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Abstract

Advances in Molecular Scale Electronics: Synthesis and Testing on Nanoscale Wires and Devices

by

Adam Madison Rawlett

Chapter 1 discusses advances in molecular scale electronics. With the miniaturization of transistors on silicon semiconductor chips comes faster processing speeds and more powerful computational power; however, certain size constraints on today's semiconductor industry will soon be realized. Therefore, a new method of computer architecture must be developed. The use of a discrete, highly conjugated organic molecule as a molecular scale wire to conduct an electric current has been demonstrated. We have developed molecular scale gates, from organic molecules, that can be altered "on" and "off" with the use of an electric field. Additionally, we have synthesized and tested nanoscale devices that exhibit negative differential resistance with a valley to peak ratio of over 1000:1 that is 10 times that of current solid-state devices and shown long lasting random access memory. The use of these molecular scale wires and devices should allow us to overcome the miniaturization barrier.
Chapter 2 describes a simple bench-top gravity column chromatography method for the purification of C₆₀, C₇₀, and the higher fullerenes C₁₀₀. The stationary phase is based on poly(dibromostyrene)/divinylbenzene and the eluent is chlorobenzene. This new stationary phase (1) uses an inexpensive monomer that can be easily polymerized by standard suspension techniques, (2) permits the use of potent fullerene solvents, and (3) can be reused without additional preparation.

Chapter 3 discusses the use of phenylene ethynylene oligomers as self assembled monolayer negative tone resist for the manufacture of even smaller semiconductor chips. With current methods of silicon etching with polymer resists, devices with sub-25 nm feature size are not obtainable. We have prepared the first self-assembled monolayer that upon irradiation acts as a negative tone resist. In addition, we have synthesized a phenylene-ethynylene substituted trichlorosilane that should crosslink with exposure to irradiation to be a superior resist material. We are currently in the process of evaluating what functionalities are necessary to form negative tone resists at lower doses of energy. This will allow the fabrication of device feature sizes below 8 nm.

Chapters 4, 5, and 6 discuss the great utility of substituted phenylene ethynlenes in the areas of cluster and surface binding study, STM patterning, and organic LEDs.
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Å  Angstrom
Ac  Acetyl
AIBN  Azoisobutylnitrile
AFM  Atomic force microscopy
Ar  Aryl
bp  Boiling Point
br  Broad (spectral)
Bu  Butyl
t-Bu  tert-Butyl
Bz  Benzyl
°C  Degrees Celsius
calcd  Calculated
CH₂Cl₂  Methylene Chloride
Cul  Copper Iodide
cm  Centimeter(s)
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d  Day(s); Doublet (spectral)
DFT  Density Functional Theory
DMF  N,N-dimethylformamide
DMSO  Dimethylsulfoxide
ε  Extinction Coefficient
Eₐ  Activation Energy
EIMS  Electron Impact Mass Spectroscopy
Et  Ethyl
Et₂O  Diethyl ether
eV  Electronvolt
FT  Fourier Transform
 g  Gram(s)
GC  Gas Chromatography
GPC  Gel Permeation Chromatography
 h  Hour(s)
HCl  Hydrochloric Acid
HF  Hydrofluoric Acid
HOMO  Highest Occupied Molecular Orbital
HPLC  High Pressure Liquid Chromatography
HRMS  High-Resolution Mass Spectrum
Hz  Hertz
IR  Infrared
I(V)  Function of Current versus Voltage
 J  Coupling Constant (in NNIR)
K  Degrees Kelvin
KBr  Potassium Bromide
K₂CO₃  Potassium Carbonate
KOH  Potassium Hydroxide
λ  Wavelength
L  Liter(s)
LED  Light Emitting Diode
LRMS  Low-Resolution Mass Spectrum
LUMO  Lowest Unoccupied Molecular Orbital
μ  Micro
m  Multiplet (spectral), Meter(s), Milli
M  Moles per Liter
Me  Methyl
MeOH  Methanol
MgSO₄  Magnesium Chloride
MHz  Megahertz
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>min</td>
<td>Minute(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mol</td>
<td>Mole(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP</td>
<td>Melting Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRAM</td>
<td>Single Molecular Random Access Memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>Mass Spectrometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW</td>
<td>Molecular Weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Equivalents per Liter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDR</td>
<td>Negative Differential Resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₄Cl</td>
<td>Ammonium Chloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nm</td>
<td>Nanometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMR</td>
<td>Nuclear Magnetic Resonance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ω</td>
<td>Ohm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>Pico, pentet (in NMR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDBS/DVB</td>
<td>Poly(dibromostyrene)/divinylbenzene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Phenyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPh₃</td>
<td>Triphenylphosphine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>Parts per Million (in NMR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVR</td>
<td>Peak to Valley Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q</td>
<td>Quartet (spectral)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>Charge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAM</td>
<td>Random Access Memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIE</td>
<td>Reactive Ion Etching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rf</td>
<td>Retention Factor (in chromatography)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rt</td>
<td>Room Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σ</td>
<td>Conductive State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>Singlet (NMR); Second(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAM</td>
<td>Self-Assembled Monolayer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC</td>
<td>Size Exclusion Chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>Scanning Electron Microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SET</td>
<td>Single Electron Transistor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiCl₃</td>
<td>Trichlorosilane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRAM</td>
<td>Static Random Access Memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STM</td>
<td>Scanning Tunneling Microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τ</td>
<td>Time Constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>Triplet (spectra)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBAF</td>
<td>Tetrabutylammonium Floride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THF</td>
<td>Tetrahydrofuran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC</td>
<td>Thin Layer Chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMS</td>
<td>Trimethylsilyl, Tetramethylsilane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV</td>
<td>Ultraviolet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Volt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vis</td>
<td>Visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w</td>
<td>Weight</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 1

Advances in Molecular Scale Electronics:
Synthesis and Testing of Nanoscale Wires and Devices
Introduction

With the miniaturization of transistors on silicon semiconductor chips comes faster processing speeds and more powerful computational power; however, certain size and cost constraints on today’s semiconductor industry will soon be realized.\textsuperscript{1-7} Gordon Moore, one of the founders of Intel, predicted in 1968 that the minimum device feature size on a semiconductor chip would decrease by a factor of 2 every 18 months. Moore’s predict has held true over the last 32 years. What does that mean for the computer industry? The routine feature size of microchips has dramatically declined to $\sim 0.1 \mu\text{m}$. Although a further decrease is likely, once the line size on integrated circuits becomes $< 0.01 \mu\text{m}$, several quantum limitations will likely limit the proper performance of solid state devices. Moreover, the simple cost of the more complex semiconductor fabrication centers, in addition to the inability to create ever-smaller semiconductor devices, could severely handicap this industry in the next few decades.

Therefore, a new paradigm of computer architecture must be developed. Molecular scale electronics is a field of study that proposes the use of single molecules to function as the key components in future computational devices.\textsuperscript{8} The use of a single organic molecule, of the approximate size $5 \text{Å} \times 20 \text{Å}$, has the advantage of being $10^6$ times smaller in area than current silicon devices.\textsuperscript{1} Molecular-based systems can offer distinct advantages in uniformity and potential fabrication costs.\textsuperscript{9} Additionally, these organic wires offer the advantage of ease of synthesis and the ability to create large varieties of wires by the use of facile organic transformations. If devices were to be based upon single molecules, using routine chemical syntheses, one could prepare $6 \times$
$10^{23}$ (Avogadro's number) devices in a single reaction flask; hence, more devices than are presently in use by all the computational systems combined, world-wide.\textsuperscript{5,6}

The use of discrete, highly conjugated oligo(phenylene ethynylene)s as molecular scale wires that conduct an electric current has been demonstrated.\textsuperscript{10,11} Electronic measurements of these molecular scale wires were performed in a nanostructure that have a metal top contact, a self-assembled monolayer (SAM) active region, and a metal bottom contact. This nanostructure is similar to that reported previously.\textsuperscript{12,13} The essential feature of the fabrication process is the use of nanoscale device area that gives rise to a small number of self-assembled molecules ($\approx 1000$) and also eliminates pinhole and other defect mechanisms that hamper through-monolayer electronic transport measurements.

Using this device structure, we showed that a functionalized molecular scale wire can exhibit non-linear current versus voltage (I(V)) plots, and it functions as a reversible, reproducible switching device.\textsuperscript{13} Moreover, related systems have shown room temperature, long lasting static random access memory (SRAM) properites.\textsuperscript{14} The use of these molecular scale electronic devices should allow for a plethora of new and exciting computing architectures. Although numerous obstacles remain, molecular electronics offers an exciting incentive to consider molecular scale electronic architectures for future computing.

**Synthesis of Functionalized Molecular Scale Devices**

Described here are the syntheses of functionalized molecular scale devices that have shown remarkable electronic properties, i.e. negative differential resistance and
SRAM, and other potential electronic devices. The majority of these molecules are based on functionalized oligo(phenylene ethynylene)s, which are substituted with electron withdrawing and donating groups and are terminated with thioacetyl groups. The thioacetyl groups, after hydrolysis to the free thiols, are to serve as the molecular "alligator clips" for adhesion to gold probes.\textsuperscript{15,16} The synthesis of potential molecular scale devices whose current vs. voltage, I(V), curves exhibit non-linear behavior, are described below.

The synthesis of molecular scale devices with amino and nitro moieties are described in eq 1. The formation of 2,5-dibromo-4-nitroacetanilide 2 went well according to literature procedure.\textsuperscript{17} Caution must be used during the synthesis of 2 due to the possibility of multiple nitrations on the phenyl ring which may generate polynitrated compounds that could be explosive. The Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling of phenylacetylene to the substituted dibromobenzene gave a moderate yield of 59% due to the expected statistical mixture of the mono and dicoupled products. The regiochemistry of 3 is determined by the coupling proceeding faster at the bromide ortho to the nitro moiety. This occurs due to its lability of the carbon-bromide bond alpha to the nitro group since it is weakened by the electron withdrawing properties and resonance with the nitro moiety,
toward oxidative addition by the Pd compared to the meta bromide. A sample was submitted for X-ray analysis to definitively assign the regiochemistry. The ORTEP of the crystal structure is seen in Figure 1.

![Crystal Structure of Compound 3](image)

**Figure 1: Crystal Structure of Compound 3**

The acetamide-protecting group is removed during the deprotection of the terminal alkynes in the presence of potassium carbonate and methanol. It is believed that the electron withdrawing ability of the nitro moiety allows for the removal of the acetamide-protecting group under such mild conditions. Finally, intermediate 3 is coupled by Pd/Cu-catalysis to alligator clip 4\textsuperscript{15} to afford molecular scale device 5. An
additional method for the synthesis of 5 has been developed. Intermediate 3 is coupled with trimethylsilylacetylene, then deprotection of the terminal acetylene and the amine with potassium carbonate, and finally subsequent coupling with 1-iodo-4-thioacetylbenzene that afforded 5 in slightly lower yields than described in eq 1. The dipole moment of the interior phenyl ring, which is directed away from the thioacetate protecting group, of molecule 5, is calculated to be 5.77 Debye.\textsuperscript{18} Conductivity measurements on compound 5 will be discussed in the next section.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Ph} \equiv \text{CH} \equiv \text{CH} \equiv \text{Ph} \quad \text{NHAc} \\
\text{Ph} \equiv \text{CH} \equiv \text{CH} \equiv \text{S} \quad \text{NHAc}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Ph} \equiv \text{CH} \equiv \text{CH} \equiv \text{Ph} \quad \text{NHAc} \\
\text{Ph} \equiv \text{CH} \equiv \text{CH} \equiv \text{Ph} \quad \text{NHAc}
\end{array}
\]

(2)

Compound 6 differs from molecule 5 in that it possesses an acetamide rather than an amine moiety. The Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling reaction to form molecule 6 proceeded at a faster rate than the coupling to the amine/nitro compound due to the lesser electron donating potential of the acetamide allowing for faster palladium oxidative addition across the aryl bromide bond. The overall net dipole moment of this compound has been calculated to be 2.74 Debye.\textsuperscript{18}

To determine the effect of the direction of the dipole moment on conductivity, compound 9 was synthesized, according to eq 3. It possesses a dipole that is directed toward the thioacetyl terminus, a direction opposite that of the dipole in 7.
The regiochemistry of 9 is assumed. With a deficient amount of trimethylsilylacetylene, the coupling with intermediate 2 should proceed at the more labile bromide. Subsequent coupling to phenylacetylene should provide the regiochemistry shown in intermediate 7. Deprotection of the amine and the terminal acetylene, followed by coupling to 1-iodo-4-thioacetethylbenzene afforded molecular scale device 9.

To determine the effects of an electron withdrawing or donating moiety on electrical properties of these compounds, materials with solely an amine, nitro, or acetamide moiety have been synthesized.
The molecular scale device, which possesses an amino moiety, was synthesized according to eq 4. Following the couplings of trimethylsilylacetylene and phenylacetylene to 10, the deprotection of compound 11 with 3 M HCl, two compounds were obtained. The desired amine product and the amine cyclized bicyclic indole product, also seen in literature with similar compounds, were synthesized. The separation of these compounds was not attempted due to similar retention factors on silica gel in most eluents. The terminal acetylene was revealed using potassium carbonate and methanol followed by Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling to 1-iodo-4-thioacetylbenzene to form molecular scale wire 12.

![Chemical diagram]

Similar to molecular scale device 12, the acetamide adduct was synthesized according to eq 5. In this case, the deprotection of the terminal alkyne with potassium carbonate and methanol did not remove the acetamide-protecting group. A two terminal molecular scale device that is similar to compound 5 has been synthesized according to eq 6.

![Chemical diagram]
To study the effects of other alligator clips, for the attachment of the molecular scale devices to metal contacts, compounds with isonitrile endgroups were synthesized. Molecular scale device 17 with an isonitrile attachment moiety was synthesized according to eq 7. The amine moiety in intermediate 3 was unmasked with potassium carbonate and methanol followed by Pd/Cu-catalyzed cross coupling with the formamide bearing alligator clip 15 to afford compound 16. Intermediate 16 was found to be insoluble in most solvent systems and therefore taken on impure into the next reaction.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{3} & \xrightarrow{\text{1. K}_2\text{CO}_3, \text{MeOH}, \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2, 100\%} \text{4} \\
\text{15} & \xrightarrow{\text{2. Pd/Cu, TMSA, } \text{H}_2\text{NEt} \text{, THF}} \text{16}
\end{align*}
\]

The formamide was dehydrated in the presence of carbon tetrachloride, triphenylphosphine, triethylamine, and methylene chloride to afford molecular scale device 17.\(^{20}\)

Currently, the formation of a SAM is the method of attachment of these molecular scale wires to the metal probe. An additional method of preparing ordered monolayers of molecular devices is the use of Langmuir-Blodgett films.\(^{21}\) Therefore, a compound with hydrophilic and hydrophobic subunits with the central amino/nitro core of molecule 5 was synthesized as in eq 8.
\( \text{C}_6\text{H}_{13} \quad 18 \)

1. Br2, AlCl3, 100%
2. Pd/Cu, TMSA, \( \text{Pr}_2\text{NEt} \), THF, 68%
3. K₂CO₃, MeOH, CH₂Cl₂
4. Pd/Cu, \( \text{Pr}_2\text{NEt} \), THF, 36% (2 steps)

\( \text{C}_6\text{H}_{13} \quad \text{NHAc} \quad 19 \)

\( \text{Br} \quad \text{Br} \quad \text{NHAc} \quad \text{Br} \quad \text{O}_2\text{N} \quad \text{2} \quad \text{CO}_2\text{Me} \quad \text{20} \quad \text{Pd/Cu, } \text{Pr}_2\text{NEt, THF, 99%} \quad 2. \text{K}_2\text{CO}_3, \text{MeOH, CH}_2\text{Cl}_2, 96\% \)

\( \text{C}_6\text{H}_{13} \quad \text{NH}_2 \quad \text{CO}_2\text{R} \quad \text{21} \)

1. \( \text{Pd/Cu, } \text{Pr}_2\text{NEt, THF, 99%} \)
2. \( \text{K}_2\text{CO}_3, \text{MeOH, CH}_2\text{Cl}_2, 96\% \)

\( \text{R=Me} \quad \text{LiOH, MeOH, H}_2\text{O, 94%} \quad \text{R=H} \quad \text{22} \)

\( n\)-Hexylbenzene was easily brominated on neutral alumina in high yields\(^{22}\) and coupled to trimethylsilylacetylene followed by deprotection of the terminal acetylene and coupling to the amino/nitro core intermediate 2 to afford intermediate 19. The methyl ester, intermediate 21, was synthesized by the coupling of 4-ethyl-1-methylbenzoate to 20. The amine was unmasked and the methyl ester was saponified with lithium hydroxide to afford molecular scale device 22.\(^{23}\) Compound 22 is suitable for the formation of a Langmuir-Blodgett film due to its hydrophilic carboxylic acid endgroup and the hydrophobic \( n\)-hexyl endgroup.

Similar compounds with substituted biphenyl and bipyridyl core units have been synthesized according to eqs 9 and 10.
2,2'-Dinitrobiphenyl was brominated at the 4 and 4' positions on the biphenyl core using bromine, silver acetate, and sulfuric acid. The brominated biphenyl was coupled to trimethylsilylacetylene to afford 24 in high yield which was then mono reduced to the amino/nitro compound in the presence of iron and acetic acid. Finally, the terminal acetylenes were revealed and coupled using Pd/Cu catalysis, to two equivalents of alligator clip 8 to afford compound 26.
A similar compound with a bipyridyl central core has been synthesized according to eq 10. 2-Chloro-3-nitropyridine was homocoupled in the presence of copper bronze and dimethylformamide.\textsuperscript{26} The bipyridine ring system was brominated at the 5- and 5' -position under harsh conditions\textsuperscript{27} to afford intermediate 28 that was then coupled with two equivalents of trimethylsilylacetylene. This reaction fortuitously gave the dinitro coupled product as well as the monoreduced amino/nitro coupled product that may arise from hydropalladation of one of the labile nitro groups in the Pd/Cu-catalyzed reaction conditions. X-ray analysis will definitively confirm product formation. Intermediate 29 was then deprotected in the presence of potassium carbonate and then coupled to 1-iodo-4-thioacetylbenzene using typical Pd/Cu cross coupling conditions to afford potential molecular scale switch 30.

**Testing of Molecular Scale Devices and Testing**

As mentioned before, electronic measurements on molecular scale wires and devices were performed in the nanopore summarized in Figure 2. Fabrication of nanopore devices and conductivity measurements for all of the compounds have been performed in the laboratories of Dr. Mark Reed in the Electrical Engineering Department at Yale University.
Figure 2: Schematics of device fabrication: (A) cross section of a silicon wafer with a nanopore etched through a suspended silicon nitride membrane; (B) Au-SAM-Au junction in the pore area; (C) blowup of (B) illustrated with 5 sandwiched in the junction although we have sandwiched many compounds in this device; (D) scanning electron micrograph (SEM) of pyramid Si structure after unisotropic Si etching (that is, the bottom view of (A)); (E) SEM of an etched nanopore through the silicon nitride membrane.

The starting substrate for the device fabrication is a 250 μm-thick double side polished silicon (100) wafer, upon which 50 nm of low stress Si₃N₄ was deposited by low-pressure chemical vapor deposition (LPCVD). On the back surface, the nitride was removed in a (400 μm by 400 μm) square by optical lithography and reactive ion etching (RIE). The exposed silicon was etched in an orientation-dependent anisotropic etchant
(85°C, 35% KOH solution) through to the top surface to leave a suspended (40 μm by 40 μm) silicon nitride membrane. We then grew 1000 Å of SiO₂ thermally on the Si sidewalls to improve electrical insulation. A single hole 30 to 50 nm in diameter was made through the membrane by electron beam lithography and RIE. Because of the constrained geometry, the RIE rates are substantially reduced so that the far side opening is much smaller than the actual pattern, thereby rendering the cross section bowl-shaped geometry. An Au contact of 200 nm thickness was evaporated onto the topside of the membrane, which filled the pore with Au. The sample was then immediately transferred into a solution to self-assemble the active electronic component. The sample was then rinsed, quickly loaded into a vacuum chamber, and mounted onto a liquid nitrogen cooling stage for the bottom Au electrode evaporation, where 200 nm Au was evaporated. The devices were then diced into individual chips, bonded onto packaging sockets and loaded into a variable temperature cryostat and conductivity measured.¹³

Conductivity of these oligo(phenylene ethynylene)s molecular scale wires and devices is hypothesized to arise from transfer of electrons through the π-orbital backbone that extends over the entire molecule. When the phenyl rings of the phenylene ethynlenes oligomers are planar, the π-orbital overlap of the molecule is continuous. Thus transfer over the entire molecule is achieved; electrons can freely flow between metal contacts, and conductivity is maximized. Moreover, if the phenyl rings become perpendicular with respect to each other, the π-orbitals between said phenyl rings become orthogonal. The discontinuity of the π-orbital network in the perpendicular arrangement does not allow for free flow of electrons through the molecular scale wire, thus conductivity is greatly decreased, Figure 3.²⁸
Figure 3: Plot of current versus temperature of molecules 31 and 32.

According to Figure 3, 31 and 32 show a decrease in conductance between 20 and 40 K in the temperature-current plots. At lower temperatures, phenylene ethynlenes have the tendency to fishbone pack whereas the phenyl rings are perpendicular to each other, decreasing the π-orbital overlap therefore lowering their conductivity, as seen in Figure 3. As the SAM is heated, its kinetic energy allows the bonds to spin thus overcoming any activation barrier to rotation in the molecules. This movement permits the phenyl subunits to attain planarity and conduction occurs.
This phenomenon of altering conductivity by changing the degree of a molecule’s $\pi$-orbital overlap led to the concept of molecular scale wires that will be able to act as molecular scale gates. The use of a molecular scale wire that will be able to conduct in the ground state and have no conductivity in the “perturbed” state, and the converse, would allow these wires to act as molecular scale switches and devices. These devices will allow us to perform any logical function that can be translated in Boolean logic, the basic language of all computers. The use of an electric field that is perpendicular to the SAM will allow us to control the conductivity of the molecular scale device (Figure 4).

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 4:** Schematic of the molecular scale wire gate.

**Testing of Molecular Scale Device Controller Type I**

The first molecular scale switch, controller type I, that we decided to synthesize would be “on” in the ground state and “off” in the perturbed state (Figure 4). Controller type I is similar to the molecular scale wires that have been synthesized previously;
however, the interior phenyl ring possesses a large dipole moment that will orient itself with an electric field. By creating an electric field perpendicular to the SAM in the nanopore device, we will be able to manipulate the interior phenyl ring to be perpendicular to the other adjacent phenyl rings. This will cause orthogonality in the π-orbital overlap between neighboring phenyl rings, and thus conductance will decrease.

![Diagram of a controller type I](image)

**Figure 5:** Schematic of a controller type I
The nanopore device with an electric field perpendicular to the SAM is currently being fabricated, thus the molecular scale controllers type I have not yet been tested as switching devices. Yet, it is necessary to determine the effects of electron withdrawing and electron donating groups on the conductivity of the molecular scale wire.

![Chemical Structure](image)

Compound 5 was then tested in the nanopore, in the absence of an external electric field, to determine its electronic characteristics. A series of control experiments were performed with alkanethiol-derived SAMs, silicon nitride membranes without pores, and membranes with pores but without molecules. Both the Au–alkanethiolate–Au junctions and the Au-silicon nitride membrane–Au junctions showed current levels at the noise limit of the apparatus (< 1 pA) for both bias polarities at both room and low temperatures. The Au-Au junctions gave ohmic I(V) characteristics with very low resistances. A device containing a SAM of conjugated molecules similar to 5 but not bearing the nitroamine functionalities was fabricated and measured in nearly identical conditions and did not exhibit any non-linear I(V) behavior (Figure 2).
Figure 6: I(V) characteristics of an Au-(5)-Au device at 60 K.

Typical I(V) characteristics of an Au-(5)-Au device at 60 K are shown in Figure 6. Positive bias corresponds to hole injection from the chemisorbed thiol-Au contact and electron injection from the evaporated contact. Unlike previous devices that also used molecules to form the active region, this device exhibits a robust and large negative differential resistance (NDR) with a valley-to-peak ratio (PVR) of 1030:1. Some device-to-device variations of peak voltage position (~×2) and peak current (~×4) were observed. The I(V) curve is fully reversible upon change in bias sweep direction; for a given device, small fluctuations (~1% in voltage peak position and ~6% in peak current) were observed with consecutive positive and negative sweeps but could be attributed to temperature fluctuations of ~2K (within the experimental thermal stability). The performance exceeds that observed in typical solid-state quantum well resonant tunneling heterostructures. In addition to the obvious size advantages for scaling, the intrinsic
device characteristics (that is, the valley current shutoff) may be superior to that of solid-state devices. The intrinsic PVR of the molecule may be considerably greater than that reported here, because the valley currents observed (on the order of picoamperes) are comparable to typical leakage currents in the silicon nitride. All of the devices examined exhibit peak voltage position and current magnitude shifts with temperature.\textsuperscript{13}

\textbf{Figure 7:} Potential mechanism for the NDR effect. As voltage is applied, the molecules in the SAM (A) undergo a one-electron reduction to form the radical anion (B) that provides a conductive state. Further increase of the voltage causes another one-electron reduction to form the dianion, insulating state (C).

A candidate mechanism for the NDR is a two-step reduction process that modifies charge transport through the molecule, as illustrated in Figure 7. As the voltage is increased, the molecule initially undergoes a one-electron reduction, thereby supplying a charge carrier for electron flow through the system (although the nitro moiety is the most electron-withdrawing group in the molecule, the charge is likely dispersed throughout the
entire molecule). A further increase in voltage causes a second-electron reduction with subsequent blocking of the current. The width of the I(V) peak (~ 0.7 V) correlates well with the difference between the one-electron and two-electron peak reduction potentials (Ep2 - Ep1 = ΔEp = 0.63 V and 0.68 V for 5 with Z = SCH3 and Z = H, respectively). The NDR behavior is absent in the control molecule (no amine or nitro moieties) and no electrochemical reduction peaks are observed over the corresponding voltage range.

