By DOUG HARLAN

Jim Bob Doty, Wiess president; Jim Hammond, President of Hanszen; Will Rice President Tom Giesen; and Jim Rhodes, President of Baker, believe that the classes have outlived their usefulness. They say that the classes perform no particular function and are useless organizations. They believe the Student Senate to be a superior structure. The colleges can do more to fill the cultural and social needs of Rice than the classes, they believe.

JIM BOB DOTY says that the colleges “have become increasingly aware of the dilemma of off-campus students” and are prepared to solve the problem of lack of communication between off-campus students and the school. Doty believes the classes are an impedance to this.

Jim Rhodes believes that students should “direct their energy toward the colleges” where they have more opportunities for leadership and fellowship.

TOM GIESEN believes that the classes interfere with and “hinder” the work of the colleges. Tom says that being in favor of the colleges automatically puts him in opposition to the classes.

Jim Hammond says that this will result in a more unified campus — accomplished through inter-college cooperation. This, he believes, is “the only realistic plan” to achieve this goal. Both Giesen and Hammond believe the colleges should assume the duties now performed by the classes.

A voice of dissent arises from Judy Poinsett, president of Jones College. Judy says that she is “not sure” of the wisdom of abolishing the classes.

Judy believes that this is more of a complex problem than it appears to be, and she states that “more study” of the issue should be done before rushing into a rash decision. Judy expresses a desire for students to consider the problem carefully before voting so that there will be no chance of the issue being forced upon them.