $X$  of the type described above, the sequence:

$$H^d(X, \Omega^{d-1}) \xrightarrow{d} H^d(X, \Omega^d) \xrightarrow{\rho_0} H^0(C_d^+(X), \mathcal{O})$$

is  $\Phi$ -exact (i.e.,  $\text{im } d \cap \ker \rho_0$  is of finite codimension in  $\ker \rho_0$  and  $\text{im } d$ ).

The above theorem clearly suggests that we have started our considerations with the wrong type of groups. The most appropriate appear to be the Aeppli group

$$V^{dd}(X) = \frac{\text{Ker}\{A^{dd}(X) \xrightarrow{\partial\bar{\partial}} A^{d+1, d+1}(X)\}}{\bar{\partial}A^{d, d-1}(X) + \partial A^{d-1, d}(X)}$$

( $A^{rs}(X) = C^\infty(r, s)$  forms on  $X$ ). Indeed then the integration gives a map

$$\hat{\rho}_0: V^{dd}(X) \rightarrow H^0(C_d^+(X), \mathcal{H}),$$

$\mathcal{H}$  being the sheaf of germs of pluriharmonic (complex valued) functions. Then for a  $X$  as above  $\hat{\rho}_0$  is a  $\Phi$ -injective map [3].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] ANDREOTTI, A. AND F. NORGUET, Problème de Levi et convexité holomorphe pour les classes de cohomologie, Ann. Scuola Nor. Sup. Pisa **20** (1966), 197–241.
- [2] ———, La convexité holomorphe dans l'espace des cycles d'une variété algébrique, Ann. Scuola Nor. Sup. Pisa **21** (1967), 31–82.
- [3] ———, Cycles of algebraic manifolds and Aeppli cohomology, Ann. Scuola Nor. Sup. Pisa (to appear).
- [4] GRAUERT, H., On Levi's problem and imbeddings of real-analytic manifolds, Ann. of Math. **68** (1958), 450–472.
- [5] SERRE, J.-P., Groupes d'homotopie et classes de groupes abéliens, Ann. of Math. **58** (1953), 258–294.

UNIVERSITY OF PISA