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations on the lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals (LUMO) with respect to the reduction potential of a compound similar to 5 have been performed, Figure 8. In the ground state (Q=0), the LUMO extends over most of the compound except for portions of the bottom phenyl ring which disrupts the continuity of the π-orbital network and therefore conduction is curtailed. As the voltage is increased across this compound, a voltage is attained when this compound is reduced with a single electron (Q= -1), the molecular orbital is perturbed allowing for the LUMO to extend over the entire molecule and conduction occurs. By further increasing the potential, the compound is reduced again with a second single electron that again perturbs the LUMO. In this reduction state (Q= -2), the LUMO does not extend over the entire compound and conduction ceases. A possible explanation of the gradual conduction commencing compared to ceasing may be due to electrons having the ability to tunnel between orbitals in the Q=0 state whereas the discontinuity, tunneling distance, in the LUMO of the Q= -2 state is too great for tunneling to occur.
Figure 8: DFT Calculations for the Reduction Potentials of Compound 5.

Additionally, we demonstrate here charge storage in a self-assembled nanoscale molecular device 1) that is operated as a random access memory, 2) with practical thresholds and output under ambient operation, and 3) with the ability to be scaled to the single molecule level.

Figure 9: Write, Read, and Erase sequences for the compound 5 use as random access memory.
The memory device operates by the storage of a high or low conductivity state. Figure 9 shows the write, read, and erase sequence for 5. An initially low conductivity state (low \( \sigma \)) is changed (written) into a high conductivity state (high \( \sigma \)) upon application of a voltage pulse. The direction of current that flows during this "write" pulse is diagrammed. The high \( \sigma \) state persists as a stored "bit", which is unaffected by successive read pulses.

Compounds with the nitro moieties 5 and 33 are observed to change conductivity state, whereas the amine only compound 12 and an unfunctionalized oligo(phenylene ethynylene) 34 do not exhibit storage. In the following, we first describe the characteristics obtained by linear voltage sweeps (so as to generate \( I(V) \) plots). Second we demonstrate the same effects and a circuit using voltage pulses\(^{14}\).
Figure 10: I(V) characteristics of an Au-(5)-Au device. 

a) The device at 200 K. “0” denotes the initial state, “1” the stored written state, and “1”-“0” the difference of the two states. Positive bias corresponds to hole injection from the chemisorbed thiol-Au contact.

b) Difference curves (“1”-“0”) as a function of temperature.
Figure 10a shows the I(V) characteristics\textsuperscript{35} of a Au-(5)-Au device at 200 K initially (defined as “0”) and after (defined as “1”) a write pulse, as well as the difference between the two (defined as “1”-“0”). Positive bias corresponds to hole injection from the chemisorbed thiol-Au contact. The device initially probed with a positive voltage exhibits a low conductivity state. Subsequent positive sweeps show a high conductivity state with I(V) characteristics identical to the previous values (“1”). Device bias swept in the reverse bias direction causes the I(V) to be identically reset to the initial, “0” I(V) characteristic. The characteristics are repeatable to high accuracy and device degradation is not observed. This ability to program, read, and refresh the state of the molecular device accomplishes the functionality of a random access memory (RAM). Figure 10b shows the difference characteristic (“1”-“0”) of compound 5 as a function of temperature. The peak current difference decreases approximately linear with increasing temperature over the range illustrated.\textsuperscript{14}

![Graph](image)

Figure 11: Bit retention as a function of time and temperature. Bit retention for (5) exhibits an exponential decay with a time constant ($\tau$) of 790 seconds at 260 K.
A characteristic bit retention time was obtained by measuring the stored high conductivity state at various times intervals after programming the Au-(5)-Au device. After an initial write bias sweep, the peak current of the stored state “1” exhibits an exponential decay with a time constant (τ) of approximately 800 seconds at 260 K (Figure 11).

![Graph showing read, write, erase, and output signals.]

**Figure 12:** Measured logic diagram of the molecular random access memory (mRAM) compound 8.

Figure 12 is a measured logic diagram demonstrating a SRAM cell using compound 33 containing a nitro moiety only at ambient temperature. To convert the stored conductivity to standard voltage conventions, the output of the device was dropped across a resistor, sent to a comparator, and inverted and gated with the “read” pulse. The upper trace shown in Figure 12 is an input waveform applied to the device, and the lower
is the RAM cell output. The first positive pulse configures the state of the cell by writing a bit, and the second and third positive pulses read the cell. The third pulse (and subsequent read pulses, not shown here for simplicity) demonstrates that the cell is robust and continues to hold the state (up to the limit of the bit retention time). This demonstration highlights the dramatically long bit retention time. The negative pulse erases the bit, resetting the cell. The second set of 4 pulses repeats this pattern, and many hours of continuous operation have been observed with no degradation in performance.\textsuperscript{14} There are no indications in the characteristics observed in this study that limitations exist for scaling the number of molecules in the active region of the device to one, assuming that an appropriate fabrication scheme can be identified.

To further explore the mechanism of this molecular NDR and mRAM phenomenon, numerous similar molecules have been synthesized. Compound 7 differs from NDR molecule 5 in that it possesses an acetamide rather than an amine moiety.

![Chemical Structure](image)

After conductivity testing in the nanopore, compound 7 exhibited the NDR effect, yet, a smaller valley to peak ratio of 200:1 at 60 K.

To determine if the orientation of the dipole moment relative to the SAM surface affected the electronic characteristics, molecule 9 was synthesized. This compound possesses a dipole that is directed towards the thioacetyl terminus that is opposite of the
dipole in compound 5. Currently, no conductivity tests have been performed on this compound.

To determine if the NDR effect is influenced solely by an electron withdrawing or donating moiety on the interior phenyl ring or by the presence of both groups the following compounds have been synthesized.

David Price, Jr. synthesized the nitro only containing molecular scale device in our laboratory. It remarkably showed both NDR and mRAM capabilities at room temperature. Memory effects were observed in devices with the molecules having only the nitro moiety 33, although in this case the storage was of a low conductivity state, opposite to that of compound 5. The details of the mechanism that causes a high conductivity state in 5 and a low conductivity state in 33 is presently under investigation.29

To determine the contribution of and electron donating moiety to its electrical properties compounds 12 and 13 were synthesized. Compound 12 demonstrated no NDR effects or mRAM properties.14 Therefore, it is hypothesized that the nitro group is the
necessary moiety for the development of molecular scale devices that show the NDR effect and mRAM properties.

![Chemical structures](image)

Similar to molecular scale device 12, the acetamide adduct was synthesized. Currently, there have been no electrical conductivity studies performed on compound 13, yet, it is hypothesized that this compound will not demonstrate the NDR effect. A two terminal molecular scale device that is similar to NDR compound 5 has been synthesized for the attachment between two gold particles, yet, no conductivity tests have been performed on this compound.

![Chemical structure](image)

The conduction diminishing contact resistance barrier between the organic wire-sulfur-gold has been studied in detail over the last few years.\(^{15,36-39}\) To reduce this conduction barrier, the sulfur has been replaced with more metallic Se and Te termini to allow for greater overlap of the compounds LUMO and the gold's Fermi levels. Nonetheless, it was determined that neither the selenium nor tellurium alligator clip significantly reduced the barrier height.\(^{39}\)
Recently it has been discovered that the use of an isonitrile as the contact between the organic molecular scale wire and the metal probe would significantly reduce the conduction barrier,\(^{40}\) and would allow an increase in the conductivity of the molecular scale wires. Therefore molecular scale device \(17\) with an isonitrile attachment moiety was synthesized. Compound \(17\) is currently being tested for NDR and mRAM properties.

Currently, the formation of a SAM is the method of attachment of these molecular scale wires to the metal probe and devices. An additional method of preparing ordered monolayers of molecular devices is the use of Langmuir-Blodgett films.\(^{21}\) Therefore, a compound with hydrophilic and hydrophobic subunits with the central core of the NDR molecule \(5\) was synthesized. Electrical conductivity tests are currently being performed on \(22\).

**Testing of Molecular Scale Device Controller Type II**

Molecular device controller type II will not conduct in the ground state but will conduct in the "perturbed" state. We envision the compound in Figure 13 acting as a
controller type II. In the ground state, the biphenyl ring system will be non-planar due to steric interactions. This will cause the \( \pi \)-orbitals of the molecular device to be non-planar thus decreasing the electrical conductivity of this device. In an electric field, the molecular scale device will exist more in the planarized zwitterionic resonance form that will allow the \( \pi \)-orbitals to be parallel and conductance will occur.

![Diagram of molecular device controller type II](image)

**Figure 13:** Schematic of Molecular Device Controller Type II

It is not imperative that the molecular controller type II be entirely planar in the perturbed state. There will be steric interactions between the amino/nitro moieties and the phenyl protons on the adjacent ring that may not allow the system to achieve planarity. Though, in the perturbed state, the SAM will be more planar which will permit more \( \pi \)-orbital overlap, thus its conductivity will be greater. The increased conductivity in the perturbed state, compared to the ground state, will allow this material to function as a molecular scale switch. Therefore, compound 26 was synthesized.
An additional molecular switch II was synthesized based on a pyridine ring system, eq 10. The pyridine ring system may allow for a greater degree of planarity in the zwitterionic form due to the decreased steric interactions between the nitrogen and the nitro or amino moieties compared to the carbon-hydrogen interaction with the same.

Due to the difficulties in fabrication of the nanopore with an electrical field perpendicular to the SAM formed, conductivity and switching studies on compounds 26 and 30 have not yet been performed.

Summary

This chapter has summarized the great utility of highly conjugated oligo(phenylene ethynylene)s in the field of electronics. We have demonstrated that organic material can possess NDR and mRAM capabilities and may significantly affect the electronics industry that would allow for the further miniaturization of computing devices. The use of highly conjugated organic materials has the ability to enhance the electronic properties of many devices and therefore improve the lives of many.
Experimental

**General.** All reactions were performed under an atmosphere of nitrogen unless stated otherwise. All reagents and starting materials were purchased from Aldrich, Acros, Fischer, Fluka, and Alfa Aesar if not otherwise stated. Alkyl lithium reagents were obtained from FMC. Pyridine, methyl iodide, triethylamine, and \( N,N \)-dimethylformamide (DMF) were distilled over calcium hydride, and stored over 4 Å molecular sieves. Toluene and benzene were distilled over CaH₂. Methylene chloride and hexanes were distilled. Ethyl ether and tetrahydrofuran (THF) were distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl. Triethylamine and \( N,N \)-diisopropylethylamine was distilled over CaH₂. MeOH was dried over oven dried 3 Å molecular sieves. \(^1\text{H} \) NMR spectra were recorded at 300, 400, or 500 MHz on Brüker AM-300, WH-400, and AM-500 spectrometers, respectively or at 400 and 500 MHz on Varian Mercury 400 and INOVA 500 spectrometers, respectively or at 400 MHz on Oxford Instruments 400 MHz NMR using Brüker XWIN NMR processing program. The \(^{12}\text{C} \) NMR spectra were recorded at 75, 100, or 125 MHz on Brüker AM-300, WH-400, and AM-500 spectrometers, respectively at 100 and 125 MHz on Varian Mercury 400 and INOVA 500 spectrometers, respectively or at 125 MHz on Oxford Instruments 400 MHz NMR using Brüker XWIN NMR processing program. Proton chemical shifts (δ) are reported in ppm downfield from tetramethylsilane (TMS) and \(^{13}\text{C} \) resonances (unless otherwise noted) were recorded using the 77.0-ppm CDCl₃ resonance as an internal reference and are reported in ppm downfield from TMS. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer 1600 Series FTIR. Gas chromatography experiments were performed on a
Hewlett-Packard GC model 5890A equipped with an Alltech column (cat # 932525, serial # 9059) 25 m x 0.25 mm D x 0.2 mm. Gravity column chromatography, silica gel plugs, and flash chromatography were preformed using 230–400 mesh silica gel from EM Science. Thin layer chromatography was preformed using glass plates precoated with silica gel 60 F_{254} with a layer thickness of 0.25 mm purchased from EM Science. Combustion analyses were obtained from Atlantic Microlab, Inc., P. O. Box 2288, Norcross, GA 30091.

**General Procedure for the coupling of a Terminal Alkyne with an Aryl Halide Utilizing a Palladium-Copper Cross-Coupling (Castro-Stephens/Sonogashira Protocol).**

To an oven dried round bottom flask equipped with a water cooled West condenser or screw capped pressure tube with a magnetic stirbar were added the aryl halide, bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (3-5 mol % per halide), and copper(I) iodide (6-10 mol % per halide). Triphenylphosphine was used in some reactions to keep the palladium in solution. The vessel was then sealed with a rubber septum under a N\textsubscript{2} atmosphere. A co-solvent system of THF, benzene, or methylene chloride was added at this point depending on the solubility of the aryl halide. Then base, either triethylamine or N,N-diisopropylethylamine, was added and finally the terminal alkyne (1-1.5 mol % per halide) was added and the reaction was heated until complete. Upon completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was then quenched with water, a saturated solution of NH\textsubscript{4}Cl, or brine. The organic layer was diluted with methylene chloride or Et\textsubscript{2}O and washed with water, a saturated solution of NH\textsubscript{4}Cl, or brine (3×). The combined aqueous layers were extracted with methylene chloride or Et\textsubscript{2}O (2×). The
combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄ and solvent removed in vacuo to afford the crude product that was purified by column chromatography (silica gel). Eluents and other slight modifications are described below for each material.

**General procedure for the deprotection of trimethylsilyl protected alkynes (Method A).** The silylated alkyne was dissolved in methanol and potassium carbonate was added. The mixture was stirred overnight before poured into water. The solution was extracted with ether or ethyl acetate and washed with brine. After drying over magnesium sulfate the solvent was evaporated *in vacuo* to afford pure products. (Method B). The silylated alkyne was dissolved in pyridine in a plastic vessel. A mixed solution of 49% hydrofluoric acid and 1.0 M tetrabutylammonium fluoride in THF was added at room temperature. The solution was stirred for 15 min and quenched with silica gel. The mixture was poured into water and extracted with ether. The extract was washed with brine and dried over magnesium sulfate. After filtration the solvent was evaporated *in vacuo*. The crude products were purified by a flash chromatography on silica gel. Eluents and other slight modifications are described below for each material.

![Structure](image)

**2,5-Dibromoacetanilide (AMR-II-45, 151, 161).** To a 500 mL round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirbar and a West condenser were added 2,5-dibromoaniline (1.38 g, 55.0 mmol), acetic anhydride (50 mL), and water (50 mL). The
reaction mixture was allowed to heat to reflux for 12 h. The resultant mixture was cooled to ambient temperature and poured into water (500 mL). The precipitate was collected by filtration and further washed by water. The reaction afforded 14.25 g (88 % yield) of the desired material. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.57 (br s, 1 H), 7.60 (br s, 1 H), 7.36 (d, J=8.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.08 (dd, J=8.5, 2.4, Hz, 1 H), 2.22 (s, 3 H).

![Chemical Structure](Image)

2,5-Dibromo-4-nitroacetanilide (2, AMR-II-46).\textsuperscript{17} To a oven dried 500 mL round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirbar were added sulfuric acid (50 mL), and nitric acid (50 mL) and the reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C. 2,5-Dibromoacetanilide (14.25 g, 48.65 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 2.5 h. Upon completion, ice (300 g) was added slowly to the reaction mixture. The reaction mixture was filtered and the solid collected. The desired material was purified by flash liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and methylene chloride as the eluent. $R_f$ (product) = 0.77. The reaction afforded 11.27 g (69 % yield) of the desired material. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.96 (s, 1 H), 8.19 (s, 1 H), 7.76 (br s, 1 H), 2.30 (s, 3 H).
2-Bromo-4-nitro-5-(phenylethynyl)acetanilide (3, AMR-II-49, III-11). See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling reaction. 2,5-Dibromo-4-nitroacetanilide (3.0 g, 8.88 mmol) was coupled to phenylacetylene (0.98 mL, 8.88 mmol) as described above using copper(I) iodide (0.17 g, 0.89 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (0.25 g, 0.44 mmol), triphenylphosphine (0.47 g, 1.78 mmol), N,N-diisopropylethylamine (6.18 mL, 35.52 mmol), and THF (25 mL) in an oven dried round bottom flask equipped with a West condenser and a magnetic stirbar. The reaction mixture was allowed to react at room temperature for 1 d then was heated to 50 °C for 12 h. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. The desired material was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and methylene chloride as the eluent. R_f (product): 0.60. The reaction afforded 1.79 g (56% yield, first crop) of the desired product. IR (KBr) 3261.5, 3097.4, 2215.4, 1671.8, 1553.8, 1533.3, 1502.6, 1379.5, 1333.3, 1261.5, 1092.3, 1020.5, 892.3, 851.3, 753.8, 687.2, 651.3 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.84 (s, 1 H), 8.39 (s, 1 H), 7.80 (br s, 1 H), 7.66-7.60 (m, 2 H), 7.43-7.36 (m, 3 H), 2.32 (s, 3 H). ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 168.30, 139.81, 132.20, 129.49, 129.03, 128.49, 124.87, 122.21, 119.88, 117.49, 111.00, 98.64, 84.81, 25.33. HRMS calcd C₁₆H₁₁N₂O₃Br: 357.9953. Found: 357.9948.
2-Bromo-4-nitro-5-(phenylethynyl)aniline (AMR-II-55, 233, 273, III-5, 15, 23). See the general procedure for the deprotection of trimethylsilyl-protected alkynes. To a 100 mL round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirbar 2-bromo-4-nitro-5-(phenylethynyl)acetanilide (0.33 g, 0.92 mmol), potassium carbonate (0.64 g, 4.6 mmol), methanol (15 mL), and methylene chloride (15 mL) was added. The reaction was allowed to stir at room temperature for 1 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with water and extracted with methylene chloride (3 ×). The organic layers were combined and dried over magnesium carbonate. Solvents were removed in vacuo. No further purification needed. The reaction afforded 0.29 g (100% yield) of the titled compound as a yellow solid. IR (KBr) 3476.9, 3374.4, 3159.0, 1656.4, 1615.4, 1559.0, 1379.5, 1307.7, 1138.5, 1102.6, 892.3, 748.7, 687.2 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.46 (s, 1 H), 7.74-7.68 (m, 2 H), 7.52-7.46 (m, 3 H), 7.06 (s, 1 H), 4.93 (br s, 2 H). ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 148.55, 139.41, 132.02, 130.45, 129.25, 128.46, 122.46, 120.17, 118.38, 106.86, 96.94, 85.46. HRMS calcd: 317.9828. Found: 317.9841.

2'-Amino-4,4'-diphenylethynyl-5'-nitro-1-thioacetylbenzene (5, AMR-II-69, 253). See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling reaction. 2-Bromo-4-
nitro-5-(phenylethynyl)aniline (0.10 g, 0.30 mmol) was coupled to 1-thioacetyl-4-ethynylbenzene (0.10 g, 0.56 mmol) as described above using copper(I) iodide (0.01 g, 0.03 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (0.01 g, 0.02 mmol), triphenylphosphine (0.02 g, 0.06 mmol), N,N-diisopropylethylamine (0.24 mL, 1.40 mmol), and THF (10 mL) in an oven dried round screw capped pressure tube equipped with a stirbar. The reaction mixture was allowed to react at 80 °C for 3 d. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. The desired material was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and 3:1 methylene chloride/hexanes as the eluent. Rf (product): 0.26. An additional hexanes wash gave pure yellow crystals of the desired compound, 0.80 g (67 % yield). IR (KBr) 3374.4, 3138.5, 2205.1, 1384.6, 1312.8, 1246.2, 1112.8, 825.6, 753.8, 692.3, 615.4 cm⁻¹. 

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.27 (s, 1 H), 7.59 (m, 2 H), 7.55 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.42 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.38 (m, 3 H), 6.92 (s, 1 H), 4.89 (br s, 2 H), 2.45 (s, 3 H). ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 193.03, 150.99, 139.53, 134.36, 132.12, 132.08, 130.24, 129.23, 129.19, 128.411, 123.21, 122.55, 121.06, 118.01, 106.88, 97.66, 96.53, 85.98, 84.89, 30.51. HRMS calcd C₂₄H₁₆N₂O₃S: 412.0882. Found: 412.0882.

![Structure](image)

4-Nitro-3-phenylethynyl-6-trimethylsilylethynylaniline (AMR-II-235). See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling reaction. 2-Bromo-4-nitro-5-(phenylethynyl)aniline (0.26 g, 0.83 mmol) was coupled to trimethylsilylacetylene (0.17...
mL, 1.25 mmol) using copper(I) iodide (0.02 g, 0.08 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (0.03 g, 0.04 mmol), N,N-diisopropylethylamine (0.58 mL, 3.32 mmol), and THF (10 mL) in a screw capped pressure tube equipped with a magnetic stirbar. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 75 °C for 3 d. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. The desired material was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and a mixture of 3:1 methylene chloride/hexanes as the eluent. \( R_f \) (product): 0.72. The reaction afforded 0.22 g (81 % yield) of the desired compound.

IR (KBr) 3465.06, 3350.39, 3214.34, 2958.03, 2360.06, 2341.17, 2146.27, 1625.20, 1539.10, 1507.32, 1305.69, 1247.56, 1199.99, 1091.12, 878.19, 843.71, 756.00, 663.28, 472.37 cm\(^{-1}\). \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 8.23 (s, 1 H), 7.65-7.60 (m, 2 H), 7.43-7.38 (m, 3 H), 6.91 (s, 1 H), 4.87 (br s, 2 H), 0.31 (s, 9 H). \(^{13}\)C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 151.80, 139.68, 132.47, 130.77, 129.62, 128.85, 122.95, 121.37, 118.22, 107.50, 103.95, 99.08, 97.83, 86.32, 0.30. HRMS calcd C\(_{19}\)H\(_{18}\)N\(_2\)O\(_2\)Si: 334.1138. Found: 334.1135.

![Chemical Structure](attachment:image)

**2'-Acetamido-4,4'-diphenylethynyl-5'-nitro-1-thioacetylbenzene (6, AMR-II-67).** See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling reaction. 2-Bromo-4-nitro-5-(phenylethynyl)acetanilide (0.10 g, 0.28 mmol) was coupled to 1-thioacetyl-4-ethynylbenzene (0.08 g, 0.45 mmol) as described above using copper(I) iodide (0.01 g,
0.02 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (0.01 g, 0.01 mmol), triphenylphosphine (0.01 g, 0.04 mmol), N,N-diisopropylethylamine (0.19 mL, 1.12 mmol), and THF (10 mL) in a screw capped pressure tube equipped with a magnetic stirbar. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 80 °C for 3 d. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. The desired material was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and methylene chloride as the eluent. Rf (product): 0.40. The compound was further purified by a hexanes wash to give 0.10 g (82 % yield) of the desired compound as bright yellow crystals. IR (KBr) 3138.5, 2205.1, 1384.6, 1333.3, 1241.0, 1117.9, 953.8, 897.4, 825.6, 753.6, 687.2, 615.4 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.41 (s, 1 H), 8.29 (s, 1 H), 8.06 (br s, 1 H), 7.62 (m, 2 H), 7.57 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.46 (d, J=8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.38 (m, 3 H), 2.64 (s, 3 H), 2.32 (s, 3 H). ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 192.77, 168.29, 143.82, 142.02, 134.51, 132.23, 132.17, 130.17, 129.47, 128.61, 128.46, 123.57, 122.27, 122.21, 120.70, 111.15, 99.43, 98.68, 85.55, 83.51, 30.58, 25.33. HRMS calcd C₂₆H₁₈N₂O₄S: 454.0987. Found: 454.0987.

![Structure](image)

2-Bromo-4-nitro-5-(trimethylsilyl ethynyl)acetanilide (AMR-II-165). See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling reaction. 2,5-Dibromo-4-nitroacetanilide (4.00 g, 11.84 mmol) was coupled to trimethylsilylacetylene (1.30 mL,
11.8 mmol) as described above using copper(I) iodide (0.22 g, 1.18 mmol),
bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (0.41 g, 0.59 mmol), N,N-
diisopropylethylamine (8.25 mL, 47.36 mmol), and THF (80 mL) in a screw capped
pressure tube equipped with a magnetic stirbar. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir
at 70 °C for 2 d. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described
above. The desired material was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica
gel as the stationary phase and a mixture of 3:1 diethyl ether/hexanes as the eluent. Rf
(product): 0.43. The reaction afforded 1.46 g (35 % yield, 54 % based on a recovered
1.44 g of starting material) of the desired product. IR (KBr) 3384.6, 3107.7, 3056.4,
2964.1, 2143.6, 1717.9, 1559.0, 1523.1, 1492.3, 1446.2, 1379.5, 1333.3, 1246.2, 1225.6,
1097.4, 846.2, 764.1, 712.8 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.84 (s, 1 H), 8.29 (s, 1
H), 7.75 (br s, 1 H), 2.30 (s, 3 H), 0.27 (s, 9 H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.38,
145.60, 140.82, 129.90, 126.63, 120.52, 112.46, 106.70, 100.03, 26.45, 0.93. HRMS

![Chemical structure](image)

2-(Phenylethynyl)-4-nitro-5-(trimethylsilylethynyl)acetonilide (7, AMR-II-169). See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling reaction. 2-Bromo-4-
nitro-5-(trimethylsilylethynyl)acetonilide (1.20 g, 3.38 mmol) was coupled to
phenylacetylene (0.56 mL, 5.07 mmol) as described above using copper(I) iodide (0.06 g,
0.34 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (0.12 g, 0.17 mmol), N,N-diisopropylethylamine (2.36 mL, 13.52 mmol), and THF (25 mL) in a screw capped pressure tube equipped with a magnetic stir bar. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 75 °C for 3 d. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. The desired material was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and a mixture of 3:1 methylene chloride/hexanes as the eluent. Rf (product): 0.38. The reaction afforded 1.00 g (79 % yield) of the desired product. IR (KBr) 3384.6, 3128.2, 2953.8, 2215.4, 2153.8, 1707.7, 1543.6, 1523.1, 1497.4, 1456.4, 1384.6, 1338.5, 1225.6, 1169.2, 1112.8, 1051.3, 846.2, 748.7, 687.2, 620.5 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.77 (s, 1 H), 8.21 (d, J=0.03 Hz, 1 H), 8.07 (br s, 1 H), 7.57-7.52 (m, 2 H), 7.47-7.39 (m, 3 H), 2.30 (s, 3 H), 0.29 (s, 9 H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.35, 145.49, 142.99, 132.82, 131.01, 129.94, 129.34, 125.26, 122.25, 121.06, 112.98, 107.23, 100.90, 100.76, 83.10, 26.45, 0.96. HRMS Calcd C₂₁H₂₀N₂O₃Si: 376.1243. Found: 376.1235.

