Campanile Editor Outlines His Policy

By MIKE REYNOLDS
Editor of the Campanile

Open report to the Student Council:

As editor of the Campanile '59, I have been requested to submit to the council a progress report on the yearbook. According to the present revised edition of the constitution this report should have been submitted last April. Apparently this council feels that their latest legislation is retroactive.

I must admit at this point that I do not recognize the power of this council to instigate such a requirement, nor do I feel bound to honor this legislation. The requirement in itself implies the power of this council to change any editorial policy with which they do not agree. This implication stands in direct opposition to my conception of editorial policy.

Yet I have come before the council to say a few words, not because I am bound by law to do such, but because I wish to make my position clear for the rest of the year. I have neither the time nor the inclination to indulge in the petty back-biting and campus-level “politeness” of last year. I do not intend to defend my position, in that I will not waste my time arguing the questions one way or another.

The student section will be arranged by Colleges. To my mind there are but two divisions. There are seniors and there are underclassmen. I intend to place special emphasis on the graduating class, by putting them in a section of their own. They will also be classified alphabetically in their respective colleges.

To do this in any other manner would be denying the existence of the College system. Such a denial would seem rather ridiculous at this point. If you accept the College system we have nothing to discuss. If you do not believe in the College system, we have no common grounds for discussion.