![Structure](image)

2-(Phenylethynyl)-4-nitro-5-(ethynyl)acetanilide (AMR-II-189). See the general procedure for the deprotection of trimethylsilyl-protected alkynes. 2-(Phenylethynyl)-4-nitro-5-(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl)acetanilide (0.10 g, 0.27 mmol) was deprotected to the terminal alkyne using the procedure described above using potassium carbonate (0.19 g, 1.35 mmol), methanol (15 mL), and methylene chloride (15 mL). The
reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 2 h. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. Due to the instability of conjugated terminal alkynes, the material was immediately used in the next step without additional purification or identification.

![Chemical Structure](image)

1-Thioacetyl-4(5'-amino-1'-(ethynyl)phenyl-2'-nitro-4'- (phenylethynyl)benzene (9, AMR-II-191). See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling reaction. 2-(Phenylethynyl)-4-nitro-5-(ethynyl)acetanilide (0.08 g, 0.27 mmol) was coupled to 1-iodo-4-thioacetylbenzene (0.09 g, 0.32 mmol) as described above using copper(I) iodide (0.005 g, 0.01 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (0.01 g, 0.01 mmol), N,N-diisopropylethylamine (0.20 mL, 1.08 mmol), and THF (20 mL) in a screw capped pressure tube equipped with a magnetic stir bar. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 70 °C for 12 h. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. The desired material was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and a mixture of 3:1 methylene chloride/hexanes as the eluent. Rf (product): 0.32. The reaction afforded 0.09 g (82 % yield) of the desired product as a yellow solid which turned yellowish-green upon standing. IR (KBr) 3466.7, 3364.1, 2205.1, 1702.6, 1615.4, 1548.7, 1507.7, 1476.9, 1307.7, 1246.2, 1117.9, 948.7, 912.8, 871.8, 820.5, 748.7, 682.1 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.28 (s, 1 H), 7.61 (½ABq, J=8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.55 (m, 2 H), 7.41
(½Abq, J=8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.41-7.35 (m, 3 H), 6.90 (s, 1 H), 4.93 (br s, 2 H), 2.44 (s, 3 H).

\(^{13}\)C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 193.09, 150.93, 139.33, 134.98, 134.13, 132.46, 131.55, 130.06, 129.07, 128.49, 123.69, 121.94, 120.25, 117.91, 107.50, 97.51, 96.26, 87.50, 83.16, 30.45. HRMS Calcd C\(_{24}\)H\(_{16}\)N\(_2\)O\(_3\)S: 412.0882. Found: 412.0883.

\[\text{HNAC} \quad \text{Br} \quad \text{NHAC} \]

2-Bromo-5-(phenylethynyl)acetanilide (AMR-II-163). See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling reaction. 2,5-Dibromoacetanilide (6.00 g, 17.76 mmol) was coupled to phenylacetylene (1.95 mL, 17.76 mmol) as described above using copper(I) iodide (0.34 g, 1.78 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (0.62 g, 0.89 mmol), \(N,N\)-diisopropylethylamine (12.37 mL, 71.04 mmol), and THF (75 mL) in a screw capped pressure tube equipped with a magnetic stirbar. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 75 °C for 2.5 d. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. The desired material was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and methylene chloride as the eluent. \(R_f\) (product): 0.38. An additional purification was performed using gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and a mixture of 3:1 hexanes/ethyl acetate as the eluent. \(R_f\) (product): 0.50. The reaction afforded 1.79 g (32 % yield, 42 % based on a recovered 0.69 g of starting material) of the desired compound as a white solid. IR (KBr) 3282.1, 3159.0, 1661.5, 1559.0, 1507.7, 1461.5, 1405.1, 1379.5, 1271.8, 1107.7, 1066.7, 1015.4, 964.1, 892.3, 861.5, 820.5, 748.7, 682.1, 610.3 cm\(^{-1}\). \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 8.66 (br s, 1 H), 7.92 (br s, 1 H), 7.55-7.49
(m, 2 H), 7.41-7.37 (m, 3 H), 7.32 (½ABq, J=8.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.20 (½ABq d, J= 6.4, J=1.8 Hz, 1 H), 2.25 (s, 3 H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.15, 140.81, 133.62, 132.61, 130.32, 129.80, 127.70, 124.93, 123.33, 123.15, 111.69, 98.63, 84.65, 26.32. HRMS Calcd C₁₆H₁₂BrNO: 313.0102. Found: 313.0107.

![Diagram](image)

**5-Phenylethynyl-2-(trimethylsilylethynyl)acetanilide (11, AMR-II-167).** See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling reaction. 2-Bromo-5-(phenylethynyl)acetanilide (0.91 g, 2.90 mmol) was coupled to trimethylsilylacetylene (0.47 mL, 4.35 mmol) as described above using copper(I) iodide (0.06 g, 0.29 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (0.11 g, 0.15 mmol), N,N-diisopropylethylamine (2.02 mL, 11.60 mmol), and THF (20 mL) in a screw capped pressure tube equipped with a magnetic stirbar. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 70 °C for 3 d. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. The desired material was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and methylene chloride as the eluent. Rₐ (product): 0.33. The reaction afforded 0.81 g (84 % yield) of the desired compound as an airy yellow foam after drying in a vacuum atmosphere. IR (KBr) 3394.9, 3138.5, 2953.8, 2143.6, 1702.6, 1553.85, 1553.8, 1523.1, 1410.3, 1384.6, 1271.8, 1246.2, 1169.2, 1112.8, 1015.4, 846.2, 753.8, 687.2, 620.5 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.53 (br s, 1 H), 7.91 (br s, 1 H), 7.55-7.49 (m, 2 H), 7.43-7.36 (m, 4 H), 7.15 (dd, J= 6.6, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.24 (s, 3 H), 0.25 (s, 9 H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.09, 139.72, 132.62, 132.49, 130.27, 129.79,
128.06, 125.58, 123.63, 123.24, 112.98, 105.68, 99.09, 97.67, 85.26, 26.33, 1.28. HRMS Cacld C₂₁H₂₁BrNOSi: 331.1392. Found: 331.1391.

5-Phenylethynyl-2-(trimethylsilylethynyl)aniline (AMR-II-203). To a 100 mL round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirbar was charged with 5-phenylethynyl-2-(trimethylsilylethynyl)acetanilide (0.25 g, 0.75 mmol), hydrochloric acid (15 mL, 1.5 M), and THF (15 mL). The reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 2.5 h. The reaction progress was monitored by TLC. The reaction was quenched and extracted with water (3×) and diluted with methylene chloride. The organic layers were combined and dried over magnesium sulfate. Volatiles were removed in vacuo. Crude ¹H NMR and TLC showed two inseparable products with similar amine and aromatic resonances. Therefore, the crude reaction mixture was reacted further without purification.

5-Phenylethynyl-2-(ethynyl)aniline (AMR-II-205). See the general procedure for the deprotection of trimethylsilyl-protected alkynes. 5-Phenylethynyl-2-(trimethylsilylethynyl)aniline (0.22 g, 0.75 mmol) was deprotected to the terminal alkyne using the procedure described above using potassium carbonate (0.52 g, 3.75 mmol),
methanol (15 mL), and methylene chloride (15 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 2 h. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. Due to the instability of conjugated terminal alkynes, the material was immediately used in the next step without additional purification or identification.

![Chemical Structure]

1-Thioacetyl-4(4′-(phenylethynyl)-2′-amino-1′-(ethynyl)phenyl)benzene (12, AMR-II-207). See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling reaction. 5-Phenylethynyl-2-(ethynyl)aniline (0.16 g, 0.75 mmol) was coupled to 1-iodo-4-thioacetylbenzene (0.25 g, 0.90 mmol) as described above using copper(I) iodide (0.02 g, 0.08 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (0.03 g, 0.04 mmol), N,N-diisopropylethylamine (0.53 mL, 3.00 mmol), and THF (15 mL) in a screw capped pressure tube equipped with a magnetic stirbar. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 45 °C for 12 h. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. The desired material was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and a mixture of 1:3 diethyl ether/hexanes as the eluent. Rf (product): 0.40. The reaction afforded 0.28 g (43% yield, 2 steps) of the desired compound as a bright yellow solid. IR (KBr) 3138.5, 2205.1, 1702.6, 1610.3, 1384.6, 1117.9, 943.6, 825.6, 753.8, 692.3, 615.4 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.56-7.50 (m, 4 H), 7.42-7.31 (m, 6 H), 6.92-6.87 (m, 2 H), 4.32 (br s, 2 H), 4.44 (s, 3 H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 193.66, 148.20, 134.72, 132.40, 131.75, 128.89, 128.86,
128.84, 128.83, 124.60, 124.10, 123.32, 121.49, 117.14, 108.58, 96.57, 91.32, 89.72, 85.78, 30.48. HRMS Calcd C_{24}H_{17}NOS: 367.1031. Found: 367.1032.

![Chemical Structure](image)

**5-Phenylethynyl-2-(ethynyl)acetanilide (AMR-II-185).** See the general procedure for the deprotection of trimethylsilyl-protected alkynes. 5-Phenylethynyl-2-(trimethylsilylthynyl)acetanilide (0.20 g, 0.60 mmol) was deprotected to the terminal alkyne using the procedure described above using potassium carbonate (0.25 g, 1.80 mmol), methanol (15 mL), and methylene chloride (15 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 2 h. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. Due to the instability of conjugated terminal alkynes, the material was immediately used in the next step without additional purification or identification.

![Chemical Structure](image)

**1-Thioacetyl-4(4′-(phenylethynyl)-2′-acetamide-1′-(ethynyl)phenyl)benzene (13, AMR-II-187).** See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling reaction. 5-Phenylethynyl-2-(ethynyl)acetanilide (0.16 g, 0.60 mmol) was coupled to 1-iodo-4-thioacetylbenzene (0.20 g, 0.72 mmol) as described above using copper(I) iodide (0.01 g, 0.06 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (0.02 g, 0.03 mmol), N,N-
diisopropylethylamine (0.42 mL, 2.40 mmol), and THF (20 mL) in a screw capped pressure tube equipped with a magnetic stirbar. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 70 °C for 12 h. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. The desired material was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and a mixture of 3:1 ethyl acetate/ hexanes as the eluent. R_f (product): 0.35. The reaction afforded 0.12 g (50 % yield, 2 steps) of the desired compound as a off white solid. IR (KBr) 3138.5, 2933.3, 1702.6, 1656.4, 1543.6, 1379.5, 1261.5, 1112.8, 1010.3, 948.7, 882.1, 820.5, 748.7, 682.1, 610.3 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.62 (br s, 1 H), 7.96 (br s, 1 H), 7.58-7.52 (m, 4 H), 7.46 (½ABq, J=7.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.42-7.37 (m, 5 H), 7.23 (½ABq d, J= 8.1, 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 2.43 (s, 3 H), 2.27 (s, 3 H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 193.62, 168.51, 139.39, 134.70, 132.48, 132.02, 131.88, 129.52, 129.09, 129.00, 126.87, 124.41, 124.25, 122.45, 122.27, 112.45, 98.38, 91.06, 90.61, 84.24, 30.48, 25.10. HRMS Calcd C₂₆H₁₉NO₂S: 410.1215. Found: 410.1212.

![Image](attachment:formula.png)

4-Nitro-2,5-bis(trimethylsilylthynyl)acetanilide (AMR-II-165, 209). See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling reaction. 2,5-Dibromo-4-nitroacetanilide (0.60g, 1.78 mmol) was coupled to trimethylsilylacetylene (0.78 mL, 7.12 mmol) as described above using copper(II) iodide (0.07 g, 0.37 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (0.13 g, 0.18 mmol), N,N-
diisopropylethylamine (2.48 mL, 14.24 mmol), and THF (20 mL) in a screw capped pressure tube equipped with a magnetic stir bar. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 75 °C for 3 d. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. The desired material was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and a mixture of 3:1 diethyl ether/hexanes as the eluent. Rf (product): 0.80. The reaction afforded 0.63 g (95 % yield; 0.26 g of material as the product with the deprotected amino moiety instead of the acetamide) of the desired product. IR (KBr) 3374.4, 3117.9, 2964.1, 2143.6, 1723.1, 1610.3, 1543.6, 1502.6, 1456.4, 1400.0, 1379.5, 1333.3, 1251.3, 1220.5, 1112.8, 882.1, 846.2, 759.0, 620.5 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.72 (s, 1 H), 8.11 (s, 1 H), 8.07 (br s, 1 H), 2.25 (s, 3 H), 0.31 (s, 9 H), 0.26 (s, 9 H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.27, 145.23, 143.53, 129.21, 124.94, 121.27, 112.68, 107.86, 107.26, 100.70, 98.57, 26.25, 1.08, 0.94. HRMS Calcd C₁₈H₂₄N₂O₃Si₂: 372.1325. Found: 372.1332.

4-Nitro-2,5-di(ethynyl)aniline (AMR-II-211). See the general procedure for the deprotection of trimethylsilyl-protected alkyne. 4-Nitro-2,5-di(trimethylsilylthynyl)acetanilide (0.60 g, 1.61 mmol) was deprotected to the terminal alkyne using potassium carbonate (2.22 g, 16.10 mmol), methanol (40 mL), and methylene chloride (40 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 2 h. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as
described above. Due to the instability of conjugated terminal alkynes, the material was immediately used in the next step without additional purification or identification.

![Chemical Structure](image)

**4-Nitro-2,5-di(4'-thioacetyl(phenylethynyl))aniline (14, AMR-II-213).** See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling reaction. 4-Nitro-2,5-di(ethynyl)aniline (0.30 g, 1.61 mmol) was coupled to 1-iodo-4-thioacetylbenezene (1.09 g, 3.86 mmol) as described above using copper(I) iodide (0.06 g, 0.32 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (0.11 g, 0.16 mmol), N,N-diisopropylethylamine (2.25 mL, 12.88 mmol), and THF (40 mL) in a screw capped pressure tube equipped with a magnetic stir bar. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 50 °C for 2 d. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. The desired material was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and a mixture of 1:1 ethyl acetate/hexanes as the eluent. \( R_f \) (product):0.52. The reaction afforded 0.47 g (60 % yield, 2 steps) of the desired compound as a bright yellow solid. IR (KBr) 3476.9, 3364.1, 3117.9, 1687.2, 1625.6, 1543.6, 1507.7, 1476.9, 1384.6, 1307.7, 1246.2, 1117.9, 1010.3, 948.7, 825.6, 615.4 cm⁻¹. 

\(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.28 (s, 1 H), 7.62 (\( \frac{1}{2} \)ABq, \( J=8.2 \) Hz, 2 H), 7.56 (\( \frac{1}{2} \)ABq, \( J=8.4 \) Hz, 2 H), 7.44 (\( \frac{1}{2} \)ABq, \( J=4.4 \) Hz, 2 H), 7.42 (\( \frac{1}{2} \)ABq, \( J=4.2 \) Hz, 2 H), 6.92 (s, 1 H), 4.90 (br s, 2 H), 2.45 (s, 3 H), 2.44 (s, 3 H). \(^13\)C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 193.00, 192.91, 150.99, 134.26, 134.25, 134.25, 134.13, 134.11, 132.45, 132.04, 130.16,
123.64, 123.09, 120.52, 118.01, 107.00, 96.59, 96.45, 87.44, 84.79, 30.44, 30.42. HRMS Calcd C$_2$H$_{17}$NOS: 487.0786. Found: 487.0792.

![Chemical Structure](image)

**2'-Amino-4,4'-diphenylethynyl-1-formamido-5'-nitrobenzene (16, AMR-II-237,275, III-17).** See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling reaction. 2-Bromo-4-ethynylphenyl-5-nitroaniline (0.26 g, 0.83 mmol) was coupled to 1-ethynyl-4-formamidobenzene (0.15 g, 1.00 mmol) as described above using copper(I) iodide (0.02 g, 0.08 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (0.03 g, 0.04 mmol), N,N-diisopropylethylamine (0.58 mL, 3.32 mmol), and THF (25 mL) in a screw capped pressure tube equipped with a magnetic stirbar. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 70 °C for 3 d. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. The desired material was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and a mixture of 1:1 ethyl acetate/hexanes as the eluent. $R_f$ (product): 0.09. An additional purification was performed using gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and a mixture of ethyl acetate as the eluent. $R_f$ (product): 0.63. The reaction afforded an impure product of 0.23 g. The crude reaction product was taken on to the next synthetic step.
2'-Amino-4,4'-diphenylethynyl-5'-nitrobenzenisonitrile (17, AMR-II-263, 277, III-3,19,31).\textsuperscript{20} To an oven dried 100 mL round bottom flask equipped with a stirbar and a West condensor 2'-Amino-4,4'-diphenylethynyl-1-formamido-5'-nitrobenzene (0.04, 0.10 mmol), triphenylphosphine (0.09 g, 0.33 mmol), triethylamine (0.04 mL, 0.39 mmol), carbontetrachloride (0.03 mL, 0.31 mmol), and methylene chloride (10 mL) were added. The reaction was heated to 60 °C for 5 h. The reaction mixture was cooled and quenched with water and extracted with methylene chloride (3×). Organic layers were combined and dried over MgSO\textsubscript{4}. Volatiles were removed in vacuo. The crude reaction mixture was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and ethyl acetate as the eluent. R\textsubscript{f} (product): 0.85. An additional purification was performed using gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and a mixture of 1:1 methylene chloride/hexanes as the eluent. R\textsubscript{f} (product): 0.30. The reaction afforded 0.03 g (83 % yield, 2 steps) of the desired material. IR (KBr) 3450.62, 3358.15, 2925.78, 2855.52, 2200.00, 2114.03, 1618.06, 1542.38, 1506.39, 1432.51, 1367.16, 1309.39, 1246.34, 1203.57, 1144.72, 1097.07, 995.30, 835.22, 749.25, 470.10 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CHCl\textsubscript{3}) \( \delta \) 8.32 (s, 1 H), 7.68-7.55 (m, 4 H), 7.45-7.37 (m, 5 H), 6.97 (s, 1 H), 4.89 (br s, 2 H). \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \( \delta \) 151.38, 133.03, 132.52, 132.07, 130.82, 129.74, 129.03, 128.89, 127.11, 126.98, 123.92, 122.86, 121.80,
118.54, 106.73, 98.30, 95.78, 86.36, 86.16. HRMS Calcd C_{23}H_{13}N_{2}O_{2}: 363.1008. Found: 363.1008.

4-Bromo-1-\textit{n}-hexylbenzene (AMR-I-261, 268). In an 125 mL Erlenmeyer flask, bromine (0.52 mL, 10 mmol) was absorbed on neutral, Brockmann grade I alumina (10 g). \textit{1}-Phenylhexane (1.88 mL, 10 mmol) was absorbed on neutral alumina (10 g) in a second 125 mL Erlenmeyer flask. The contents of both flasks were combined in a 250 mL Erlenmeyer flask equipped with a magnetic stirbar. The reaction was complete within 1 min when the dark orange color of the bromine became light yellow. The solid mass was then poured in a column that contained a short plug of silica gel. The desired product was eluted with methylene chloride to give 2.58 g (100 \% yield) of the desired clear oil. According to $^1$H NMR, there was a ratio of products; 80:15:5 (desired product: starting material: ortho substituted product). Isolation of the desired material was deemed to be more facile after the subsequent step. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.37 (d, $J$=8.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.03 (d, $J$=8.2 Hz, 2 H), 2.54 (t, $J$=7.5 Hz, 2 H), 1.60 (p, $J$=7.1 Hz, 2 H), 1.38-1.24 (m, 8 H), 0.92-0.84 (m, 3 H).

1-\textit{n}-Hexyl-4-(trimethylsilylethynyl)benzene (AMR-I-266, 275, II-245). See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling reaction. 4-Bromo-1-\textit{n}-
hexylbenzene (7.23 g, 30.0 mmol) was coupled to trimethylsilylacetylene (5.94 mL, 42.0 mmol) as described above using copper(I) iodide (0.69 g, 3.6 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (0.84 g, 1.2 mmol), triphenylphosphine (1.57 g, 6.0 mmol), triethylamine (30.36 mL, 300 mmol), and THF (30 mL) in a large oven dried pressure tube equipped with a magnetic stirbar. The reaction was sealed under a N₂ atmosphere and was heated to 85 °C for 3 d. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. The desired material was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and hexanes as the eluent. The reaction afforded 5.26 g (68 % yield) of the desired material. IR (KBr) 2923.1, 2851.3, 2158.2, 1923.1, 1507.7, 1461.5, 1405.1, 1246.2, 1220.5, 861.5, 835.9, 753.8, 600.0 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.35 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.07 (d, J=8.1 Hz, 2 H), 2.56 (t, J=7.7 Hz, 2 H), 1.62-1.50 (m, 2 H), 1.28 (br s, 8 H), 0.86 (br t, 3 H), 0.22 (s, 9 H). ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 143.48, 131.75, 128.18, 120.17, 105.36, 93.15, 35.95, 31.76, 31.24, 28.95, 22.68, 14.18, 0.17. HRMS calcd C₁₇H₂₆Si: 258.1804. Found: 258.1793.
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1-ₙ-Hexyl-4-(ethynyl)benzene (AMR-I-270, II-6, 239, 255). See the general procedure for the deprotection of trimethylsilyl-protected alkynes. 1-ₙ-Hexyl-4-(trimethylsilylethynyl)benzene (0.18 g, 0.7 mmol) was deprotected to the terminal alkyne using the procedure described above using potassium carbonate (0.48 g, 3.5 mmol), methanol (10 mL), and methylene chloride (10 mL). The reaction mixture
was allowed to stir at room temperature for 2 h. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. Due to the instability of conjugated terminal alkynes, the material was immediately reacted in the next step without additional purification or identification.

![Chemical structure](image)

**2-Bromo-4-nitro-5-(4'-n-hexylphenylethynyl)acetanilide (19, AMR-II-257).**

See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling reaction. 2,5-Dibromo-4-nitroacetanilide (1.42 g, 4.21 mmol) was coupled to 1-ethynyl-4-n-hexylbenzene (0.95 g, 3.83 mmol) as described above using copper(I) iodide (0.02 g, 0.08 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (0.07 g, 0.38 mmol), *N,N*-diisopropylethylamine (2.69 mL, 15.38 mmol), and THF (20 mL) in a screw capped pressure tube equipped with a magnetic stirbar. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 75 ºC for 3 d. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. The desired material was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and a mixture of methylene chloride as the eluent. *R*<sub>f</sub> (product): 0.58. The reaction afforded 0.52 g (31% yield, 2 steps) of the desired material. IR (KBr) 3276.65, 3086.57, 3016.72, 2926.07, 2852.18, 2213.34, 1671.79, 1592.84, 1560.91, 1534.12, 1500.11, 1460.89, 1389.78, 1337.01, 1260.89, 1093.45, 1020.52, 894.33, 813.73, 743.88, 631.04, 464.48, 442.99 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CHCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.83 (s, 1 H), 8.39 (s, 1 H), 7.81 (br s, 1 H), 7.35 (ABq, *J*=8.3 Hz, Δν=110.6 Hz, 4 H), 2.65 (t,
$J=7.6$ Hz, 2 H), 2.33 (s, 3 H), 1.63 (p, $J=7.8$, 6.1, 2 H), 1.40-1.22 (m, 6 H), 0.93 (t, $J=7.2$ Hz, 3 H). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 168.83, 145.36, 144.28, 140.22, 132.55, 129.38, 129.03, 125.18, 120.44, 119.69, 111.21, 99.49, 84.76, 36.43, 32.08, 31.53, 29.32, 25.49, 22.99, 14.49. HRMS Calcd C$_{22}$H$_{23}$Br$^{79}$N$_2$O$_3$: 442.0892. Found: 442.0895.

Methyl-4-ethynylbenzoate (20, AMR-II-259). See the general procedure for the deprotection of trimethylsilyl-protected alkynes. Methyl-4-(trimethylsilyl-ethynyl)benzoate (0.75 g, 3.23 mmol) was deprotected to the terminal alkyne via the procedure described above using potassium carbonate (2.23 g, 16.15 mmol), methanol (50 mL), and methylene chloride (50 mL). The mixture, in a round bottom flask, was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. Extraction of the product followed the procedure described previously to yield 0.488 g of the desired product that was immediately reacted in the next step.

Methyl 2'-acetamido-4,4'-diphenylethynyl-4''-n-hexyl-5'-nitrobenzoate(AMR-II-261). See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling reaction. 2-Bromo-5-(4'-n-hexylphenylethynyl)-4-nitroacetanilide (0.23 g, 0.52 mmol) was coupled to 1-ethynyl-4-methyl benzoate (0.11 g, 0.68 mmol) as described
above using copper(I) iodide (0.01 g, 0.05 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (0.02 g, 0.03 mmol), N,N-diisopropylethylamine (0.36 mL, 2.08 mmol), and THF (15 mL) in a screw capped pressure tube equipped with a magnetic stirbar. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 75 °C for 3 d. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. The desired material was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and a mixture of methylene chloride as the eluent. R<sub>f</sub> (product): 0.20. The reaction afforded 0.26 g (96 % yield) of the desired material. IR (KBr) 3426.99, 3286.07, 2926.72, 2844.78, 2361.19, 2334.33, 2194.63, 1722.66, 1671.72, 1602.42, 1546.11, 1494.92, 1426.27, 1407.39, 1339.77, 1276.39, 1173.17, 1105.95, 760.00 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CHCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.86 (s, 1 H), 8.33 (s, 1 H), 8.06 (br s, 1 H), 7.85 (ABq, <i>J</i>=6.8 Hz, Δν=188.8 Hz, 4 H), 7.35 (ABq, <i>J</i>=8.2 Hz, Δν=170.20 Hz, 4 H), 3.98 (s, 3 H), 2.66 (t, <i>J</i>=7.6 Hz, 2 H), 2.35 (s, 3 H), 1.65 (p, <i>J</i>=7.8, 6.1 Hz, 2 H), 1.40-1.37 (m, 6 H), 0.93 (t, <i>J</i>=6.8 Hz, 3 H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 168.70, 166.52, 145.43, 144.23, 142.39, 132.65, 132.03, 131.37, 130.30, 129.15, 129.03, 126.09, 123.95, 121.65, 119.74, 111.04, 100.61, 98.76, 85.46, 85.05, 52.86, 36.45, 32.08, 31.54, 29.32, 25.56, 22.99, 14.48. HRMS Calcd C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>30</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: 522.2155. Found: 522.2147.
Methyl 2′-amino-4,4′-diphenylethynyl-4′′-n-hexyl-5′-nitrobenzoate (21, AMR-II-267). To a 100 mL round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirbar methyl 2′-acetamido-4,4′-diphenylethynyl-4′′-n-hexyl-5′-nitrobenzoate (0.10 g, 0.19 mmol), potassium carbonate (0.16 g, 1.15 mmol), methanol (15 mL), and methylene chloride (15 mL) were added. The reaction mixture was allowed to react at room temperature for 1 h. The reaction was quenched with water and extracted with methylene chloride (3×). Organic layers were combined and dried over MgSO₄. Volatiles were removed in vacuo. No further purification was needed. The reaction afforded 0.09 g (99 % yield) of the desired material. IR (KBr) 3475.47, 3362.54, 2914.63, 2850.15, 2205.37, 1706.79, 1629.40, 1596.36, 1543.77, 1519.70, 1426.27, 1316.01, 1290.51, 1279.59, 1173.73, 1141.49, 1114.87, 760.00, 679.40, 614.93, 469.85 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CHCl₃) δ 8.31 (s, 1 H), 7.85 (ABq, J = 8.6 Hz, Δv=182.9 Hz, 4 H), 7.36 (ABq, J=8.2 Hz, Δv=129.83 Hz, 4 H), 6.95 (s, 1 H), 4.92 (br s, 2 H), 3.96 (s, 3 H), 2.64 (t, J=7.6 Hz, 2 H), 1.65 (p, J=7.7, 6.8 Hz, 2 H), 1.36-1.27 (m, 6 H), 0.91 (t, J=7.1 Hz, 3 H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.74, 151.42, 145.13, 139.96, 132.46, 131.92, 130.77, 130.64, 130.10, 129.00, 127.11, 121.96, 120.01, 118.38, 106.80, 98.72, 96.69, 86.51, 85.81, 52.75, 36.42, 32.08, 31.56, 29.32, 22.99, 14.47. HRMS Calcd C₃₀H₂₈N₂O₄: 480.2049. Found: 480.2050.
2′-Amino-4,4′-diphenylethynyl-4′′-n-hexyl-5′-nitrobenzoic acid (22, AMR-II-269). To a 250 mL round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirbar methyl 2′-amino-4,4′-diphenylethynyl-4′′-n-hexyl-5′-nitrobenzoate (0.07 g, 0.15 mmol), lithium hydroxide (0.02, 0.75 mmol), methanol (9 mL), methylene chloride (5 mL), and water (3 mL) were added. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 2.5 d. The reaction was quenched with water and extracted with methylene chloride (3x). The yellow aqueous phases were combined and acidified to pH=3 whereupon a yellow solid precipitated. The solid material was collected on a fritted funnel. The collected solid reaction mixture was purified by gravity column chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and methylene chloride as the eluent. Rf (product): 0.10. The reaction afforded 0.065 g (94 % yield) of the desired material. IR (KBr) 3460.77, 3378.60, 2957.49, 2921.54, 2844.51, 2207.7, 1580.98, 1542.74, 1428.19, 1385.56, 1307.71, 1242.23, 1108.70, 774.89, 646.51, 615.69, 456.49 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, MeOH) δ 8.22 (s, 1 H), 7.72 (ABq, J=8.5 Hz, Δv=142.14 Hz, 4 H), 7.38 (ABq, J=8.2 Hz, Δv=97.07 Hz, 4 H), 6.99 (s, 1 H), 2.61 (t, J=7.6 Hz, 2 H), 1.69-1.59 (m, 2 H), 1.42-1.28 (m, 6 H), 0.96-0.86 (m, 3 H).
4,4′-Dibromo-2,2′-dinitrobiphenyl (AMR-II-81).\textsuperscript{24} In a large oven dried screw capped tube equipped with a magnetic stirbar 2,2′-dinitrobiphenyl (2.44 g, 10.0 mmol) and silver acetate (4.01 g, 24.0 mmol) were added. Glacial acetic acid (20 mL), sulfuric acid (2.03 mL, 38.0 mmol), and bromine (1.54 mL, 30.0 mmol) were sequentially added and the reaction vessel was sealed. The reaction vessel was heated to 80 °C for 16 h. The reaction mixture was cooled and was poured into ice water. The solid material was then collected by filtration. The desired material was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and a mixture of 1:1 methylene chloride/hexanes as the eluent. R\textsubscript{f} (product): 0.58. The reaction afforded 1.43 (36 % yield) of the desired material as a yellow solid. IR (KBr) 3097.4, 2861.5, 1523.1, 1384.6, 1338.5, 1271.8, 1241.0, 1148.7, 1092.3, 1000.0, 892.3, 835.9, 764.1, 723.1, 697.4 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) δ 8.37 (d, J=2.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.81 (dd, J=2.0, 8.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.15 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2 H). \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) δ 147.07, 136.34, 131.76, 131.69, 127.81, 122.66. HRMS Calcd C\textsubscript{12}H\textsubscript{8}Br\textsubscript{2}N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}: 399.8694. Found: 399.8675.

2,2′-Dinitro-4,4′-bis(trimethylsilylethynyl)biphenyl (24, AMR-II-125). See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling reaction. 4, 4′-Dibromo-2,2′-
dinitrobiphenyl (1.50 g, 3.73 mmol) was coupled to trimethylsilylacetylene (1.32 mL, 9.33 mmol) as described above using copper(I) iodide (0.07 g, 0.37 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (0.13 g, 0.19 mmol), triphenylphosphine (0.20 g, 0.75 mmol), triethylamine (1.62 mL, 14.92 mmol), and THF (25 mL) in a screw capped pressure tube equipped with a magnetic stirbar. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 75 °C for 3 d. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. The desired material was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and a mixture of 1:1 methylene chloride/hexanes as the eluent. R_f (product): 0.55. The reaction afforded 1.44 g (88 % yield) of the desired compound as a very viscous yellow liquid. IR (KBr) 3743.6, 3651.3, 3076.9, 2953.8, 2892.3, 2153.8, 2061.8, 1943.6, 1876.9, 1805.1, 1610.4, 1523.3, 1477.1, 1405.3, 1338.6, 1256.6, 1215.6, 1143.8, 1092.5, 1000.2, 928.4, 851.5, 759.2, 692.5, 641.2 cm\(^{-1}\). \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 8.28 (d, \(J=1.6\) Hz, 2 H), 7.71 (dd, \(J=6.2, 0.7\) Hz, 2 H), 7.20 (d, \(J=6.9\) Hz, 2 H), 0.19 (s, 18 H). \(^13\)C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 146.76, 136.22, 133.26, 130.71, 128.04, 124.97, 101.67, 98.74, -0.07. HRMS Calcd C\(_{22}\)H\(_{24}\)N\(_2\)O\(_4\)Si\(_2\): 436.1275. Found: 436.1281.

![Chemical structure](attachment://structure.png)

**2-Amino-2'-nitro-4,4'-bis(trimethylsilylethynyl)biphenyl (25, AMR-II-153)**.

2,2'-Dinitro-4,4'-bis(trimethylsilylethynyl)biphenyl (0.70 g, 1.60 mmol), glacial acetic acid (15 mL), and THF (15 mL) were charged to a 100 mL round bottom flask equipped
with a magnetic stirbar and a West condenser. The reaction mixture was allowed to heat to reflux. Iron powder (0.20, 3.52 mmol) was carefully added to the refluxing reaction mixture. The reaction mixture was allowed to reflux for 2 h while being monitored by TLC. The reaction mixture was cooled, quenched with water, and filtered through filter paper to remove unreacted iron. The filtrate was extracted with brine (3×) and diluted with methylene chloride. Organic layers were combined and dried over magnesium chloride. Volatiles were removed in vacuo. The crude reaction mixture was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and a mixture of 3:1 methylene chloride/hexanes as the eluent. \( R_f \) (product): 0.68. The reaction afforded 0.13 g (21 % yield, 33 % based on a recovered 0.26 g of starting material) of the desired material. IR (KBr) 3469.7, 3382.5, 2953.8, 2154.7, 1617.1, 1529.9, 1479.1, 1413.7, 1346.2, 1242.5, 848.4, 759.5 cm\(^{-1}\). \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta 8.02 \) (d, \( J=1.7 \) Hz, 1 H), 7.68 (dd, \( J=7.8, 1.6 \) Hz, 1 H), 7.36 (d \( J=7.8 \) Hz, 1 H), 6.93-6.86 (m, 3 H), 3.49 (s, 2 H), 0.28 (s, 9 H), 0.25 (s, 9 H). \(^{13}\)C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta 149.08, 143.25, 135.73, 132.67, 132.41, 128.92, 127.58, 124.33, 124.19, 123.13, 122.56, 118.91, 104.72, 101.77, 98.24, 94.43, 0.09, -0.12. HRMS Calcd C\(_{22}\)H\(_{26}\)N\(_2\)O\(_2\)Si\(_2\): 406.1533. Found: 406.1532.

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{2-Amino-2'-nitro-4,4'-diethynylbiphenyl (AMR-II-155). See the general procedure for the deprotection of trimethylsilyl-protected alkynes. 2-Amino-2'-nitro-}
\end{array}
\]
4,4'-dtrimethylsilylethynylbiphenyl (0.13 g, 0.33 mmol) was deprotected to the terminal alkyne using the procedure described above using potassium carbonate (0.46 g, 3.30 mmol), methanol (10 mL), and methylene chloride (10 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 2 h. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. Due to the instability of conjugated terminal alkynes, the material was immediately used in the next step without additional purification or identification.

![Chemical Structure](image)

2-Amino-2'-nitro-4,4'-di(1-thioacetyl(phenylethynyl))biphenyl (26, AMR-II-157). See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling reaction. 2-Amino-2'-nitro-4,4'-diethynylbiphenyl (0.09 g, 0.33 mmol) was coupled to 1-iodo-4-thioacetylbenzene (0.22 g, 0.79 mmol) as described above using copper(I) iodide (0.02 g, 0.10 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (0.02 g, 0.03 mmol), N,N-diisopropylethylamine (0.46 mL, 2.64 mmol), and THF (10 mL) in a screw capped pressure tube equipped with a magnetic stirbar. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 50 °C for 2 d. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. The desired material was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and methylene chloride as the eluent. \( R_f \) (product): 0.55. The reaction afforded 0.11 g (61 % yield, 2 steps) of the desired compound as a bright yellow solid. IR (KBr) 3128.2, 2924.8, 2859.4, 1718.8, 1348.6, 1261.1, 1108.5, 948.7, 825.2,
614.5 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.12 (d, $J$=1.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.78 (dd, $J$=6.2, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.58 (dd, $J$=6.6, 1.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.54 (d, $J$=8.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.46-7.36 (m, 5 H), 7.02-6.94 (m, 3 H), 3.59 (s, 2 H), 2.45 (s, 3 H), 2.43 (s, 3 H). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 193.26, 192.96, 149.29, 143.50, 135.56, 134.24, 134.14, 132.74, 132.66, 132.44, 132.36, 132.26, 132.14, 129.17, 128.02, 127.35, 124.42, 124.22, 124.09, 123.21, 122.38, 118.631, 91.64, 90.84, 88.86, 88.12, 30.48, 30.42. HRMS Calcd C$_{32}$H$_{22}$N$_2$O$_4$S$_2$: 563.1099. Found: 563.1094.

![Chemical Structure](image)

3,3'-Dinitro-2,2'-bipyridyl (AMR-II-219). To a 250 mL round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirbar and a West condensor 2-chloro-3-nitopyridine (15.0 g, 94.61 mmol) and copper bronze (15.03 g, 236.53 mmol) were added. DMF (100 mL) was added and the reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 18 h. The reaction mixture was cooled and was filtered through a pad of celite. The filter cake was washed with boiling DMF. The filtrate was poured into 1000 mL of water and the desired material precipitated. The solid material was collected on a fritted funnel to give 3.57 g (35 % yield, first crop) of a golden brown solid. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.91 (dd, $J$=4.8, 1.5 Hz, 2 H), 8.60 (dd, $J$=8.3, 1.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.67 (dd, $J$=8.4, 4.8 Hz, 2 H). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 153.52, 151.79, 144.33, 133.44, 124.65.
5,5'-Dibromo-3,3'-dinitro-2,2'-bipyridyl (28, AMR-II-225, 227). To a 100 mL round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar, 3,3'-dinitro-2,2'-bipyridyl (1.00 g, 4.06 mmol) was added. The starting material was dissolved in MeOH (50 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL). In a separate 100 mL two necked round bottom flask was added KBr (9.66 g, 81.2 mmol) and then bromine (4.33 mL, 81.2 mmol) was slowly added. The KBr/Br₂ mixture was slowly transferred via cannula over 30 min to the first flask containing the bipyridine. The desired material precipitated and was collected on a fritted funnel. The collected solid was added to an oven dried pressure tube equipped with a magnetic stir bar and capped with a septum. Bromine (0.42 mL, 8.12 mmol) was added the septum was removed and the reaction vessel was quickly sealed with a screw cap then heated to 180 °C for 3 d. The reaction was cooled and poured into a solution of ice water. 1 M NaHSO₃ (aq) was added to react with any unreacted bromine. The solution was made alkaline with NaOH (s). The resulting solution was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (4×). The organic layers were combined and dried over MgSO₄. Volatiles were removed in vacuo. The reaction mixture was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and 2:3 ethyl acetate/hexanes as the eluent mixture. Rf (product): 0.41. The reaction afforded 0.52 g (45 % yield). IR (KBr) 3425.07, 3059.70, 1578.41, 1544.96, 1428.03, 1345.68, 1232.84, 1104.05, 1027.57, 897.37, 879.49, 789.60, 749.49, 649.64, 551.72, 475.22 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.89 (d, J=2.0 Hz, 2
H), 8.67 (d, J= 2.1 Hz, 2 H). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 154.26, 148.55, 143.76, 135.50, 120.86. HRMS Calcd C$_{10}$H$_4$Br$_2$N$_4$O$_4$: 401.8600. Found: 401.8603.

3-Amino-5,5′-ditrimethylsilylethynyl-3′-nitro-2,2′-bipyridyl (29, AMR-II-231). See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling reaction. 5,5′-dibromo-3,3′-dinitro-2,2′-bipyridyl (0.40 g, 1.41 mmol) was coupled to trimethylsilylacetylene (0.60 mL, 4.23 mmol) using copper(I) iodide (0.04 g, 0.23 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (0.13 g, 0.19 mmol), N,N-diisopropylethylamine (1.97 mL, 11.28 mmol), and THF (10 mL) in a screw capped pressure tube equipped with a magnetic stir bar. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 75 °C for 3 d. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. The desired material was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and a mixture of 1:1 methylene chloride/hexanes as the eluent. R$_f$ (product): 0.70. The reaction afforded 0.10 g of the desired amino/nitro compound and 0.03 g of the dinitro product. IR (KBr) 3442.55, 3261.82, 2957.58, 2899.86, 2144.38, 1594.75, 1561.95, 1394.84, 1248.80, 1214.22, 1182.60, 1091.00, 844.12, 757.63, 649.60 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.17 (d, J= 1.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.93 (d, J=1.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.36 (d, d=1.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.17 (d, J=1.7 Hz), 6.80 (br s, 2 H), 0.30 (s, 9 H), 0.29 (s, 9 H). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 156.28, 143.92, 140.67, 140.08, 137.78, 136.61, 127.51, 126.85, 120.64, 120.48, 102.09, 101.59, 99.24, 98.88, 0.25, 0.20. Additional formation
of the 5,5′-ditrimethylsilylthynyl-3,3′-dinitro-2,2′-bipyridyl was seen and yielded 0.03 g of material. IR (KBr) 3080.74, 2952.35, 2890.73, 2156.35, 1591.44, 1542.61, 1448.33, 1386.02, 1359.38, 1249.87, 1185.73, 1021.40, 939.23, 908.42, 844.36, 809.63, 761.16, 642.57 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.86 (d, J=1.8 Hz, 2 H), 8.56 (d, J=1.8 Hz, 2 H), 0.33 (s, 18 H). ᵃ¹C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 155.51, 149.38, 143.86, 135.69, 122.24, 103.78, 98.68, -0.05. HRMS Calcd C₂₅H₂₂N₄O₄Si₂: 438.1180. Found: 438.1180.

![Chemical structure](image)

**3-Amino-5,5′-ethynyl-3′-nitro-2,2′-bipyridyl (AMR-II-247).** See the general procedure for the deprotection of trimethylsilyl-protected alkynes. 3-Amino-5,5′-ditrimethylsilylthynyl-3′-nitro-2,2′-bipyridyl (0.09 g, 0.23 mmol) was deprotected to the terminal alkyne using the procedure described above using potassium carbonate (0.32 g, 2.30 mmol), methanol (10 mL), and methylene chloride (10 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 2 h. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. Due to the instability of conjugated terminal alkynes, the material was immediately used in the next step without additional purification or identification.
3-Amino-5,5′-di(4′',4′″-(thioacetyl)phenylethynyl)-3′-nitro-2,2′-bipyridyl

(30, AMR-II-249). See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling reaction. 3-Amino-5,5′-ethynyl-3′-nitro-2,2′-bipyridyl (0.09 g, 0.23 mmol) was coupled to 1-iodo-4-thioacetylbenzene (0.16 g, 0.58 mmol) as described above using copper(I) iodide (0.01 g, 0.04 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (0.02 g, 0.02 mmol), N,N-diisopropylethylamine (0.32 mL, 1.84 mmol), and THF (10 mL) in a screw capped pressure tube equipped with a magnetic stirbar. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 60 °C for 2 d. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. The desired material was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and 3:7 ethyl acetate/ hexanes as the eluent. \( R_f \) (product): 0.35. The reaction afforded 0.073 g (54 % yield, 2 steps) of the desired compound as a bright yellow solid. IR (KBr) 3441.37, 3245.08, 2916.41, 2844.51, 2197.43, 1701.84, 1596.23, 1560.85, 1483.59, 1424.31, 1397.77, 1264.00, 1205.64, 1114.78, 1014.94, 949.28, 872.47, 824.84, 723.54, 605.42, 543.79, 466.76 cm\(^{-1}\). \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 8.28 (dd, \( J=1.8 \) Hz, 1 H), 8.04 (dd, \( J=1.8 \) Hz, 1 H), 7.56 (\( \frac{1}{2} \) ABq, \( J=7.6 \) Hz, 2 H), 7.54 (\( \frac{1}{2} \) ABq, \( J=7.8 \) Hz, 2 H), 7.45 (\( \frac{1}{2} \) ABq, \( J=7.7 \) Hz, 4 H), 7.37 (d, \( J=3.7 \) Hz, 1 H), 7.26 (d, \( J=1.7 \) Hz, 1 H), 6.85 (br s, 2 H), 2.48 (s, 3 H), 2.47 (s, 3 H). \(^{13}\)C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO) \( \delta\)193.54, 193.50, 156.42, 144.30, 140.33, 140.28, 136.21, 135.56, 134.78, 134.77, 132.56, 132.55, 129.66, 129.49, 126.88, 126.37, 124.11, 123.91, 120.52, 120.22, 92.42, 92.27, 88.19, 87.75, 30.53, 30.53.
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Chapter 2

Preparative Bench-top Enrichment of C$_{60}$, C$_{70}$, and the Higher Fullerene Allotropes Using a Brominated Polystyrene Stationary Phase
In 1985, Kroto et al. discovered a third allotrope of carbon named buckminsterfullerene. It consists of 60 carbon atoms and is spherical, hollow and geodesic sphere shaped, the shape of a "soccer ball". Since that time, there have been discoveries of other related fullerenes, C_{70}, C_{76}, C_{78}, C_{84}, and higher. These higher fullerenes have similar properties but differ from C_{60} in reduction potentials, magnetic susceptibility, and possibly aromaticity.

Buckminsterfullerene and higher fullerenes are easily made by a carbon arc method, however purification of crude fullerenes remains difficult. The slight differences in molecular weight and solubility make them difficult to purify. These molecules have low solubilities in many common solvents, like hexanes, therefore chlorobenzene and other aromatic solvents must be used. The low solubility in inexpensive, common solvents contributes to the ineffectiveness of performing separations on common stationary phases such as silica gel, using flash chromatography. Therefore, continued development is dependent on separation methods that facilitate isolation of gram quantities of fullerenes. This would enable the scientific community to fully evaluate the potential utility of these materials.

Early procedures for separating C_{60} employing neutral alumina as the stationary phase were found to be inefficient. The cost of alumina, the amount of solvent needed, the duration of the separation, and the stationary phase's inability to separate any fullerenes higher than C_{60} called for a more effective way to purify fullerenes. Tour et al. found that activated charcoal columns containing Norit A and silica gel, efficiently
separated $C_{60}$. Further development led to an efficient method for isolation of $C_{70}$ by modifying the mobile phase.\textsuperscript{13} These two developments enabled gram quantities of these materials to be readily available. However, methods for efficient isolation of gram quantities of the higher fullerenes ($>C_{70}$) had not appeared prior to this work.

Higher fullerenes are currently separated by high pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) employing the Buckyclutzer I stationary phase,\textsuperscript{14} or by gel permeation chromatography (GPC).\textsuperscript{11,15} These methods of purification have limitations. For example, they typically have (1) limited load capacities, (2) poor resolution of the higher fullerenes, (3) require multiple (as many as 30) passes through the column,\textsuperscript{2} and (4) necessitate expensive specialty columns for efficient separation.

Gel permeation chromatography (GPC) separations typically functions by a size exclusion mechanism, whereas HPLC operates by an affinity mechanism. Fullerenes elute in ascending order, $C_{60}$ initially, when introduced into a HPLC system. In GPC, the molecule that has the largest hydrodynamic volume typically elutes first, followed by the smaller molecules in descending order.\textsuperscript{16} Previous GPC experiments showed that fullerenes elute in reverse order with reference to polystyrene standards.\textsuperscript{17} $C_{60}$ elutes first, followed by the higher fullerenes in ascending order. Therefore, the typical size exclusion mechanism is not operating for fullerenes using the typical styrene-based stationary phases.\textsuperscript{18,19}

The aforementioned phenomenon has lead investigators to propose an affinity mechanism that supersedes the typical size exclusion mechanism. It has been hypothesized that a $\pi$-acidic (electron deficient) stationary phase might increase the retention and promote the discrimination of the $\pi$-basic (electron rich) fullerenes.\textsuperscript{20} The
possible differences in aromaticity\textsuperscript{3,6} and their differing degrees of electron delocalization\textsuperscript{21} of the fullerenes may lead to this affinity interaction. Aromatic hydrocarbons have shown large retention on polystyrene/divinylbenzene stationary phases that are believed to involve a $\pi-\pi$ or charge-transfer interaction between the fullerenes and the stationary phase.\textsuperscript{22}

Building on the abovementioned stationary phase affinity for solute principle, we hypothesized that increasing the stationary phase's ability to interact with the more compatible components in the crude fullerene mixture would increase the retention times, giving a more effective separation. Thus, we investigated use of functionalized polystyrene stationary phases for the purification of fullerenes. We therefore investigated use of functionalized polystyrene stationary phases for the purification of fullerenes using various styrene monomers that were similar in structure to potent fullerene solvents.\textsuperscript{18} The suspension polymerized resins prepared and screened for their efficacy in fullerene separations included polystyrene/DVB, poly(4-chlorostyrene)/DVB, poly(4-naphthylstyrene)/DVB, and poly(dibromostyrene)/divinylbenzene (PDBS/DVB). The PDBS/DVB stationary phase permitted a superior separation.

Outlined here is a simple method for the bench-top enrichment of preparative amounts of C\textsubscript{60}, C\textsubscript{70}, and the higher fullerenes (C\textsubscript{100}) from a crude fullerene mixture by a single elution through a column of PDBS/DVB using chlorobenzene as the mobile phase. PDBS-DVB stationary phase has been used in recent studies to separate the entire spectrum of chlorobenzene soluble fullerenes. A single elution through a column of poly(dibromo)styrene/divinylbenzene (PDBS-DVB) using chlorobenzene as the mobile phase, enabled us to separate seven fullerene fractions from a crude fullerene mixture.
The PDBS-DVB stationary phase has proven to be superior to current methods of fullerene separation because it (1) was inexpensive since the monomer is produced in bulk (the polymer is used as a flame-retarding additive for commodity plastics), (2) was easily produced in large quantities by a suspension polymerization, (3) permitted the use of potent fullerene solvents, and (4) could be easily reused. Numerous potent fullerene solvents including chlorobenzene, 1,2-dichlorobenzene, carbon disulfide, and toluene were investigated as mobile phases; chlorobenzene gave the optimal separation for fullerenes in $<\text{C}_{100}$ range.$^{27}$

![Reaction Scheme](image)

The production of the PDBS-DVB stationary involves a simple bead suspension polymerization using well known conditions according to equation 1.$^{24}$ The reaction conditions have been optimized to afford a 84% yield, mass to mass, for the polymerization, of which 50 weight % is the desired 200-400 mesh (37-74 $\mu$m) particle size range which is optimal for gravity column chromatography.

As expected, fullerenes elute from the PDBS-DVB stationary phase in the reverse order expected for a size exclusion mechanism.$^{18}$ $\text{C}_{60}$ elutes first, followed by the higher fullerenes in ascending order. It is possible that the slight differences between the fullerenes may contribute to an affinity type mechanism for separation that supersedes the typical size exclusion mechanism. The stationary phase contains dibrominated aryl
rings that are electron deficient (π acids) which interact with the fullerenes that are more electron rich (π bases).

Numerous solvents (including chlorobenzene, 1,2-dichlorobenzene, carbon disulfide, and toluene) which solubilize fullerenes well, were investigated as mobile phases for this separation. Of the solvents investigated, chlorobenzene gave the best separation. In addition, separation by gravity filtration appears to be optimal because flash chromatography compresses the stationary phases, which adversely affects resolution.

A typical purification was performed as follows. A slurry of the PDBS-DVB stationary phase (140 g) and chlorobenzene (250 mL) was poured into a glass column (55 mm i.d., 270 mm long with a 500 mL solvent reservoir) containing a cotton plug. The slurry was allowed to settle until the solvent was approximately 1 mm above the stationary phase. The stationary phase should not be allowed to become solvent-free. A homogeneous, saturated chlorobenzene (20 mL) solution of 100.4 mg crude fullerenes (sonication of the mixture was used to facilitate the dissolution process) extracted from carbon soot, was introduced onto the top of the PDBS-DVB stationary phase. The column was then eluted at a flow rate of 1 mL/min using chlorobenzene as the mobile phase. The fractions were collected and tested by HPLC to determine purity.

Seven distinct colored bands, figure 1, were seen during the separation. Listed in Table 1 are the colors, purities, and the amounts recovered from this separation. The total column recovery was 95% of starting fullerenes.
Figure 1. Fullerene allotrope fractions eluting from the PDBS-DVB stationary phase.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band Number&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Band Color</th>
<th>Constituent(s) (% purity)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Isolated weight (mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>purple</td>
<td>C60 (99.3)</td>
<td>51.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>dark brown</td>
<td>C70 (98.8)</td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>golden</td>
<td>C76 (30.0)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C78 (61.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>golden gray</td>
<td>C78 (98.7)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>olive</td>
<td>C84 (84.3)</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C86 (10.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>light gray</td>
<td>C90, C92 (mix, 100%)&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>dark gray</td>
<td>C90, C92 (mix, 10.9)&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C94, C96 (mix, 89.1)&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Fractions Collected From the PDBS/DVB Column. <sup>a</sup>The lower the band number, the faster the elution rate. <sup>b</sup>The purity was determined by HPLC on a C-18 column using a 55:45 MeOH/toluene mixture at a flow rate of 1 mL/min and UV detection at 340 nm. The peak identities were determined relative to authentic samples. <sup>c</sup>The broadened peak did not have adequate resolution to permit quantitation of each of the two components in the mixture.
Additionally, the PDBS-DVB stationary phase column has been scaled up. A solution of chlorobenzene consisting of 506 mg of crude fullerenes was also separated on 140 g of stationary phase. Five distinct colored bands were seen, yet C\textsubscript{76} and C\textsubscript{78}, and the fullerenes higher than C\textsubscript{84} co-eluted. It is believed that this column was overloaded. For this column, 395.1 mg of C\textsubscript{60}, 111.6 mg of C\textsubscript{70}, 17.0 mg of a mixture of C\textsubscript{76} and C\textsubscript{78}, 18.8 mg of C\textsubscript{84}, and 6.7 mg of fullerenes higher than C\textsubscript{84} were recovered.

A final set of columns was run on 507 mg of fullerene soot enriched in higher fullerenes (>C\textsubscript{70}) from BuckyUSA. The procedures were the same except the material was purified by multiple columns and not by a single pass. 324.3 mg of C\textsubscript{70}, 90.6 mg of C\textsubscript{76}, 17.3 mg of C\textsubscript{78}, 82.3 mg of C\textsubscript{84}, and 34.6 mg of fullerenes higher than C\textsubscript{84} were isolated.

The ability of the PDBS-DVB stationary phase to separate other organic molecules is being determined. Currently, silica gel, which is expensive, not easily reusable, and not able to resolve many compounds, is the predominate stationary phase that chemists use to separate organic compounds. The hope is that PDBS-DVB stationary phase will be able to purify many difficult to resolve molecules that silica gel cannot resolve. It is desired that this type of stationary phase will separate many organic compounds by simply tailoring the functionalities and the active sites on the polystyrene backbone.
Experimental

**General.** HPLC was carried out on a Waters C_{18} reverse phase column (3.9 mm x 300 mm i.d.) with 1:1 acetonitrile/toluene mixture at 1 mL/min using UV detection at 290 nm. Toluene and acetonitrile, both HPLC grade, were purchased from Aldrich. Chlorobenzene was purchased from Aldrich and used without purification. Dibromostyrene was donated by Great Lakes Chemical Corporation and purified by passage through neutral alumina. Divinylbenzene, tech. grade, 55% mixture of isomers, was purchased from Aldrich and purified by passage through neutral alumina. AIBN was purchased from Pfaltz and Bauer and used without purification. A Waring two speed commercial blender, model 5011, with a standard offset four blade stirrer was used for the suspension polymerization.

![Poly(dibromo)styrene/divinylbenzene](image)

**Poly(dibromo)styrene/divinylbenzene (2, AMR-I-10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, III-21, 27, 29).** Inhibitor free dibromostyrene (50 mL, 344 mmol) and divinylbenzene (3.2 mL, 210 mmol) were mixed with AIBN (400 mg, 2.43 mmol), 12 mL of a pre-made solution of methyl cellulose (4 g in 800 mL of water) and 400 mL of distilled water. These components were stirred in an industrial blender set at low for 8 min. The resulting suspension was then heated at 75 °C for 2 d. The polymer beads were then poured into a 43 mm x 123 mm Soxhlet thimble and extracted with
acetone for 12 h. The beads were then dried in a vacuum oven at 45 °C (1.0 mm Hg) overnight. Next the beads gently ground with a mortar and pestle and size fractionated using stainless steel full-height laboratory sieves. The suspension polymerization afforded 79.5 grams of product (84% yield) mass to mass, of which 50 weight % is the desired 200-400 mesh particle size range.
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Chapter 3

Synthetic Approaches To Self-assembled Monolayer Precursors For Sub-25 nm Devices Using Negative Tone Resists
Although there is a large effort in STM- and AFM-based direct modifications of surfaces for nano-fabrication as well as research into parallel exposure lithography tools using electrons, ions and atoms, there currently is no method of lithographic exposure that will enable the commercial application of device concepts with feature sizes below 25 nm.\textsuperscript{1} Therefore, novel strategies for electronic device fabrication must be developed for future technologies. For device fabrication, a negative tone resist process based on ultra-thin resist layers appears to provide the most realistic approach to solve this problem. In order to address this goal, the materials currently under study as resists are overwhelmingly polymers. Polymers are limited by their molecular size and their inability to form durable ultra-thin layers for pattern transfer. Our integrated approach involving chemical synthesis, detailed surface analysis, and silicon device structure fabrication, increases the chances of developing a viable device fabrication method. We are using self-assembly in ways that take advantage of the chemical formation of high-quality functional thin layers that can be used to pattern arbitrary geometries with directly exposed features $<25$ nm. At these dimensions, the temperature of operation of a single electron transistor (SET) should approach room temperature.

Self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) have recently been successfully exposed by electrons, ions, UV photons, x-rays and excited atom beams, and they may offer an attractive new technology to attain sub-25 nm devices at reduced dimensions.\textsuperscript{1,2} Regardless of the exposure process that will be used at these diminutive scales, they will require imaging surfaces that can acquire the lithographic patterns in very thin layers. This is necessary, in some cases, because of the extremely limited penetration depth of
the exposing particles. However, a thin layer is primarily needed due to the inevitable spread in energy caused by scattering of the exposing particles. A thinner resist would cause less spreading of the exposing energy because the ultimate resolution is limited by scattering of the incident electron beam and the molecular dimensions of the resist layer (Figure 1).\textsuperscript{1,2} Polymer resists are usually >50 nm thick due to the difficulty of preparing thinner continuous films. In polymer resists, the current resolution is 10-100 nm, with limited line width control.\textsuperscript{1,3} The corresponding thicknesses of SAMs are 1-2 nm.\textsuperscript{4} This order of magnitude decrease in resist thickness should greatly reduce the effect of exposing energy spread thereby improving resolution and feature size control to the required levels of better than 8 nm, Figure 1.\textsuperscript{4} Therefore, the resist layer should be as thin as possible while retaining robustness and pattern transfer requirements. Properly designed SAMs can fulfill this goal.

![Diagram of conventional resist and SAM resist](image)

**Figure 1:** A thinner resist layer, based on a SAM, limits the effects of beam spreading in the resist layer.

There are a few examples that have been reported using SAMs as resists, but they have focused on positive tone processes.\textsuperscript{1,2} However, for most line and device preparations, a negative tone resist process is preferred. In a negative tone resist, the
exposed region forms a barrier layer that retards subsequent etching of the exposed region, Figure 2. Recently, we prepared a SAM wherein a negative tone resist was obtained and we hope to capitalize on this result.

Another feature that makes SAMs attractive is the exceedingly small amount of organic material needed for the process. This could limit waste at the fabrication site, an important consideration for the development of future technologies.

Figure 2: Schematic of a negative tone resist
Initial Result on a Negative Tone Resist Process

In our first effort toward the easily cleavable SAM route for a negative tone resist, we chose to construct a molecular system that had the following characteristics: (1) a long hydrophobic tail for the packing features necessary for SAM formation\(^4\) (though our subsequent work showed that this feature is not a requirement for obtaining densely packed SAMs);\(^6\) (2) an easily cleavable moiety close to the SAM surface which could significantly increase the throughput of the process by requiring lower beam dosages; (3) a SiCl\(_3\) moiety on the end to bind to the hydrated SiO\(_2\) surface; (4) the SiCl\(_3\) moiety needed to be attached in the final synthetic step since it is a reactive group and subject to decomposition during other synthetic work-up procedures; (5) the attachment of the SiCl\(_3\) group required a near quantitative yield reaction since purification by distillation was prohibitive due to the molecular weight of the final product; chromatography would lead to hydrolysis of the SiCl\(_3\) group, and the long chain alkyl group would retard any crystallization scheme for purification, Figure 3.

![Figure 3: Optimal configuration of a SAM resist](image)

We prepared the silane 1 according to the protocol outlined in equation 1. EIMS of 1\(^1\) (the methanolysis product of 1) showed a base peak at 105 m/e ([C\(_8\)H\(_9\)]\(^-\)) resulting from ionization of the aryl ring.\(^7\)
Remarkably, 1 responded as a negative tone resist. This suggested that with some molecular architectures, crosslinking can occur which causes a carbon residue to remain, thereby acting as a negative tone resist. To our knowledge, this is the first demonstration of molecular modification of a SAM precursor to afford a negative tone resist material.\(^7\)

Current work on second generation negative tone resists is directed toward the synthesis of compounds that are highly conjugated phenylene ethynylenes that should crosslink with exposure to irradiation to form crosslinked carbon char that is desired for a negative resist. These compounds also possess an easily cleavable benzylic site and lack a hydrophilic tail that recently determined is not needed for SAM formation.\(^6\) Further work will include the formation of SAMs these compounds and their irradiation to determine if they acts as negative tone resists.

The synthesis of a high conjugated phenylene ethynylene for the use as a SAM resist, eq 2, are facile with high yields of 84% and 100% for the coupling and reduction to the benzyl alcohol, respectively. Next the bromination did not occur as expected. The low solubility of the benzyl alcohol and the reactivity of the internal alkynes did not allow for the benzyl bromide formation.
One major limitation to unsubstituted phenylene ethynynes is that they are very insoluble in most organic solvents. Therefore, we determined that the best method for improving the solubility of these types of molecules is to attach side chain alkyl groups.
In eq 3, the addition of the diethyl subunits on the middle phenyl ring disrupted the π-stacking of the phenyl rings to greatly improve the solubility of this molecule. The synthesis commenced with the reduction and subsequent diiodonation of 4-ethylacetophenone that proceeded in very high yields from an inexpensive starting material.\textsuperscript{8} The phenylene ethynylene core is built by four moderate yielding, subsequent Sonogashira couplings, and deprotections to the terminal alkyne to form the benzyl aldehyde 10. In a facile reaction, the benzyl aldehyde was reduced to the benzyl alcohol with sodium borohydride in 99 % yield.

The transformation to the benzyl bromide 11 was surprisingly troublesome. The formation of the mesylate intermediate was very fast but the subsequent S\textsubscript{N}2 attack of the bromide was very slow compared to the attack of the chloride even in acetone. The yield improved when the bromide source was changed from lithium or sodium bromide, due to less ionic interactions between sodium and bromine allowing for a more “naked” anion. During optimization of this reaction, it was determined that an aqueous workup with copper sulfate to remove pyridine before the addition of the sodium bromide, followed with refluxing the mesylate with sodium bromide in acetone was beneficial to this reaction. The attachment of the trichlorosilyl group to the phenylene ethynylene with copper iodide, trichlorosilane, and triethylamine is has been completed but has not been characterized due to the severe reactivity of the substituted trichlorosilane to atmospheric water. To decrease reactivity of 12, the trimethoxysilane derivative may be beneficial.
Eq 4 shows the formation of an additional SAM resist with a hexyl subunit on a
terminal ring to improve solubility. This subunit did improve solubility of this phenylene
ethynylene but not to the extent of the compound 12 that possessed the diethyl subunits
on the interior of the phenyl ring. This synthesis of 18 begins with the bromination of
phenylhexane, which proceeds in high yield. This compound was difficult to purify from
minor side products such as ortho bromination and starting material. Therefore, it was
reacted and purified after the next transformation. The next five steps entail three
Sonogashira couplings and two deprotections to the terminal alkyne to ultimately form
benzyl aldehyde 16 in high yield. After the reduction to the benzyl alcohol, the material
became insoluble in most solvents except for THF and was only partially soluble in
methylene chloride. This insolubility led to difficulties in the formation of the benzyl bromide that could only be achieved after multiple repetitions of this reaction. The
attachment of the trichlorosilyl group to the phenylene ethynylene with Cul,
trichlorosilane, and triethylamine is has been completed but has not been characterized due to the severe reactivity of the substituted trichlorosilane to atmospheric water.

In conclusion, we have prepared the first self-assembled monolayer that upon irradiation acts as a negative tone resist. In addition, we have synthesized a phenylene ethynylene substituted trichlorosilane that should crosslink with exposure to irradiation to be a superior resist material. We are currently in the process of evaluating what functionalities are necessary to form negative tone resists at lower doses of energy. This will possibly allow the fabrication of device feature sizes below 8 nm.
Experimental Procedures

**General.** All reactions were performed under an atmosphere of nitrogen unless stated otherwise. Pyridine, methyl iodide, and \( N,N \)-dimethylformamide (DMF) were distilled over calcium hydride, and stored over 4 Å molecular sieves. Trichlorosilane was distilled immediately before use. Toluene and benzene were distilled over CaH₂. Methylene chloride and hexanes were distilled. Ethyl ether and tetrahydrofuran (THF) were distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl. Triethylamine was distilled over CaH₂. MeOH was dried over oven dried 3 Å molecular sieves. Silica gel plates were 150 µm thick, 60 F₂₅₄ grade form EM Science. Silica gel was grade 60 (230-400 mesh) from EM Science. NMR spectra were observed at 300 MHz and 400 MHz on Brüker WH-400 and AM-300 spectrometers. Water sensitive samples for NMR analysis were run in CDCl₃ that was passed through flame-activated alumina using an oven-dried, septum-sealed NMR tube. Gas chromatography experiments were performed on a Hewlett-Packar GC model 5890A equipped with an Alltech column (cat # 932525, serial # 9059) 25 m × 0.25 mm D × 0.2 mm. Hydrogenations were performed on a Parr Instruments Company hydrogenator model number AP500MLAN15760.

**General Procedure for the coupling of a Terminal Alkyne with an Aryl Halide Utilizing a Palladium-Copper Cross-Coupling (Castro-Stephens/Sonogashira Protocol)** To an oven dried round bottom flask equipped with a water cooled West condenser or screw capped pressure tube with a magnetic stirbar were added the aryl halide, bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (3-5 mol % per halide),
triphenylphosphine (12-20 mol % per halide), and copper(I) iodide (6-10 mol % per halide). The vessel was then sealed with a rubber septum under a N\textsubscript{2} atmosphere. A co-solvent of THF, benzene, or methylene chloride was added at this point depending on the solubility of the aryl halide. Then base, either triethylamine or \textit{N,N}-diisopropylethylamine, was added and finally the terminal alkyne (1-1.5 mol % per halide) was added and the reaction was heated until complete. Upon completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was then quenched with water, a saturated solution of NH\textsubscript{4}Cl, or brine. The organic layer was diluted with methylene chloride or Et\textsubscript{2}O and washed with water, a saturated solution of NH\textsubscript{4}Cl, or brine (3×). The combined aqueous layers were extracted with methylene chloride or Et\textsubscript{2}O (2×). Th combined organic layers were dried over MgSO\textsubscript{4} and solvent removed in vacuo to afford the crude product that was purified by column chromatography (silica gel). Eluents and other slight modifications are described below for each material.

**General Procedure for the Deprotection of a Trimethylsilyl Protected Alkyne.** To a round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirbar were added the trimethylsilyl protected alkyne, potassium carbonate (5 equivalents per alkyne), methanol, and methylene chloride. The reaction vessel was sealed with a septum under a N\textsubscript{2} atmosphere. The reaction mixture progress was monitored by thin layer chromatography. Upon completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was then quenched with water or brine. The organic layer was diluted with methylene chloride and washed with water or brine (3×). The combined aqueous layers were extracted with methylene chloride (2×). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO\textsubscript{4} and
solvent removed in vacuo to afford the crude product that was purified by column chromatography (silica gel). Eluents and other slight modifications are described below for each material.

![Br-\text{C}_6\text{H}_5=\equiv\text{TMS}](image)

**1-Bromo-4-(2'-trimethylsilylethynyl)benzene.** See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu coupling reaction except that amine was added at 0 °C. The compounds used were 1-bromo-4-iodobenzene (2.83 g, 10.0 mmol), trimethylsilylacetylene (1.47 mL, 10.4 mmol), PdCl$_2$(PPh$_3$)$_2$ (0.21 g, 0.30 mmol), CuI (0.11 g, 0.60 mmol), benzene (13 mL), and Et$_3$N (5.6 mL, 40 mmol). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10 h. Flash chromatography (silica gel, hexane) afforded 2.37 g (95 %) of the title compound as a yellow oil with slight impurities. The compound was used for the next step reaction without further purification. Spectra data were identical to those reported in the literature.

![\text{C}_6\text{H}_5=\equiv\text{C}_6\text{H}_5=\equiv\text{TMS}](image)

**4-(Pheny lethynyl)-1-(trimethylsilyl ethynyl)benzene (2, AMR-I-82).** See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu coupling reaction. CuI (0.39 g, 2.03 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (0.70 g, 0.99 mmol), and 1-bromo-4-(2'-trimethylsilyl ethynyl)benzene (5.00 g, 19.76 mmol) were added to a screw cap tube and purged with nitrogen for ~5 min. Phenylacetylene (3.04 mL, 27.66 mmol), triethylamine (9.64 mL, 69.16 mmol), and benzene (10 mL) were added with stirring at room
temperature under an inert atmosphere. The tube was purged with nitrogen, sealed, and the reaction was stirred at 80 °C overnight. The mixture was diluted with methylene chloride and extracted with distilled water. The organic layer was dried with MgSO₄ and volatiles were removed via rotary evaporation. The resulting brown solid was eluted 2 × through a 4 × 20 cm column of silica gel using hexanes as the eluent. The product was obtained as a crystalline white solid (4.71 g, 87%). TLC Rf=0.28 (hexanes). $^1$H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.512 (m, 2 H), 7.441 (m, 4 H), 7.336 (m, 3 H), 0.253 (s, 9 H).

\[
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\]

4-(Phenylethynyl)-1-(ethynyl)benzene (AMR-II-103, 123, 128, 138, 152, 167, 205, 239). See the general procedure for the deprotection of a trimethylsilyl-protected alkyne. A mixture of 4-(Phenylethynyl)-1-(trimethylsilyl)ethynyl)benzene (0.94 g, 3.4 mmol), K₂CO₃ (1.9 g, 14 mmol), methanol (2.5 mL), and methylene chloride (4 mL) was stirred for 30 min. Methylene chloride was added and the resulting mixture was extracted with distilled water. The organic layer was dried with MgSO₄ and the volatiles were removed via rotary evaporation. The product was obtained as a pale yellow solid (0.63 g, 91%). IR (KBr) 3278, 3079, 3062, 3053, 3033, 3017, 1602, 1500, 1440, 1406, 1265, 1249, 1181, 1111, 1101, 1070, 1025, 922, 842, 834, 759, 690, 666, 629, 548, 527, 460 cm⁻¹. $^1$H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.515 (m, 2 H), 7.462 (m, 4 H), 7.341 (m, 3 H), 3.159 (s, 1 H).
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4-(4′-(Phenylethynyl)-1′-(ethynyl)phenyl)benzaldehyde (4, AMR-I-163, 240). See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu coupling reaction. Copper iodide (0.07 g, 0.36
mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (0.13 g, 0.18 mmol), 4-bromobenzaldehyde (1.00g, 5.42 mmol) and 4-(phenylethynyl)-1-(ethynyl)benzene (0.72 g, 3.56 mmol) were added to a screw cap tube and air removed in vacuo then purged with nitrogen (3×). Triethylamine(7.53 mL, 54.15 mmol), and THF (40 mL) were added with stirring at room temperature under an inert atmosphere. The tube was purged with nitrogen, sealed, and the reaction was stirred at ambient for 16 h. TLC of the reaction mixture indicated complete consumption of starting material. The mixture was diluted with THF and filtered through celite and extracted with ammonium chloride (3×). The organic layer was dried with MgSO₄ and volatiles were removed via rotary evaporation. Residue washed with hexanes to remove impurities. Reaction yielded 0.93 g (85%) of the desired compound. IR (KBr) 3200.0, 3117.9, 3046.2, 2933.3, 2841.0, 2738.5, 2205.1, 1702.6, 1651.3, 1594.9, 1559.0, 1543.6, 1512.6, 1497.4, 1435.9, 1405.1, 1384.6, 1302.6, 1282.1, 1205.1, 1164.1, 1107.7, 1015.4, 907.7, 861.5, 835.9, 800.0, 753.8, 717.9, 687.2 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.01 (s, 1 H), 7.87 (d, J= 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.66 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.51 (s, 6 H), 7.34 (m, 3 H). ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 192.12, 136.22, 132.83, 132.43, 132.36, 132.34, 130.32, 130.04, 129.30, 129.13, 124.61, 123.59, 122.90, 93.79, 92.41, 90.94, 89.61. HRMS calcd for C₂₃H₁₉O: 306.1044. Found 306.1037.

![Chemical Structure](image)

4-(4′-(Phenylethynyl)-1′-(ethynyl)phenyl)benzyl alcohol (5, AMR-I-159, 237).

To a 500 mL round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar, was added 4-(4′-
(phenylethynyl)-1'-(ethynyl)phenyl)benzaldehyde (0.35 g, 1.14 mmol), ethanol (100 mL), and methylene chloride (150 mL). The reaction mixture was cooled to 0°C and allowed to stir for 10 min. Sodium borohydride (0.05 g, 1.25 mmol) was added in small portions. The reaction flask was equipped with a condenser and the reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 30 min. The mixture was extracted with methylene chloride and washed with brine. The organic layer was dried with MgSO₄ and solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue washed with hexanes to remove the impurities to afford 0.347 g (99%) of the desired compound. IR (KBr) 3425.6, 3138.5, 2964.1, 2928.2, 2866.7, 1723.1, 1656.4, 1384.6, 1271.8, 1123.1, 1071.8, 835.9, 748.0, 687.2, 610.3 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, d₆-DMSO) δ 7.60-7.4 (m, 13 H), 5.32 (t, J= 6.5 Hz, 1 H), 4.53 (d, J= 6.5 Hz, 2 H). ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 143.79, 131.68, 131.64, 131.44, 131.25, 129.06, 128.82, 128.68, 126.64, 122.60, 122.32, 121.99, 120.11, 91.62, 91.34, 88.89, 88.45, 62.47. HRMS calcd for C₂₃H₁₆O: 308.1201. Found 308.1212.

1,4-Diethylbenzene (AMR-I-276). To an oven dried thick walled Parr bomb vessel were added 4-ethylacetophenone (14.82 g, 100 mmol), HCl (20 mL), 10% Pd/C (2.0 g), and ethanol (100 mL). The vessel was attached to the hydrogenator and allowed to react for 2 d at 70°C and 60 psi of H₂ pressure. Upon completion, the reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite to remove the Pd/C. The reaction was quenched with NaOH (3 M, 150 mL). The reaction mixture was further diluted with methylene chloride
and extracted with water (3×). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄. The solvents were removed carefully in vacuo to afford the desired product (13.27 g, 99 % yield) as a clear liquid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.12 (s, 4 H), 2.63 (q, J=7.5 Hz, 4H), 1.23 (t, J= 7.5 Hz, 6H).

![Chemical structure](image)

1,4-Diethyl-2, 5-diiodobenzene (8, AMR-I-202, 278). To an oven dried round bottom flask was added 1,4-diethylbenzene (1.34g, 10 mmol), periodic acid (0.91g, 4 mmol), iodine (2.03g, 16 mmol), acetic acid (8.1 mL), water (1.62 mL), and sulfuric acid (0.24 mL). A West condenser was attached and the reaction was heated to 100 °C for 2.5 h. The reaction mixture was cooled and poured into an aqueous sodium bisulfite solution and the brown solids were collected. The solids were dissolved in diethyl ether and stirred with activated charcoal (Norit A) for 5 h. The mixture was filtered through a celite pad that was washed with additional diethyl ether. The ether was removed leaving a white crystalline material (3.92 g, 100%). IR (KBr) 3128.2, 2964.1, 2861.5, 1456.4, 1384.1, 1379.5, 1343.6, 1312.8, 1251.3, 1184.6, 1030.8, 979.5, 959.0, 882.0, 779.5, 712.8 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.60 (s, 2 H), 2.62 (q, J=7.5 Hz, 2 H), 1.16 (t, J=7.6 Hz, 3 H). ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 145.67, 138.43, 100.13, 33.09, 14.43. HRMS calcd for C₁₀H₁₂I₂: 385.9029. Found: 385.9027.
1,4-Diethyl-2-(phenylethynyl)-5-iodobenzene (AMR-I-251, II-3). See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu coupling reaction. 1,4-Diethyl-2,5-diiodobenzene (6.0 g, 15.55 mmol) was coupled to phenylacetylene (1.71 mL, 15.55 mmol) as described above using copper(I) iodide (0.30 g, 1.56 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (0.55 g, 0.78 mmol), triphenylphosphine (0.82 g, 3.11 mmol), THF (50 mL), and triethylamine (7.57 mL, 54.43 mmol) in a round bottom flask using a West condenser equipped with a magnetic stirbar. The reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 2 d. The resultant mixture was then subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. The desired material was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and methylene chloride/ hexanes (19:1) as the eluent. The reaction afforded 2.32 g (41 % yield) of the desired clear liquid. IR (KBr) 3046.2, 2964.1, 2871.8, 1600.0, 1492.3, 1441.0, 1369.2, 1112.8, 1061.5, 989.7, 912.8, 987.2, 759.0, 687.2 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.68 (s, 1 H), 7.53-7.48 (m, 2 H), 7.36-7.31 (m, 3 H), 7.31 (s, 1 H), 2.78 (q, J=7.5 Hz, 2 H), 2.67 (q, J=7.5 Hz, 2 H), 1.26 (t, J=7.5 Hz, 3 H), 1.20 (t, J=7.5 Hz, 3 H). ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 145.01, 143.60, 138.53, 131.34, 131.25, 128.19, 128.12, 123.13, 122.49, 100.67, 93.50, 87.42, 33.48, 26.90, 14.68, 14.50. HRMS calcd for C₁₈H₁₇I: 360.0375. Found 360.0375.
1,4-Diethyl-2-(phenylethynyl)-5-(trimethylsilylethynyl)benzene (9, AMR-I-256, II-8). See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu coupling reaction. 1,4-Diethyl-2-(phenylethynyl)-5-iodobenzene (2.32 g, 6.34 mmol) was coupled to trimethylsilylacetylene (1.34 mL, 9.51 mmol) as described above using copper(I) iodide (0.14 g, 0.76 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II)dichloride (0.18 g, 0.25 mmol), triphenylphosphine (0.26 g, 1.01 mmol), triethylamine (30.9 mL, 22.19 mmol), and THF (50 mL) in a large oven dried screw capped tube equipped with a magnetic stir bar. The reaction mixture was allowed to react at 50°C for 2 d. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. The desired material was purified by flash liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and methylene chloride/hexanes (1:1) as the eluent. The reaction afforded 2.09 g (100% yield) of the desired compound. IR (KBr) 3046.2, 2953.8, 2871.8, 2143.6, 1594.9, 1492.3, 1451.3, 1405.1, 1246.2, 1061.5, 851.3, 753.8, 687.2, 625.6 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.51-7.50 (m, 2 H), 7.34-7.30 (m, 5 H), 2.83-2.71 (m, 4 H), 1.30-1.20 (m, 6 H), 0.24 (s, 9 H). ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 143.81, 143.18, 131.71, 131.38, 128.28, 128.17, 127.58, 123.33, 122.53, 122.20, 103.76, 99.07, 94.00, 88.10, 27.23, 14.79, 14.61, 0.114. HRMS calcd for C₉₃H₂₆Si: 330.1804. Found: 330.1807.
1,4-Diethyl-2-(phenylethynyl)-5-(ethynyl)benzene (AMR-I-263, II-9). See the general procedure for the deprotection of a trimethylsilyl-protected alkyne. 1,4-Diethyl-2-(phenylethynyl)-5-(trimethylsilylethynyl)benzene (2.09 g, 6.34 mmol) was deprotected to give the alkyne as described above using potassium carbonate (4.38 g, 31.70 mmol), methanol (25 mL), and methylene chloride (25 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 2 h. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. Due to the instability of conjugated terminal alkynes, the material was immediately reacted in the next step without additional purification or identification.

4-(4′-(Phenylethynyl)-1′-(ethynyl)-2′,5′-diethylphenyl)benzaldehyde (10, AMR-I-264, II-10). See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu coupling reaction. 1,4-Diethyl-2-(phenylethynyl)-5-(ethynyl)benzene (1.58 g, 6.12 mmol) was coupled to 4-bromobenzaldehyde (1.52 g, 8.24 mmol) as described above using copper(I) iodide (0.14 g, 0.76 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (0.27 g, 0.38 mmol), triphenylphosphine (0.40 g, 1.52 mmol), triethylamine (13.22 mL, 95.10 mmol), and
THF (50 mL) in an oven dried round bottom flask equipped with a West condenser and a magnetic stirbar. The reaction mixture was allowed to heat to reflux for 2 d. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. The desired material was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and methylene chloride/ hexanes (1:1) as the eluent. R₆(product): 0.3. The reaction afforded 1.49 g (64 % yield over two steps) of the desired material from 1,4-Diethyl-2-(phenylethynyl)-5-(trimethylsilylethynyl)benzene. IR (KBr) 3138.5, 2964.1, 2923.1, 1702.6, 1594.9, 1384.6, 1205.1, 1159.0, 1117.9, 830.8, 753.8, 687.2 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.04 (s, 1 H), 7.86 (d, J=8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.66 (d, J=8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.56-7.52 (m, 2 H), 7.41 (s, 2 H), 7.38-7.32 (m, 3 H), 2.85 (overlapping q, J=7.3 Hz, 4 H), 1.31 (t, J=7.5 Hz, 6 H). ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 191.01, 143.46, 143.28, 135.11, 132.16, 131.70, 131.51, 131.39, 131.27, 130.70, 129.49, 129.38, 128.16, 123.07, 121.35, 94.36, 92.93, 92.22, 87.85, 29.65, 27.11, 14.72, 14.63. HRMS calcd for C₂₇H₂₂O: 362.1671. Found: 362.1662.

![Structure](image)

4-(4'-(Phenylethynyl)-1'-(ethynyl)-2',5'-diethylphenyl)benzyl alcohol (AMR-I-279, II-14). To an oven dried round bottom flask equipped with a West condenser and a magnetic stirbar 4-(4'-(phenylethynyl)-1'-(ethynyl)-2',5'-diethylphenyl)benzaldehyde (0.80 g, 2.21 mmol) was added under a N₂ atmosphere. Methylene chloride (50 mL) and ethanol (50 mL) were added and the reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C. Sodium
boryllhydride (0.12 g, 3.09 mmol) was added slowly and the resultant reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 30 min and was then was heated to reflux for 30 min. Upon completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was quenched with water. The organic layer was diluted with methylene chloride and washed with water (3×). The combined aqueous layers were extracted with methylene chloride (2×). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent removed in vacuo. No further purification was needed. The reaction afforded 0.80 g (99 % yield) for the desired white material. IR (KBr) 3128.2, 2964.1, 2923.1, 2861.5, 1384.6, 1112.8, 1041.0, 1010.3, 882.1, 841.0, 810.3, 748.7, 687.2 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.56-7.50 (m, 4 H), 7.38 (s, 2 H), 7.37-7.32 (m, 5 H), 4.70 (s, 2 H), 2.85 (q, J=7.5 Hz, 4 H), 1.30 (t, J=7.5 Hz, 6 H). ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 143.16, 140.73, 138.39, 131.41, 131.28, 131.23, 128.13, 128.01, 126.62, 123.20, 122.46, 122.24, 122.15, 93.88, 93.66, 88.13, 88.01, 64.84, 27.10, 14.66. HRMS calcd C₇₂H₂₂O: 364.1827. Found: 364.1818.

![Chemical Structure](attachment:4-(4'-(Phenylethynyl)-1'-(ethynyl)-2',5'-diethylphenyl)benzyl bromide (11, AMR-II-38). To an oven dried round bottom flask equipped with a West condenser and a magnetic stirbar was added 4-(4'-(phenylethynyl)-1'-(ethynyl)-2',5'-diethylphenyl)benzyl alcohol (0.10 g, 0.27 mmol). The vessel was capped under a N₂ atmosphere, methylene chloride (3 mL) was added, and the reaction was cooled to 0 °C. Pyridine (0.11 mL, 1.35 mmol) and mesyl chloride (0.03 mL, 0.41 mmol) were added and the resultant mixture
was allowed to stir at ambient temperature for 4 h. Sodium bromide (0.14 g, 1.35 mmol) and acetone (5 mL) were added to the reaction mixture. The reaction mixture was then allowed to reflux for 12 h. Upon completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was then quenched with water. The organic layer was diluted with methylene chloride and washed with water (3×). The combined aqueous layers were extracted with methylene chloride (2×). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄ and solvent removed in vacuo. \(^1\)H NMR showed mostly benzyl chloride; therefore, the material was placed in an oven dried round bottom flask equipped with a stirbar and a West condenser. Sodium bromide (0.14 g, 1.35 mmol) and acetone (5 mL) were added and the reaction mixture was allowed to heat to reflux for 12 h. Upon completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was quenched with water. The organic layer was diluted with methylene chloride and washed with water (3×). The combined aqueous layers were extracted with methylene chloride (2×). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄ and solvent removed in vacuo. No further purification was needed. The reaction afforded 0.11 g (94 % yield) of the desired product. IR (KBr) 3133.3, 2966.7, 2922.2, 2844.4, 1377.8, 1133.3, 888.9, 833.3, 750.0, 688.9, 605.6 cm\(^{-1}\). \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) \(\delta\) 7.59-7.52 (m, 4 H), 7.45-7.36 (m, 7 H), 4.55 (s, 2 H), 2.88 (overlapping q, \(J=7.6\) Hz, 4 H), 1.33 (overlapping t, \(J=7.5\) Hz, 6 H). \(^1³\)C NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) \(\delta\) 143.63, 143.57, 138.12, 131.78, 131.73, 131.54, 131.43, 129.18, 128.73, 128.40, 123.50, 123.33, 122.65, 122.23, 94.19, 93.56, 89.04, 88.09, 46.13, 33.37, 29.90, 27.34, 14.78. HRMS calcd C\(_{27}\)H\(_{23}\)Br: 426.0983. Found: 426.0982.
4-(4'-{(Phenylethynyl)-1'-{(ethynyl)-2',5'-diethylphenyl)benzyl} trichlorosilane (12, AMR-II-27, 43, 77). To a flame dried 100 mL round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirbar solids 4-(4'-{(Phenylethynyl)-1'-{(ethynyl)-2',5'-diethylphenyl)benzyl bromide (0.16 g, 0.36 mmol) and copper iodide (0.01 g, 0.01 mmol) were added and air removed and nitrogen backfilled (3×). The solids were dissolved in methylene chloride (5 mL) and cooled to 0 °C for 5 min. Then triethylamine (0.15 mL, 1.37 mmol) followed by freshly distilled trichlorosilane (0.15 mL, 1.37 mmol) were added. The reaction mixture was allowed to sit at room temperature for 2 d. The solvents in the reaction mixture were removed in vacuo. Solid material was dissolved in diethylether and transferred via syringe filtration with celite to another dry flask. Solvent removed in vacuo. Material determined to be insoluble in numerous solvents and the extreme reactivity of the trichlorosilane derivative did not allow for characterization.

\[
\text{C}_6\text{H}_{13}\text{Br}
\]

4-Bromo-1-n-hexylbenzene (AMR-I-261, 268). In an 125 mL Erlenmeyer flask, bromine (0.52 mL, 10 mmol) was absorbed on neutral, Brockmann grade I alumina (10 g). 1-Phenylhexane (1.88 mL, 10 mmol) was absorbed on neutral alumina (10 g) in a second 125 mL Erlenmeyer flask. The contents of both flasks were combined in a 250 mL Erlenmeyer flask equipped with a magnetic stirbar. The reaction was complete
within 1 min when the dark orange color of the bromine became light yellow. The solid mass was then poured in a column that contained a short plug of silica gel. The desired product was eluted with methylene chloride to give 2.58 g (100 % yield) of the desired clear oil. According to $^1$H NMR, there was a ratio of products; 80:15:5 (desired product: starting material: ortho substituted product). Isolation of the desired material was deemed to be more facile after the subsequent step. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.37 (d, $J$=8.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.03 (d, $J$=8.2 Hz, 2 H), 2.54 (t, $J$=7.5 Hz, 2 H), 1.60 (p, $J$=7.1 Hz, 2 H), 1.38-1.24 (m, 8 H), 0.92-0.84 (m, 3 H).

![Diagram]

1-<i>n</i>-Hexyl-4-(trimethylsilylethynyl)benzene (14, AMR-I-266, 275). See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu coupling reaction. 4-Bromo-1-<i>n</i>-hexylbenzene (7.23 g, 30.0 mmol) was coupled to trimethylsilylacetylene (5.94 mL, 42.0 mmol) as described above using copper(I) iodide (0.69 g, 3.6 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (0.84 g, 1.2 mmol), triphenylphosphine (1.57 g, 6.0 mmol), triethylamine (30.36 mL, 300 mmol), and THF (30 mL) in a large oven dried pressure tube equipped with a magnetic stirbar. The reaction was sealed under a N$_2$ atmosphere and was heated to 85 °C for 3 d. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. The desired material was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and hexanes as the eluent. The reaction afforded 5.26 g (68 % yield) of the desired material. IR (KBr) 2923.1, 2851.3, 2158.2, 1923.1, 1507.7, 1461.5, 1405.1, 1246.2, 1220.5, 861.5, 835.9, 753.8, 600.0 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$
7.35 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.07 (d, J=8.1 Hz, 2 H), 2.56 (t, J=7.7 Hz, 2 H), 1.62-1.50 (m, 2 H), 1.28 (br s, 8 H), 0.86 (br t, 3 H), 0.22 (s, 9 H). $^{13}$C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 143.48, 131.75, 128.18, 120.17, 105.36, 93.15, 35.95, 31.76, 31.24, 28.95, 22.68, 14.18, 0.17. HRMS calcd C$_{17}$H$_{26}$Si: 258.1804. Found: 258.1793.

![Chemical Structure](image)

1-n-Hexyl-4-(ethynyl)benzene (AMR-I-270, II-6). See the general procedure for the deprotection of a trimethylsilyl-protected alkyne. 1-n-Hexyl-4-(trimethylsilylethynyl)benzene (0.18 g, 0.7 mmol) was deprotected to the terminal alkyne using the procedure described above using potassium carbonate (0.48 g, 3.5 mmol), methanol (10 mL), and methylene chloride (10 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 2 h. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. Due to the instability of conjugated terminal alkynes, the material was immediately reacted in the next step without additional purification or identification.

![Chemical Structure](image)

1-(4'-n-Hexyl)-1'-(ethynyl)phenyl)-4-(trimethylsilylethynyl)benzene (15, AMR-I-271, II-7). See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu coupling reaction. 1-n-Hexyl-4-(ethynyl)benzene (3.30 g, 17.73 mmol) was coupled with 1-bromo-4-(trimethylsilylethynyl)benzene (4.82 g, 19.05 mmol) as described above using copper(I)
iodide (0.41 g, 2.13 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (0.62 g, 0.89 mmol), triphenylphosphine (0.93 g, 3.55 mmol), triethylamine (8.63 mL, 62.06 mmol), and THF (75 mL) in an oven dried round bottom flask equipped with a West condenser and a magnetic stirbar. The reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 3 d. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. The desired material was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and hexanes as the eluent. \( R_f \) (product): 0.30. The reaction afforded 5.06 g (76 % yield over two steps) of the desired product from 1-\( n \)-Hexyl-4-(trimethylsilylethynyl)benzene. IR (KBr) 3128.2, 2953.8, 2851.3, 2143.6, 1384.6, 1241.0, 1117.9, 866.7, 835.9, 759.0 cm\(^{-1}\).

\(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 7.46-7.38 (m, 6 H), 7.14 (d, \( J=8.1 \) Hz, 2 H), 2.60 (t, \( J=7.7 \) Hz, 2 H), 1.60 (br p, \( J=7.1 \) Hz, 2 H), 1.38-1.22 (m, 8 H), 1.92-0.80 (m, 3 H), 0.25 (s, 9 H).

\(^1\)C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 143.42, 131.59, 131.52, 131.04, 128.24, 123.35, 122.41, 119.84, 104.49, 95.88, 91.39, 88.21, 35.84, 31.60, 31.11, 28.84, 22.52, 14.03, 0.10.

HRMS calcd C\(_{25}\)H\(_{30}\)Si: 358.2117. Found: 358.2109.

![Chemical Structure](image)

1-(4'-\( n \)-Hexyl)-1'-(ethynyl)phenyl)-4-(ethynyl)benzene (AMR-II-11). See the general procedure for the deprotection of a trimethylsilyl-protected alkyne. 1-(4'-\( n \)-Hexyl)-1'- (ethynyl)phenyl)-4-(trimethylsilylethynyl)benzene (2.69 g, 7.51 mmol) was deprotected to the terminal alkyne using the procedure described above using potassium
carbonate (5.19 g, 37.53 mmol), methanol (50 mL), and methylene chloride (50 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 2 h. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. Due to the instability of conjugated terminal alkynes, the material was immediately reacted in the next step without additional purification or identification.

![Chemical Structure](image)

4-(4'-(4''-n-Hexyl)-phenylethynyl)-1'-ethynyl)phenyl)benzaldehyde (16, AMR-II-12). See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu coupling reaction. 1-(4'-n-Hexyl)-1'-ethynyl)phenyl)-4-(ethynyl)benzene (2.07 g, 7.32 mmol) was coupled to 4-bromobenzaldehyde (1.81 g, 9.76 mmol) as described above using copper(I) iodide (0.17 g, 0.90 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (0.32 g, 0.45 mmol), triphenylphosphine (0.47 g, 1.80 mmol), triethylamine (15.66 mL, 112.65 mmol), and THF (100 mL) in an oven dried round bottom flask equipped with a West condenser and a magnetic stirbar. The reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 3 d. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. The desired material was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and methylene chloride/hexanes (1:1) as the eluent. Rf (product): 0.26. The reaction afforded 2.40 g (81% yield over two steps) of the desired product from 1-(4'-n-Hexyl)-1'-ethynyl)phenyl)-4-(trimethylsilyl ethynyl)benzene. IR (KBr) 3148.7, 2923.1, 2851.3, 2205.1, 1697.4, 1594.9, 1517.9, 1384.6, 1302.6, 1205.1, 1159.0, 1117.9, 830.8 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.01 (s, 1 H), 7.86 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.66 (d, J=8.5 Hz, 2
H), 7.51 (s, 4 H), 7.43 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.16 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 2 H), 2.60 (t, J=7.7 Hz, 2 H), 1.64-1.52 (m, 2 H), 1.38-1.24 (m, 8 H), 0.87 (t, J=6.6 Hz, 3 H). \(^{13}\)C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 190.92, 143.55, 135.26, 131.83, 131.42, 131.28, 129.31, 129.11, 128.25, 123.95, 121.73, 119.76, 92.96, 91.85, 89.95, 88.13, 35.83, 31.58, 31.08, 28.82, 22.50, 13.99. HRMS calcd C\(_{29}\)H\(_{26}\)O: 390.1984. Found: 390.1978.

\[ \text{4-}(4'-(4''-n\text{-Hexyl})\text{-phenylethynyl})\text{-}1'-(\text{ethynyl})\text{phenyl})\text{benzyl alcohol (AMR-II-13)} \]

To an oven dried round bottom flask equipped with a West condenser and a magnetic stirbar was added 4-(4'-(phenylethynyl)-1'-(ethynyl)-2',5'-diethylphenyl)benzaldehyde (0.30 g, 3.33 mmol) under a N\(_2\) atmosphere. Methylene chloride (75 mL) and ethanol (50 mL) were added and the reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C. Sodium borohydride (0.18 g, 4.66 mmol) was added slowly and the resultant reaction mixture was allowed to for 30 min then was refluxed for 30 min. Upon completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was quenched with water. The organic layer was diluted with methylene chloride and washed with water (3×). The combined aqueous layers were extracted with methylene chloride (2×). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO\(_4\) and solvent removed in vacuo. The desired material was purified by multiple hexane washes to afford 1.14 g (87 % yield) of the desired compound. IR (KBr) 3200.0, 2953.8, 2256.4, 1769.2, 1717.9, 1635.9, 1384.6, 1184.6, 1061.5, 1000.0, 9288.2, 835.9, 467.1 cm\(^{-1}\). \(^{1}H\) NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 7.55 (d, J=8.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.50 (s, 4 H), 7.45 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.36 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.17 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 2 H).
Hz, 2 H), 4.73 (s, 2 H), 2.62 (t, J=7.5 Hz, 2 H), 1.65-1.48 (m, 2 H), 1.31 (br s, 8 H), 0.90-0.80 (m, 3 H). $^{13}$C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 143.72, 141.15, 131.79, 131.52, 131.49, 131.46, 128.50, 126.84, 123.36, 122.78, 122.31, 120.09, 91.54, 90.92, 89.24, 88.46, 64.98, 35.93, 31.68, 31.19, 28.91, 22.59, 14.09. HRMS calcd C$_{29}$H$_{28}$O: 392.2140. Found: 392.2134.

4-(4′-((4′′-n-Hexyl)phenylethynyl)-1′-(ethynyl)phenyl)benzyl bromide (17, AMR-II-23, 48, 53). To an oven dried round bottom flask equipped with a West condenser and a magnetic stirbar was added 4-(4′-((4′′-n-hexyl)phenylethynyl)-1′-(ethynyl)phenyl)benzyl alcohol (0.20 g, 0.51 mmol). The vessel was capped under a N$_2$ atmosphere, THF (10 mL) were added. Pyridine (0.20 mL, 2.55 mmol) and mesyl chloride (0.06 mL, 0.77 mmol) was added and the resultant mixture was allowed to stir at ambient temperature for 4 h. Sodium bromide (0.35 g, 2.70 mmol) and acetone (5 mL) were added to the reaction mixture. The reaction mixture was then allowed to reflux for 12 h. Upon completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was then quenched with water. The organic layer was diluted with methylene chloride and washed with water (3×). The combined aqueous layers were extracted with methylene chloride (2×). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO$_4$ and solvent removed in vacuo. $^1$H NMR showed mostly benzyl chloride; therefore, the material was placed in an oven dried round bottom flask equipped with a stirbar and a West condenser. Sodium bromide (0.14 g, 1.35 mmol) and acetone (5 mL) were added and allowed to heat to reflux for 12 h.
Upon completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was then quenched with water. The organic layer was diluted with methylene chloride and washed with water (3×). The combined aqueous layers were extracted with methylene chloride (2×). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent was removed in vacuo. No further purification was needed. The reaction afforded 0.16 g (70 % yield) of the desired product. IR (KBr) 3122.2, 2922.2, 2855.6, 1383.3, 1116.7, 833.3, 600.0, 522.2, 450.0 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ 7.58-7.52 (m, 6 H), 7.46 (d, J=8.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.42 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.21 (d, J=8.1 Hz, 2 H), 4.54 (s, 2 H), 2.64 (t, J=7.7 Hz, 2 H), 1.68-1.46 (m, 2 H), 1.40-1.26 (m, 8 H), 0.90 (t, J=6.8 Hz, 3 H). ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ 141.40, 135.01, 134.76, 134.65, 134.56, 134.54, 132.29, 131.70, 129.91, 126.65, 125.76, 123.08, 94.74, 93.69, 92.98, 91.41, 39.14, 36.41, 34.98, 34.54, 32.23, 25.91, 17.17. HRMS calcd C₂₉H₂₇Br: 454.1296. Found: 454.1302.

4-(4′-((4′′-n-Hexyl)phenylethynyl)-1′-(ethyl)n)phenyl)benzyl bromide (18, AMR-II-41, 53, 63). To a flame dried 100 mL round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirbar solids 4-(4′-((4′′-n-Hexyl)phenylethynyl)-1′-(ethyl)n)phenyl)benzyl bromide (0.11 g, 0.24 mmol) and copper iodide (0.01 g, 0.01 mmol) were added and air removed and nitrogen backfilled (3×). The solids were dissolved in methylene chloride (10 mL) and cooled to 0 °C for 5 min. Then triethylamine (0.10 mL, 0.93 mmol) followed by freshly distilled trichlorosilane (0.10 mL, 0.93 mmol) were added. The reaction mixture was allowed to sitir at room temperature for 2 d. The solvents in the
reaction mixture were removed in vacuo. Solid material was dissolved in diethylether and transferred via syringe filtration with celite to another dry flask. Solvent removed in vacuo. Material determined to be insoluble in numerous solvents and the extreme reactivity of the trichlorosilane derivative did not allow for characterization.
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Chapter 4

Preparation of Thiol-Terminated Phenylene-Ethynylene Oligomers for Examination of the Coordination and Structure of a “Molecular Scale Wire” linked to a Triosmium Cluster
Although it has been well-documented that bulk conjugated organic materials can be semiconducting or even conducting when doped, recent studies have shown that oriented thiol-terminated conjugated rigid-rod molecules can conduct electrons through a single, undoped, conjugated molecule, "molecular scale wire", to and from a metallic surface. However, initial studies have indicated the presence of a barrier to electron transfer at the metal-organic interface. A detailed knowledge of the bonding of thiols to metallic surfaces will be crucial to developing an understanding of electron transport mechanisms and the construction of devices involving the metal-organic interfaces. The metal-thiol linkage is usually regarded to be a single metal-sulfur bond with transfer of the hydrogen atom to the metal surface. Therefore, we have undertaken a study to determine the nature of the structures and modes of attachment of several thiol-terminated molecular wires to metal clusters serving as models for metal surfaces.

\[
\text{(1)} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\text{I} \quad \text{Br} \\
1 \quad \xrightarrow{\text{1. Pd/Cu}_{2}\text{P}_{3}\text{NEt}_{3}, \text{TMSA}, 95\%}} \quad \text{TMS} \\
\text{Br} \quad \text{I} \\
2 \quad \text{Pd/Cu}_{2}\text{P}_{3}\text{NEt}_{3}, 99\%}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\text{(1)} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\text{K}_{2}\text{CO}_{3}, \text{MeOH}, \text{CH}_{2}\text{Cl}_{2}, 91\% \\
2 \quad \text{Pd/Cu}_{2}\text{P}_{3}\text{NEt}_{3}, 12\%}
\end{array}
\]

Eq 1 describes the synthesis of 4,4'-diphenylethynyl-1-thioacetylbenzene 4 from readily available 1-bromo-4-iodobenzene 1. The starting material was monocoupled, via the more reactive iodo substituted, to trimethylsilylacetylene using a typical Sonagashura
coupling procedure. The reaction proceeded in good yield due to the greater reactivity of the aryl iodide toward coupling. Intermediate 2 was then coupled to phenylacetylene using similar conditions yet higher temperatures to enhance coupling to the aryl bromide. The alkyne was deprotected using potassium carbonate and methanol and then coupled to 1-iodo-4-thioacetylbenzene to form molecular wire 4. The deprotection of the thioacetate must be preformed under a dry argon or nitrogen environment. Precautions must be taken to exclude water and air, in order to inhibit disulfide formation.

Reaction of the thiol-terminated molecular wire 5 with Os$_3$(CO)$_{10}$(NCMe)$_2$ in methylene chloride forms the desired complex in 49% yield. Crystals of 5 reacted with the triosmium complex suitable for X-ray crystallographic analysis were obtained by slow evaporation of solvent from a solution in methylene chloride at 25 °C. An ORTEP diagram of the molecular structure of 5 reacted with the triosmium complex is shown in Figure 1.
Figure 1: An ORTEP diagram of the molecular structure of $\text{Os}_3(\text{CO})_{10}(\mu-\text{H})(\mu-$
$\text{SC}_6\text{H}_4\text{C}≡\text{CC}_6\text{H}_4\text{C}≡\text{CC}_6\text{H}_5)$, 5. Selected interatomic distances ($\text{Å}$) and angles($^\circ$) are: $\text{Os}(1) - \text{Os}(2) = 2.8765(8)$, $\text{Os}(1) - \text{Os}(3) = 2.8565(7)$, $\text{Os}(2) - \text{Os}(3) = 2.8626(8)$, $\text{Os}(1) - \text{S} = 2.423(3)$, $\text{Os}(2) - \text{S} = 2.421(4)$, $\text{Os}(l) - \text{H}(l) = 1.9(1)$, $\text{Os}(2) - \text{H}(l) = 1.81(8)$, $\text{S} - \text{C}(40) = 1.82(1)$, $\text{C}(46) - \text{C}(47) = 1.16(2)$, $\text{C}(54) - \text{C}(55) = 1.19(2)$, $\text{S} - \text{C}(59) = 18.27(2)$; $\text{Os}(1) - \text{S} - \text{C}(40) = 113.5(4)$, $\text{Os}(2) - \text{S} - \text{C}(40) = 111.6(5)$.

The molecule consists of a linear $\text{SC}_6\text{H}_4\text{C}≡\text{CC}_6\text{H}_4\text{C}≡\text{CC}_6\text{H}_5$ linked to the triosmium cluster by the sulfur atom bridging two of the three-osmium atoms. The reaction has produced cleavage of the thiol sulfur-hydrogen bond and transfer of the hydrogen atom to the osmium cluster to become a hydride ligand. The position of the hydride ligand was located and refined crystallographically. It bridges the Os(1) – Os(2)
bond of a triangular cluster of three osmium atom, Os(1) – H(1) = 1.9(1)Å and Os(2) – H(1) = 1.81(8)Å, and exhibits the characteristic high field resonance shift in the $^1$H NMR spectrum, $\delta$ = -17.04. The sulfur atom bridges the same two metal atoms on the other side of the Os$_3$ plane, Os(1) – S = 2.423(3)Å and Os(2) – S = 2.421(4)Å. These values are similar to those found for other bridging thiolate-triosmium linkages that have been structurally characterized previously.$^{10}$ The alkyne C-C bonds are short, C(46)-C(47) = 1.16(2)Å, C(54)-C(55) = 1.19(2)Å as expected for C-C triple bonds, and the alkyne groups are linear. The sulfur-carbon distance, S-C(40) = 1.82(1)Å, is typical of a carbon-sulfur single bond. The overall length of the ligand is an interesting feature. The distance from S to C(59) is 18.27Å. Although the central aryl ring is twisted approximately 10° away from the planes of the two other rings, the three aryl rings are nearly coplanar. This is a feature that would facilitate electron transmission through the $\pi$-orbital network.

Studies have shown that bis(phenylethynyl)arenes exhibit strong fluorescence and are effective receptors for chemiluminescence processes.$^{11}$ Accordingly, we have investigated the UV-vis spectral properties of 5 reacted with the triosmium complex. Compound 5 reacted with the triosmium complex exhibits a single strong UV absorption at 332 nm ($\varepsilon$ = 48,500 M$^{-1}$cm$^{-1}$) and a series of emissions at 348 nm, 362 nm, and 377 nm ($\lambda_{ex}$ = 300 nm) which can be attributed to the SC$_6$H$_4$C≡CC$_6$H$_4$C≡CC$_6$H$_5$ ligand. Although the bonding of thiol-terminated molecular wires to metallic surfaces is often drawn to a single metal atom with loss of the hydride unit,$^{12}$ we have now shown that for links to osmium, the metal-thiol (thiolate) interface clearly involves a bridging sulfur atom and a bridging hydride ligand.
The synthesis of phenylene ethynylenes with two thioacetyl moieties have been synthesized. These compounds will enable for molecular scale wires that will perform as interconnects between two devices. Numerous molecular scale wires were synthesized with two active termini for the attachment of the wire to differing devices. Eq 2 shows the formation of a dithiol-terminated phenylene ethynylene. The reaction conditions gave high yields, yet the dithiol was only sparingly soluble in most organic solvents, except acetonitrile. We were not able to attach the dithiol to the triosmium cluster in significant yields. It is believed that the low solubility of the dithiol molecular wire significantly reduced the yield of the osmium complex.

\[ \text{RS-} \quad \text{I} \quad \text{OAc} \quad \text{SR} \]

The solubility of unsubstituted compound 7 is moderately low in most organic solvents; therefore, it is necessary to place \( n \)-alkyl side chain moieties on the phenylene ethynylene oligomers. Although a long alkyl chain is important to retain solubility of a molecular wire in common organic solvents, it also could be sterically problematic for the connection of the wire and the metal probe and increase the difficulty of synthesis.
Intermediate 9 was coupled to trimethylsilylacetylene to afford 10 in high yield. 10 was then deprotected in the presence of potassium carbonate. The dialkyne compound was coupled to alligator clip 3 to afford molecular scale wire 11 in good yield.

To further increase the solubility of the dithiol terminated molecular wire, two ethyl subunits were attached to the central ring. Eq 14 shows the synthesis of this diethyl substituted compound.

4-Ethylacetophenone 12 was reduced to afford 1,4-diethylbenzene followed by cross couplings of 1,4-diiodobenzene 13 with alligator clip 4-thioacetyl-1-ethynylbenzene 14\textsuperscript{15} afforded the rigid rod molecular scale wire 15. Removal of the acetyl protecting groups
with sodium hydroxide in THF/H₂O and rapid workup produced soluble 16 with free thiol ending groups. Disappointly, the molecule was reacted with the triosmium cluster giving no desirable product.
Experimental

General. All reactions were performed under an atmosphere of nitrogen unless stated otherwise. All reagents and starting materials were purchased from Aldrich, Acros, Fischer, Fluka, and Alfa Aesar if not otherwise stated. Alkyllithium reagents were obtained from FMC. Pyridine, methyl iodide, triethylamine, and N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) were distilled over calcium hydride, and stored over 4 Å molecular sieves. Toluene and benzene were distilled over CaH$_2$. Methylene chloride and hexanes were distilled. Ethyl ether and tetrahydrofuran (THF) were distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl. Triethylamine and N, N-diisopropylethylamine was distilled over CaH$_2$. MeOH was dried over oven dried 3 Å molecular sieves. $^1$H NMR spectra were recorded at 300, 400, or 500 MHz on Brüker AM-300, WH-400, and AM-500 spectrometers, respectively or at 400 and 500 MHz on Varian Mercury 400 and INOVA 500 spectrometers, respectively or at 400 MHz on Oxford Instruments 400 MHz NMR using Brüker XWIN NMR processing program. The $^{13}$C NMR spectra were recorded at 75, 100, or 125 MHz on Brüker AM-300, WH-400, and AM-500 spectrometers, respectively at 100 and 125 MHz on Varian Mercury 400 and INOVA 500 spectrometers, respectively or at 125 MHz on Oxford Instruments 400 MHz NMR using Brüker XWIN NMR processing program. Proton chemical shifts (δ) are reported in ppm downfield from tetramethylsilane (TMS) and $^{13}$C resonances (unless otherwise noted) were recorded using the 77.0-ppm CDCl$_3$ resonance as an internal reference and are reported in ppm downfield from TMS. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer 1600 Series FTIR. Gas chromatography experiments were performed on a Hewlett-Packard GC model 5890A equipped with an Alltech column (cat # 932525, serial
Gravity column chromatography, silica gel plugs, and flash chromatography were performed using 230-400 mesh silica gel from EM Science. Thin layer chromatography was performed using glass plates precoated with silica gel 60 F254 with a layer thickness of 0.25 mm purchased from EM Science. Combustion analyses were obtained from Atlantic Microlab, Inc., P. O. Box 2288, Norcross, GA 30091.

**General Procedure for the coupling of a Terminal Alkyne with an Aryl Halide Utilizing a Palladium-Copper Cross-Coupling (Castro-Stephens/Sonogashira Protocol).** To an oven-dried round bottom flask equipped with a water-cooled West condenser or screw capped pressure tube with a magnetic stir bar were added the aryl halide, bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (3-5 mol % per halide), and copper(I) iodide (6-10 mol % per halide). Triphenylphosphine was used in some reactions to keep the palladium in solution. The vessel was then sealed with a rubber septum under a N2 atmosphere. A co-solvent system of THF, benzene, or methylene chloride was added at this point depending on the solubility of the aryl halide. Then base, either triethylamine or N,N-diisopropylethylamine, was added and finally the terminal alkyne (1-1.5 mol % per halide) was added and the reaction was heated until complete. Upon completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was then quenched with water, a saturated solution of NH4Cl, or brine. The organic layer was diluted with methylene chloride or Et2O and washed with water, a saturated solution of NH4Cl, or brine (3×). The combined aqueous layers were extracted with methylene chloride or Et2O (2×). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO4 and solvent removed in vacuo to afford
the crude product that was purified by column chromatography (silica gel). Eluents and
other slight modifications are described below for each material.

**General procedure for the deprotection of trimethylsilyl protected alkynes**
(Method A). The silylated alkyne was dissolved in methanol and potassium carbonate
was added. The mixture was stirred overnight before poured into water. The solution
was extracted with ether or ethyl acetate and washed with brine. After drying over
magnesium sulfate the solvent was evaporated *in vacuo* to afford pure products.
(Method B). The silylated alkyne was dissolved in pyridine in a plastic vessel. A mixed
solution of 49% hydrofluoric acid and 1.0 M tetrabutylammonium fluoride in THF was
added at room temperature. The solution was stirred for 15 min and quenched with silica
gel. The mixture was poured into water and extracted with ether. The extract was
washed with brine and dried over magnesium sulfate. After filtration the solvent was
evaporated *in vacuo*. The crude products were purified by a flash chromatography on
silica gel. Eluents and other slight modifications are described below for each material.

![Chemical structure](image)

4-(Phenylethynyl)-1-(trimethylsilylthynyl)benzene (2, AMR-I-82). See the
general procedure for the Pd/Cu coupling reaction. CuI (78 mg, 0.41 mmol),
bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (0.14 g, 0.20 mmol), and 1-Bromo-4-(2’-
trimethylsilylthynyl)benzene (1.0 g, 4.0 mmol) were added to a screw cap tube and
purged with nitrogen for ~5 min. Phenylacetylene (0.60 mL, 5.5 mmol), triethylamine
(2.0 mL, 14 mmol), and benzene (2 mL) were added with stirring at room temperature under an inert atmosphere. The tube was purged with nitrogen, sealed, and the reaction was stirred at 80 °C overnight. The mixture was diluted with methylene chloride and extracted with distilled water. The organic layer was dried with MgSO₄ and volatiles were removed via rotary evaporation. The resulting brown solid was eluted 2 × through a 4 × 20 cm column of silica gel using hexanes as the eluent. The product was obtained as a crystalline white solid (1.08 g, 99%). TLC Rf=0.28 (hexanes). IR (KBr) 3053, 2957, 2897, 2153, 1602, 1509, 1441, 1406, 1249, 866, 844, 757, 692, 628, 550, 529 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.512 (m, 2 H), 7.441 (m, 4 H), 7.336 (m, 3 H), 0.253 (s, 9 H).

4-(Phenylethynyl)-1-(ethynyl)benzene (AMR-I-103, 123, 128, 138, 152, 167, II-145). See the general procedure for the deprotection of trimethylsilyl-protected alkynes. A mixture of 4-(Phenylethynyl)-1-(trimethylsilylethynyl)benzene (0.94 g, 3.4 mmol), K₂CO₃ (1.9 g, 14 mmol), methanol (2.5 mL), and methylene chloride (4 mL) was stirred for 30 min. Methylene chloride was added and the resulting mixture was extracted with distilled water. The organic layer was dried with MgSO₄ and the volatiles were removed via rotary evaporation. The product was obtained as a pale yellow solid (0.63 g, 91%). IR (KBr) 3278, 3079, 3062, 3053, 3033, 3017, 1602, 1500, 1440, 1406, 1265, 1249, 1181, 1111, 1101, 1070, 1025, 922, 842, 834, 759, 690, 666, 629, 548, 527,
460 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.515 (m, 2 H), 7.462 (m, 4 H), 7.341 (m, 3 H), 3.159 (s, 1 H).

\[
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\]

1-Thioacetyl-4-(4'-(phenylethynyl)-1'-(ethynyl)phenyl)benzene (4, AMR-I-168, II-147). See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu coupling reaction. Copper iodide (0.06 g, 0.30 mmol), bis(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium (0.09 g, 0.15 mmol), triphenylphosphine (0.16 g, 0.60 mmol), 1-iodo-4-thioacetylbenzene (0.84 g, 3.00 mmol) and 4-(phenylethynyl)-1-(ethynyl)benzene (0.5 g, 2.5 mmol) were added to a screw cap tube and air removed in vacuo then purged with nitrogen (3×). Diisopropylethylamine (1.7 mL, 10.0 mmol), and THF (15 mL) were added with stirring at room temperature under an inert atmosphere. The tube was purged with nitrogen, sealed, and the reaction was stirred at ambient for 16 h. TLC of the reaction mixture indicated complete consumption of starting material. The mixture was extracted with diethyl ether and filtered through celite and extracted with ammonium chloride (3×). The organic layer was dried with MgSO$_4$ and volatiles were removed via rotary evaporation. The residue purified by flash liquid chromatography using silica gel (1:1 hexanes: methylene chloride) yielding 0.102 g (12%) of the titled compound. IR (KBr) 3435.9, 3138.5, 2215.4, 1697.4, 1656.4, 1507.7, 1379.5, 1353.8, 1128.2, 1107.7, 1015.4, 943.6, 838.6, 828.1, 759.0, 756.7, 692.0, 620.5 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) δ 7.54-7.50 (m, 2 H), 7.39 (d, $J$=8.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.34 (d, $J$=2 Hz, 3 H), 7.24 (d, $J$=8.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.16 (br s, 1 H), 7.03-6.98 (m, 3 H), 1.81 (s, 3 H). $^{13}$C NMR (400 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) δ 190.94, 134.24, 132.01,
131.62, 131.58, 128.91, 128.35, 127.21, 126.96, 124.12, 123.60, 123.28, 122.93, 91.87, 91.01, 90.90, 89.52, 29.55. HRMS calcd for C_{23}H_{16}SO: 352.0922. Found 352.0921.
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1-Thiol-4-(4′-(phenylethynyl)-1′-(ethynyl)phenyl)benzene (5, AMR-I-172). To an oven dried 100 mL round bottom flask, 1-thioacetyl-4-(4′-(phenylethynyl)-1′-(ethynyl)phenyl)benzene (0.04g, 0.10 mmol), sodium hydroxide (0.01g, 0.20 mmol), and zinc dust (0.01g) along with a stir bar were added, and air removed in vacuo, then the flask was purged with nitrogen (3×). Degassed, deionized water (3 mL) was added and the reaction mixture was purged with Ar for 30 min to remove any residual oxygen. THF (10 mL) was then added to the reaction mixture that was allowed to stir overnight at ambient temperature under a positive pressure of Ar. The following morning the reaction mixture had become dry; therefore, THF (5 mL) was added and the solids redissolved. Degassed 3 M HCl (0.15 mL) was then added to the reaction mixture and allowed to stir for 30 m. The reaction mixture was dried by Ar sparge and degassed water (5 mL) was added then benzene (3× 5 mL) was added and the organic layer was extracted and placed in an oven dried 50 mL round bottom flask. Solvent was removed in vacuo leaving a golden yellow solid (0.03g) corresponding to a 97% yield. The solid was stored in the dry box until reacted with the Os₃(CO)₁₂(NCMe)₂ cluster. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 7.53-7.50 (m, 2 H), 7.34 (s, 4 H), 7.22 (d, $J=8.4$ Hz, 2 H), 7.02-6.99 (m, 3 H), 6.72 (d, $J=8.4$ Hz, 2 H), 2.96 (s, 1 H).
1,4-(Ethynyl)benzene (AMR-I-185). See the general procedure for the deprotection of trimethylsilyl-protected alkynes. To a 100 round bottom flask, 1,4-(trimethylsilylethynyl)benzene (8.1g, 3.00 mmol), potassium carbonate (2.07g, 15 mmol), methylene chloride (20 mL) and methanol (20 mL) were added along with a stirbar. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at ambient temperature. The reaction mixture was washed with water and extracted with methylene chloride. The organic layer was dried with magnesium chloride and solvent was removed in vacuo. The reaction gave 0.38 g of the desired material, 100% yield. No purification was needed and the compound was taken directly to the next compound. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.43 (s, 4 H), 3.16 (s, 2 H). $^{13}$C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 132.03, 122.56, 83.04, 79.11.

1-Thioacetyl-4(4"-(4"'-thioacetyl)phenylethynyl)-1'-(ethynyl)phenylbenzene (7, AMR-I-186). See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling reaction. To an oven dried large screw cap tube was added 1,4-(ethynyl)benzene (0.32g, 2.50 mmol), 1-iodo-4-thioacetylbenezene (1.69g, 6.00 mmol), bis(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium (0.09 g, 0.15 mmol), triphenylphosphine (0.16 g, 0.60 mmol), and copper iodide (0.06g, 0.30 mmol) along with a stirbar. Air was removed in vacuo then the flask was nitrogen purged (3×). THF (20 mL) and diisopropylethylamine (1.74 mL, 10 mmol) were added to the reaction mixture and the
tube was capped and allowed to stir at ambient temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was washed with NH₄Cl (aq) and extracted with methylene chloride. The organic layer was dried with magnesium chloride and solvents were removed in vacuo. The desired compound was purified using flash liquid chromatography in a 3 × 20 inch column with 8 inches of silica gel using a 1:1 mixture of methylene chloride/hexanes as the eluent. The desired compound had a Rf = 0 which was retrieved with a methylene chloride flush giving 0.72g (68%) of the desired compound. IR (KBr) 3456.4, 3128.2, 2194.9, 1917.9, 1687.2, 1651.3, 1620.5, 1548.6, 1507.7, 1379.5, 1348.7, 1266.7, 1112.8, 1010.3, 959.0, 820.5, 759.0, 692.3, 620.5 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.73 (AB q, J=2.35 Hz, Δν= 6.58 Hz, 4 H), 7.59 (s, 2 H), 7.40 (s, 2 H), 7.10 (AB q, J=4.6 Hz, Δν=4.3 Hz, 4 H), 2.41 (s, 6 H). ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆) δ193.07, 143.09, 134.02, 131.96, 131.42, 130.29, 128.18, 90.51, 90.45, 30.26. HRMS calcd for C₂₆H₁₈O₂S₂: 426.0748. Found 426.0747.

![Chemical Structure](image)

**1-Thiol-4(4'-((4''-thiol)phenylethynyl)-1'-(ethynyl)phenyl)benzene (8, AMR-I-190, 192).** To an oven dried 100 mL round bottom flask was added 1-thioacetyl-4(4'-(4''-thioacetyl)phenylethynyl)-1'-(ethynyl)phenyl)benzene (0.05g, 0.12 mmol), sodium hydroxide (0.02 g, 0.48 mmol), and zinc dust (0.01g) along with a stir bar were added and air removed in vacuo and then the flask was purged with nitrogen (3x). Degassed, deionized water (6 mL) was added and the reaction mixture was purged with Ar for 30 min to remove any residual oxygen. THF (15 mL) was added to the reaction mixture that
was then allowed to stir overnight at ambient under a positive pressure of Ar. The following morning the reaction mixture had dried; therefore, 5 mL of THF was added and the solids redissolved. Degassed 3 M HCl (0.30 mL) was then added to the reaction mixture and allowed to stir for 30 m. The reaction mixture was dried by Ar sparge and degassed water (5 mL) was added then benzene (3×5 mL) was added and the organic layer was extracted and placed in an oven dried 50 mL round bottom flask. Solvent was removed in vacuo leaving a golden yellow solid (0.04g) corresponding to a 100% yield. The solid was stored in the dry box until reacted with the Os₃(CO)₁₂(NCMe)₂ cluster. The compound was found to insoluble in benzene, methylene chloride, THF, and diethyl ether, yet sparingly soluble in acetonitrile. The named compound was found to be insoluble in all common NMR solvents; therefore, no NMR spectra could be obtained.

![TMS=CH₂=CH₂=CH₂=TMS](image)

2-Ethyl-1,4-bis(trimethylsilylethynyl)benzene (10, AMR-I-76, 79). See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling reaction. To a nitrogen purged screw capped tube, Pd(PPh₃)Cl₂ (0.021 g, 0.03 mmol), Cul (0.012g, 0.06mmol), and a stir bar were added. The reaction vessel was capped with a rubber septa and air was removed in vacuo for 15 min and then backfilled with nitrogen. 1-Iodo-3-ethyl-4-(trimethylsilylethynyl)benzene (0.201 g, 0.6 mmol) dissolved in 2 mL of triethylamine was added. Reaction was then capped with a screw cap and stirred overnight. Reaction was checked for completion by TLC and the reaction mixture was diluted with diethyl ether and extracted with distilled water. After drying the organic layer over magnesium
sulfate, the solvent was removed in vacuo to afford a crude product that was purified by column chromatography (silica gel). The reaction afforded 0.175g (98%) of the title compound as a yellow-brown compound. Rf = 0.55 (hexanes). \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 7.33 (d, \(J = 7.9\) Hz, 1 H), 7.28 (s, 1 H), 7.19 (dd, \(J = 6.3, 1.6\) Hz, 1 H), 2.74 (q, \(J = 7.6\) Hz, 2 H), 1.21 (t, \(J = 7.5\) Hz, 3 H), 0.23 (s, 9 H), 0.22 (s, 9 H).

![Chemical structure](image)

2-Ethyl-1,4-diethynylbenzene (AMR-I-77, 80). See the general procedure for the deprotection of trimethylsilyl-protected alkynes. To a 50 mL round bottom flask, 2-ethyl-1,4-bis(trimethylsilylethynyl)benzene (0.128g, 0.43 mmol), potassium carbonate (0.28g, 2.15 mmol), 3 mL of methanol, and 3 mL of methylene chloride were added along with a stir bar. The reaction mixture was capped with a rubber septa and stirred under nitrogen for 2 h, then checked by TLC. The mixture was diluted with diethyl ether and extracted with distilled water. The organic layer was dried over magnesium sulfate and excess solvent was removed in vacuo. The reaction afforded 0.0615g (93%) of the desired compound that was pure by NMR standards, therefore no purification was required. \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 7.39 (d, \(J = 7.9\) Hz, 1 H), 7.33 (s, 1 H), 7.25 (dd, \(J = 7.9, 1.6\) Hz, 1 H), 3.31 (s, 1 H), 3.12 (s, 1 H), 2.78 (q, \(J = 7.6\) Hz, 2 H), 1.23 (t, \(J = 7.6\) Hz, 3 H).
1,4-Bis(4'-thioacetylphenylethynyl)-2-ethylbenzene (11, AMR-I-81). See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling reaction. To a screw cap tube, 1-iodo-4-thioacetylbenzene (0.250g, 0.9 mmol), bis(dibenzylideneacetone)palladium(0) (0.29g, 0.05 mmol), triphenylphosphine (0.060g, 0.23 mmol), and copper iodide (0.017g, 0.09 mmol) were added and then the vessel was capped with a rubber septa and introduced into the drybox. THF (1.5 mL), 2-ethyl-1,4-diethynylbenzene (0.0553g, 0.36 mmol), and then Hünig's base (0.186g, 1.44 mmol) were added. The reaction vessel was capped, removed from the drybox, and stirred overnight. The reaction was diluted with diethyl ether and extracted with distilled water. After drying the organic layer over magnesium sulfate, the solvent was removed in vacuo to afford a crude product that was purified by gravity liquid chromatography (silica gel). The reaction afforded 0.085g (91%) of the title compound as a yellow compound. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.54 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 4 H), 7.46 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 1 H), 7.40 (d, $J = 1.7$ Hz, 1 H), 7.38 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 2 H), 7.38 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 2 H), 7.33 (dd, $J = 8.1$, 1.7 Hz, 1 H), 2.86 (q, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2 H), 2.43 (s, 3 H), 2.42 (s, 3 H), 1.30 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 3 H).
1,4-Diethylbenzene (AMR-I-276).\textsuperscript{14} To an oven dried thick walled Parr bomb vessel were added 4-ethylacetophenone (14.82 g, 100 mmol), HCl (20 mL), 10\% Pd/C (2.0 g), and ethanol (100 mL). The vessel was attached to the hydrogenator and allowed to react for 2 d at 70 °C and 60 psi of H\textsubscript{2} pressure. Upon completion, the reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite to remove the Pd/C. The reaction was quenched with NaOH (3 M, 150 mL). The reaction mixture was further diluted with methylene chloride and extracted with water (3\times). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO\textsubscript{4}. The solvents were removed carefully in vacuo to afford the desired product (13.27 g, 99 \% yield) as a clear liquid. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) δ 7.12 (s, 4 H), 2.63 (q, J=7.5 Hz, 4H), 1.23 (t, J= 7.5 Hz, 6H).

1,4-Diethyl-2, 5-diiodobenzene (13, AMR-I-202, 278).\textsuperscript{15} To an oven dried round bottom flask was added 1,4-diethylbenzene (1.34g, 10 mmol), periodioic acid (0.91g, 4 mmol), iodine (2.03g, 16 mmol), acetic acid (8.1 mL), water (1.62 mL), sulfuric acid (0.24 mL). A West condenser was attached and the reaction was heated to 100 C for 2.5 h. The reaction mixture was cooled and poured into an aqueous sodium bisulfite
solution and the brown solids were collected. The solids were dissolved in diethyl ether and stirred with activated charcoal (Norit A) for 5 h. The mixture was filtered through a celite pad that was washed with additional diethyl ether. The ether was removed leaving a white crystalline material (3.92 g, 100%). IR (KBr) 3128.2, 2964.1, 2861.5, 1456.4, 1384.1, 1379.5, 1343.6, 1312.8, 1251.3, 1184.6, 1030.8, 979.5, 959.0, 882.0, 779.5, 712.8 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.60 (s, 2 H), 2.62 (q, $J$=7.5 Hz, 2 H), 1.16 (t, $J$=7.6 Hz, 3 H). $^{13}$C NMR (400 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) δ 145.67, 138.43, 100.13, 33.09, 14.43. HRMS calcd for C$_{10}$H$_{12}$I$_2$: 385.9029. Found 385.9027.

![Chemical Structure](image)

1-Thioacetyl-4(4'-((4''-thioacetyl)phenylethynyl)-1''-(ethynyl)-2', 5'-(diethyl)phenyl)benzene (15, AMR-I-212). See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling reaction. To an oven dried large screw cap tube was added 1,4-diethyl-2,5-diiodobenzene (0.77g, 2.00 mmol), 1-ethynyl-4-thioacetylbenzene (0.78g, 4.40 mmol), bis(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium (0.07g, 0.12 mmol), triphenylphosphine (0.13 g, 0.48 mmol), and copper iodide (0.05g, 0.24 mmol). Air was removed in vacuo and the flask was nitrogen purged (3×). THF (15 mL) and diisopropylethylamine (1.40 mL, 8 mmol) were added to the reaction mixture and the tube was capped and heated at 50-55 C overnight. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool and was then filtered through a pad a celite. Then washed with diethyl ether. The mixture was washed with NH$_4$Cl (aq) and extracted with diethyl ether. The organic layer was dried with magnesium chloride and
solvents were removed in vacuo. The desired compound was separated using flash liquid chromatography in a 3 x 20 inch column with 8 inches of silica gel using a 1:1 mixture of methylene chloride/ hexanes as the eluent. The desired compound had a Rf=0 which was retrieved with a methylene chloride flush giving 0.33g (35%) of the desired compound. IR (KBr) 3435.9, 3138.5, 2964.1, 2861.5, 1692.3, 1656.4, 1589.7, 1559.0, 1497.4, 1384.6, 1271.8, 1179.5, 1117.9, 1092.3, 1010.3, 9478.7, 882.1, 825.6, 743.6, 687.2, 620.5 cm\(^{-1}\).

\(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 7.53 (d, \(J=9.2\) Hz, 4 H), 7.38 (d, \(J=9.2\) Hz, 4 H), 7.37 (s, 2H), 2.81 (q, \(J=8.5\) Hz, 4 H), 2.43 (s, 6 H), 1.28 (t, \(J=8.5\), 6H). \(^{13}\)C NMR (400 MHz, C\(_6\)D\(_6\)) \(\delta\) 193.16, 143.40, 134.06, 131.85, 131.45, 127.84, 124.45, 122.18, 93.26, 89.70, 30.26, 27.15, 14.72. HRMS calcd for C\(_{30}\)H\(_{26}\)O\(_2\)S\(_2\): 482.1374. Found 482.1365.

1-Thiol-4(4''-((4''-thiol)phenylethynyl)-1''-(ethynyl)-2',5'-(diethyl)phenyl)benzene (16, AMR-I-220).\(^{13}\) To an oven dried 100 mL round bottom flask, 1-thioacetyl-4(4''-(4''-thioacetyl)phenylethynyl)-1''-(ethynyl)-2',5'-(diethyl)phenyl)benzene (0.05g, 0.10 mmol), sodium hydroxide (0.02g, 0.40 mmol), and zinc dust (0.01g) along with a stir bar were added and air removed in vacuo then purged with nitrogen (3 x). Degassed, deionized water (5 mL) was added and the reaction mixture was purged with Ar for 30 min to remove any residual oxygen. THF (10 mL) was then added to the reaction mixture that was then allowed to stir overnight at ambient under a positive pressure of Ar. The following morning the reaction mixture had dried; therefore, 5 mL of
THF was added and the solids went into solution. Degassed 3 M HCl (0.30 mL) was then added to the reaction mixture and allowed to stir for 30 min. The reaction mixture was dried by Ar sparge and degassed water (5 mL) was added then benzene (3× 5 mL) was added and the organic layer was extracted and placed in an oven dried 50 mL round bottom flask. Solvent was removed in vacuo leaving a golden yellow solid (0.04g) corresponding to a 100% yield. The solid was stored in the dry box until reacted with the Os$_3$(CO)$_{12}$(NMe)$_2$ cluster. The compound was found to insoluble in benzene, methylene chloride, THF, and diethyl ether, yet sparingly soluble in acetonitrile. The named compound was found to be insoluble in all common NMR solvents; therefore, no NMR spectra could be obtained.
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Chapter 5

STM Ablation of an Insulating Monolayer and Subsequent Insertion of a Conductive Molecular Wire to the Revealed Au Layer
One of the major obstacles facing molecular scale electronics is how will these molecules be connected to the outside world. This fabrication challenging is truly a daunting task. Scanning probe microscopy offers a method for manipulating and modifying materials at the atomic level.\textsuperscript{1-3} Preliminary work has been done utilizing self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) as a substrate.\textsuperscript{4-9} We demonstrate reproducible \textit{in situ} scanning tunneling microscope (STM) lithography SAM patterning and subsequent replacement of conjugated molecules in an insulating matrix at selectively patterned locations(Figure 1).\textsuperscript{10}
Figure 1: a) Schematic of STM ablation and subsequent insertion of the dithiol molecular wire. b) AFM image of the ablated hole and c) STM image of the inserted molecular wires, brighter regions, in the ablated sites in the insulated monolayer.

The initial substrate is a gold surface with an adsorbed SAM. An annealed Au/Cr (250 nmV50 nm) film on glass exhibited a Au(111) surface with terraces of up to several hundred nanometers with herringbone reconstruction. A dodecanethiol SAM was deposited from solution at room temperature. Subsequent mounting in a Teflon liquid
cell of an ambient STM chamber allowed for immersion in 1,4-dioxane (Aldrich) solvent for the replacement experiments.

A tube-scanning ambient STM was used for both imaging and lithographic patterning. Tips were prepared from Pt/Ir (70%/30%) wires and then coated with epoxy leaving the end exposed. Images were taken in constant current mode with 1.5 V tip bias ($V_{t}$) and approximately 15 pA set-point tunneling current ($I_{t}$). To perform lithography, we applied square voltage pulses to the SAM substrate with pulse amplitude ($V_{p}$) varying from 1.8 to 3.6 V and pulse duration ($T_{p}$) from 0.5 μs to 0.5 s. A constant dc bias voltage ($V_{b}$) of 0.1 V was kept on the STM tip during the voltage pulses. A larger time constant (relative to the pulse width) in the feedback electronics is used to both maintain the tip at a constant height and avoid tip crashing.

We have studied the patterning yield of this STM lithography as a function of pulse voltage and pulse width. The yield was defined as the percent of successful patterned structures (out of 50 pulses). Under appropriate pulse conditions patterns were produced with over 90% yield when positive pulses (sample positive with respect to the tip) were applied. There is an apparent threshold voltage for pattern formation. Greater tip sample distance required higher threshold voltage. At pulse voltages above 3.4 V pits deeper than 1.4 nm were produced, suggesting that some of the underlying gold was removed. Pulse duration was varied at various pulse voltages for fixed tip-sample separation. Reproducible patterns were created at pulse widths greater than about 0.1 s. We used positive pulses to remove the SAM in this work since we observed that negative pulses created mounds, which may be the result of material deposition from the tip.
Subsequent to dodecanethiol removal, we introduced conjugated molecules into the liquid cell for "decoration" of the patterned sites. The conjugated molecule used is 2'-ethyl-4:1'-ethynylphenyl-4':1''-ethynylphenyl-1,4''-thioacetylbenezene 1, previously made in Chapter 4.

Upon addition of NH₄OH, the protective acetyl endgroups in 1 are removed and cause conversion into the thiolate form, a convenient method for utilizing oxidatively unstable dithiols and which have been shown to self-assemble onto Au. The mechanism for replacement adsorption is that the thiol group of the rigid rod aromatic, α,ω-dithiol binds to the exposed surface; at the concentration used (10 μM), one can estimate that there are approximately 40 collisions per second of molecules the thiolate with the exposed Au surface.

To demonstrate replacement, we added 10 μM of A in 1,4-dioxane along with several microliters of NH₄OH to the liquid cell under slightly positive Argon pressure. Lithography was performed on the dodecanethiol surface while in solution. The molecule B is similar to one previously investigated in which conductivity higher than alkanethiol was observed, resulting in bright spots in the STM image, Figure 1. The widths of the peaks are approximately 10 nm indicating the insertion of a bundle of approximately 400 B molecules. The height is about 0.7 nm above the background, which is consistent with the known length of B and dodecanthiol molecules of 2.2 and 1.4 nm, respectively.
Several scans over one hour showed no pattern degradation. Please note that all STM studies were performed by the other co-authors on reference 10; my contribution was the synthesis of molecular scale wire 1.

We have demonstrated the controlled insertion of conjugated molecules in an insulating background, providing a general method for generating intermixed SAMs of arbitrary shapes and compositions. The sharpness and regularity of the STM tip should play an important role; we suggest that future work utilizing a carbon nanotube STM tip might implement single molecule replacement.
Reference
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Chapter 6

The Use of Dipole Possessing Molecular Scale Wire SAMs to Control Schottky Barriers in Organic Electronic Devices
Recently, the use of organic molecules in electronic devices has found great utility. Highly conductive, organic compounds have many advantages over traditional inorganic light emitting diodes (LEDs) including ease of fabrication, possible mechanical properties, and cost effectiveness.\textsuperscript{1} A few of the areas of promise include light emitting diodes (LEDs),\textsuperscript{2-5} transistors,\textsuperscript{6} and photodetectors.\textsuperscript{7} Large electronic barriers have been evident at the contact point of the metal and organic LED and have been a source of limitation and instability of these molecules.\textsuperscript{7} The metal/organic interface in LEDs have been shown to follow ideal Schottky behavior, that is, the electron Schottky barrier is determined by the energy difference between the metal work function and the electron affinity (ionization potential) of the organic material.\textsuperscript{8,9} The tuning of this barrier, with functionalized molecular scale wires, may lower the Schottky barrier. Thus allowing for better conduction of an electron or hole from the metal contact to the organic LED.
Figure 1: Schematic illustration of the effect of incorporating a monolayer (with significant dipole moment) between a metal electrode and an organic material used in an organic electronic device (i.e. diode or transistor).

It has been demonstrated that electronically conductive SAMs, i.e. oligo(phenylene ethynylene)s, lower the barrier for injection of an electron from a metal contact to the organic substrate and therefore tune the Schottky energy barrier between the metal and organic surfaces.\textsuperscript{10} We are currently synthesizing molecules to act as interfaces between the metal contacts and the organic substrates in LEDs. By tailoring the Schottky barrier of the metal/organic interface, we are hoping to improve the efficiency of the LEDs by matching the Fermi of the metal and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) of the organic substrate. The Cu/SAM injection of holes at low voltage could also improve ohmic contact. We envisioned highly conjugated
phenylene ethynylene molecules that possess a dipole moment as good candidates for these compounds and thiol endgroups for attachment to numerous metal probes.

Molecules 3 and 5 were synthesized by Pd/Cu-catalyzed cross couplings in high yields, eq 1. Surprisingly, these molecules where extremely difficult to separate by column chromatography and recrystallizations. They were finally purified by multiple cold hexanes washes.

Two additional molecules were synthesized for testing as LEDs interfaces, eq 2. Again, these molecules were produced in a straightforward fashion by Pd/Cu-catalyzed cross couplings in high yields. The use for these organic SAMs has demonstrated improvement for charge injection from the Cu probe using SAMs with electron
withdrawing moieties. Additionally, compounds 7 and 8 demonstrated a shift in the zero current to positive bias which indicates an increase in the effective work function of the metal electrode by the voltage. This increase in the work function has led to improved hole injection, with a molecule that is similar to 1 yet possesses a nitro moiety instead of the cyano group, according to Figure 2.

![Graph of Cu(SAM)/MEH-PPV/Al Diodes](image)

**Figure 2:** Copper (SAM)/MEH-PPV/Al diodes demonstrating improvement of charge injection from Cu using the nitro terminated SAM.

The current in the devices is dominated by hole injection from the Cu contact (the Al electrode is a poor electron injector) so the increased current from the Cu nitro SAM electrode (compared to the no SAM Cu electrode) indicates improved injection from the contact. The MEH-PPV film thickness is 100 nm in both cases.
Figure 3: Kelvin probe current versus substance bias for a series of Au (SAM) electrodes.

The zero point of the current of Figure 3 is significant. The shift of zero current to positive bias indicates an increase in the effective work function of the metal electrode by the voltage. The effective work function of the Au-CN (1) electrode is about 0.35 eV higher than Au. This increase in the work function leads to improved hole injection. It should be noted that the LED testing was in the laboratory of Dr. Ian Campbell at Los Alamos National Laboratories and Tour group contributions was the synthesis of all SAM precursors.

To decrease the Schottky barrier for electron injection in a LED, molecular scale wires with electron donating moieties and carboxylic groups for the formation of SAMs to aluminum oxide contact were synthesized.\textsuperscript{12}
Compound 11 was coupled using Pd/Cu-catalysis to 12 to afford methyl ester intermediate 13. The methylester moiety was saponified in the presence of lithium hydroxide to afford compound 14. These compounds are currently being tested for their ability to lower the electron injection barrier between the aluminum oxide contact and organic LED.
Experimental

General. All reactions were performed under an atmosphere of nitrogen unless stated otherwise. All reagents and starting materials were purchased from Aldrich, Acros, Fischer, Fluka, and Alfa Aesar if not otherwise stated. Alkyl lithium reagents were obtained from FMC. Pyridine, methyl iodide, triethylamine, and N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) were distilled over calcium hydride, and stored over 4 Å molecular sieves. Toluene and benzene were distilled over CaH₂. Methylene chloride and hexanes were distilled. Ethyl ether and tetrahydrofuran (THF) were distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl. Triethylamine and N,N-diisopropylethylamine was distilled over CaH₂. MeOH was dried over oven dried 3 Å molecular sieves. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded at 300, 400, or 500 MHz on Brüker AM-300, WH-400, and AM-500 spectrometers, respectively or at 400 and 500 MHz on Varian Mercury 400 and INOVA 500 spectrometers, respectively or at 400 MHz on Oxford Instruments 400 MHz NMR using Brüker XWIN NMR processing program. The ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded at 75, 100, or 125 MHz on Brüker AM-300, WH-400, and AM-500 spectrometers, respectively at 100 and 125 MHz on Varian Mercury 400 and INOVA 500 spectrometers, respectively or at 125 MHz on Oxford Instruments 400 MHz NMR using Brüker XWIN NMR processing program. Proton chemical shifts (δ) are reported in ppm downfield from tetramethylsilane (TMS) and ¹³C resonances (unless otherwise noted) were recorded using the 77.0-ppm CDCl₃ resonance as an internal reference and are reported in ppm downfield from TMS. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer 1600 Series FTIR. Gas chromatography experiments were performed on a
Hewlett-Packar GC model 5890A equipped with an Alltech column (cat # 932525, serial # 9059) 25 m × 0.25 mm D × 0.2 mm. Gravity column chromatography, silica gel plugs, and flash chromatography were performed using 230-400 mesh silica gel from EM Science. Thin layer chromatography was performed using glass plates precoated with silica gel 60 F254 with a layer thickness of 0.25 mm purchased from EM Science. Combustion analyses were obtained from Atlantic Microlab, Inc., P. O. Box 2288, Norcross, GA 30091.

**General Procedure for the coupling of a Terminal Alkyne with an Aryl Halide Utilizing a Palladium-Copper Cross-Coupling (Castro-Stephens/Sonogashira Protocol).** To an oven dried round bottom flask equipped with a water cooled West condenser or screw capped pressure tube with a magnetic stirbar were added the aryl halide, bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (3-5 mol % per halide), and copper(I) iodide (6-10 mol % per halide). Triphenylphosphine was used in some reactions to keep the palladium in solution. The vessel was then sealed with a rubber septum under a N2 atmosphere. A co-solvent system of THF, benzene, or methylene chloride was added at this point depending on the solubility of the aryl halide. Then base, either triethylamine or N,N-diisopropylethylamine, was added and finally the terminal alkyne (1-1.5 mol % per halide) was added and the reaction was heated until complete. Upon completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was then quenched with water, a saturated solution of NH4Cl, or brine. The organic layer was diluted with methylene chloride or Et2O and washed with water, a saturated solution of NH4Cl, or brine (3×). The combined aqueous layers were extracted with methylene chloride or Et2O (2×). The
combined organic layers were dried over MgSO₄ and solvent removed in vacuo to afford the crude product that was purified by column chromatography (silica gel). Eluents and other slight modifications are described below for each material.

**General procedure for the deprotection of trimethylsilyl protected alkynes (Method A).** The silylated alkyne was dissolved in methanol and potassium carbonate was added. The mixture was stirred overnight before poured into water. The solution was extracted with ether or ethyl acetate and washed with brine. After drying over magnesium sulfate the solvent was evaporated *in vacuo* to afford pure products. (Method B). The silylated alkyne was dissolved in pyridine in a plastic vessel. A mixed solution of 49% hydrofluoric acid and 1.0 M tetrabutylammonium fluoride in THF was added at room temperature. The solution was stirred for 15 min and quenched with silica gel. The mixture was poured into water and extracted with ether. The extract was washed with brine and dried over magnesium sulfate. After filtration the solvent was evaporated *in vacuo*. The crude products were purified by a flash chromatography on silica gel. Eluents and other slight modifications are described below for each material.

![NC-TMS](image.png)

**4-Trimethylsilylethynylbenzonitrile (AMR-II-101).** See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling reaction. 4-Bromobenzonitrile (0.50g, 2.75 mmol) was coupled to trimethylsilylacetylene (0.59 mL, 4.13 mmol) copper(I) iodide (0.05 g, 0.28 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (0.10 g, 0.14 mmol),
triphenylphosphine (0.14 g, 0.55 mmol), triethylamine (1.19 mL, 11.00 mmol), and THF (15 mL) in a screw capped pressure tube equipped with a magnetic stirbar. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 65 °C for 60 h. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. The desired material was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and a mixture of 1:1 methylene chloride/hexanes as the eluent. R_f (product): 0.60. The reaction afforded 0.52 g (93 % yield) of the desired compound as off white crystals. IR (KBr) 3128.2, 2953.8, 2225.6, 2143.6, 1600.0, 1492.3, 1384.6, 1246.2, 1174.4, 841.0, 753.8 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.57 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.52 (d, J=8.3 Hz, 2 H), 0.26 (s, 9 H). ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 132.15, 131.63, 127.73, 118.17, 111.53, 102.74, 99.35, -0.30. HRMS calcd C₁₂H₁₃NSi: 199.0817. Found: 199.0816.

4-Ethynylbenzonitrile (AMR-II-107). See the general procedure for the deprotection of trimethylsilyl-protected alkynes. 4-Trimethylsilylethynylbenzonitrile (0.35 g, 1.72 mmol) was deprotected to the terminal alkyne using the procedure described above using potassium carbonate (1.19 g, 8.60 mmol), methanol (10 mL), and methylene chloride (10 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 2 h. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. Due to the instability of conjugated terminal alkynes, the material was immediately reacted in the next step without additional purification or identification.
1-Thioacetyl-4(4'-cyano(phenylethynyl))benzene (3, AMR-II-109). See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling reaction. 4-ethynylbenzonitrile (0.22 g, 1.65 mmol) was coupled to 1-iodo-4-thioacetylbenzene (0.60 g, 2.15 mmol) as described above using copper(I) iodide (0.03 g, 0.17 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (0.06 g, 0.09 mmol), triphenylphosphine (0.09 g, 0.34 mmol), triethylamine (0.96 mL, 6.88 mmol), and THF (20 mL) in a screw capped pressure tube equipped with a magnetic stir bar. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 65 °C for 3 d. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. The desired material was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and a mixture of 3:1 methylene chloride/hexanes as the eluent. Rf (product): 0.49. The compound was further purified by a hexanes washes to give 0.28 g (76 % yield, 2 steps) of the desired compound as yellow crystals over two steps. IR (KBr) 3117.9, 2225.6, 1692.3, 1379.5, 1266.7, 1164.1, 1112.8, 1010.3, 959.0, 825.6, 615.4 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.63 (d, J=8.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.59 (d, J=8.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.56 (d, J=8.62 Hz, 2 H), 7.42 (d, J=8.6 Hz, 2 H), 2.42 (s, 3 H). ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 192.94, 134.22, 132.25, 132.10, 132.02, 129.16, 127.78, 132.32, 118.41, 111.77, 92.88, 89.22, 30.48. HRMS calcd C₁₇H₁₁NOS: 277.0561. Found: 277.0573.
2-Trimethylsilylethynylbenzonitrile (AMR-II-101). See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling reaction. 2-Bromobenzonitrile (0.50 g, 2.75 mmol) was coupled to trimethylsilylacetylene (0.59 mL, 4.13 mmol) using copper(I) iodide (0.05 g, 0.28 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (0.10 g, 0.14 mmol), triphenylphosphine (0.14 g, 0.55 mmol), triethylamine (1.19 mL, 11.00 mmol), and THF (15 mL) in a screw capped pressure tube equipped with a magnetic stirbar. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 65 °C for 60 h. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. The desired material was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and a mixture of 1:1 methylene chloride/hexanes as the eluent. Rf (product): 0.60. The reaction afforded 0.52 g (93 % yield) of the desired compound as off white crystals. IR (KBr) 3066.7, 2953.8, 2902.6, 225.6, 2153.8, 1589.7, 1559.0, 1476.9, 1446.2, 1405.1, 1251.3, 1220.5, 1164.1, 1092.3, 1035.9, 953.8, 861.5, 764.1, 733.3, 697.4, 641.0 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.62 (d, J=7.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.53 (t, J=13.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.52 (d, J=11.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.38 (t, J=8.8 Hz, 1 H), 0.30 (s, 9 H). ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 132.45, 132.35, 132.08, 128.35, 126.87, 117.20, 115.73, 102.16, 100.49, -0.19. HRMS calcd C₁₂H₁₃NSi: 199.0817. Found: 199.0814.
2-Ethynylbenzonitrile (AMR-II-113). See the general procedure for the deprotection of trimethylsilyl-protected alkynes. 2-Trimethylsilylethynylbenzonitrile (0.35 g, 1.72 mmol) was deprotected to the terminal alkyne using the procedure described above using potassium carbonate (1.19 g, 8.60 mmol), methanol (10 mL), and methylene chloride (10 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 2 h. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. Due to the instability of conjugated terminal alkynes, the material was immediately reacted in the next step without additional purification or identification.

1-Thioacetyl-4(2'-cyano(phenylethynyl))benzene (5, AMR-II-115). See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling reaction. 2-ethynylbenzonitrile (0.22 g, 1.72 mmol) was coupled to 1-iodo-4-thioacetylbenzene (0.61 g, 2.15 mmol) as described above using copper(I) iodide (0.03 g, 0.17 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (0.06 g, 0.09 mmol), triphenylphosphine (0.09 g, 0.34 mmol), triethylamine (0.96 mL, 6.88 mmol), and THF (20 mL) in a screw capped pressure tube equipped with a magnetic stirbar. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 65 °C for 48 h. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. The desired material was purified by gravity liquid
chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and a mixture of 1:3 ethyl acetate/hexanes as the eluent. \( R_f \) (product): 0.38. The compound was further purified by a hexanes wash to give 0.23 g (48% yield, 2 steps) of the desired compound as a yellow solid over two steps. IR (KBr) 3425.6, 3138.5, 2369.2, 2225.6, 1702.6, 1656.4, 1384.6, 1112.8, 1015.4, 943.6, 825.6, 769.2, 620.5 cm\(^{-1}\). \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 7.68 (d, \( J=7.7 \) Hz, 1 H), 7.65 (d, \( J=8.4 \) Hz, 2 H), 7.64 (buried d, 1 H), 7.57 (t, \( J=7.6 \), 1 H), 7.44 (buried d, 1 H), 7.41 (d, \( J=8.7 \) Hz, 2 H), 2.44 (s, 3 H). \(^1\)C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 192.96, 134.20, 132.64, 132.46, 132.35, 132.14, 129.27, 128.46, 126.81, 123.14, 117.41, 115.45, 95.08, 87.06, 30.48. HRMS calcd C\(_{17}\)H\(_{11}\)NOS: 277.0561. Found: 277.0574.

![Structure](image)

**2-Trimethylsilylethynylpyridine (AMR-II-129).** See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling reaction. 2-Bromopyridine (0.45 mL, 3.16 mmol) was coupled to trimethylsilylacetylene (0.68 mL, 4.74 mmol) using copper(I) iodide (0.06 g, 0.32 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (0.11 g, 0.16 mmol), triphenylphosphine (0.17 g, 0.63 mmol), triethylamine (1.38 mL, 12.64 mmol), and THF (15 mL) in a screw capped pressure tube equipped with a magnetic stirbar. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 70 °C for 48 h. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. The desired material was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and a mixture 3:1 methylene chloride/hexanes as the eluent. \( R_f \) (product): 0.15. The reaction afforded 0.50 g (88% yield) of the desired compound. IR (KBr) 3056.4, 2953.8, 2902.6, 2153.8, 1579.5,
1559.0, 1456.4, 1425.6, 1246.2, 1220.5, 1148.7, 1046.2, 984.6, 866.7, 841.0, 774.4, 759.0, 733.3, 697.4, 651.3 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.55 (d, J=3.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.63 (t, J=6.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.43 (d, J=7.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.20 (t, J=3.6 Hz, 1 H), 0.27 (s, 9 H). ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 149.87, 143.03, 135.97, 127.20, 122.95, 103.65, 94.76, -0.07. HRMS calc'd C₁₀H₁₃NSi: 175.0817. Found: 175.0812.

![2-Ethynylpyridine](image)

2-Ethynylpyridine (AMR-II-131). See the general procedure for the deprotection of trimethylsilyl-protected alkynes. 2-Trimethylsilylethynylpyridine (0.35 g, 1.95 mmol) was deprotected to the terminal alkyne using the procedure described above using potassium carbonate (1.35 g, 9.75 mmol), methanol (15 mL), and methylene chloride (15 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 2 h. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. Due to the instability of conjugated terminal alkynes, the material was immediately reacted in the next step without additional purification or identification.

![1-Thioacetyl-4(ethynylpyridine)benzene](image)

1-Thioacetyl-4(ethynylpyridine)benzene (7, AMR-II-133). See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling reaction. 2-Ethynylpyridine (0.20 g, 1.95 mmol) was coupled to 1-iodo-4-thioacetylbenzene (0.66 g, 2.34 mmol) as described above using copper(I) iodide (0.02 g, 0.12 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II)
chloride (0.04 g, 0.06 mmol), triphenylphosphine (0.06 g, 0.23 mmol), \textit{N,N-}
diisopropylethylamine (1.36 mL, 7.80 mmol), and THF (15 mL) in a screw capped
pressure tube equipped with a magnetic stirbar. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir
at 50 °C for 16 d. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as
described above. The desired material was purified by gravity liquid chromatography
using silica gel as the stationary phase and a mixture of 1:1 ethyl acetate/hexanes as the
eluent. \(R_f\) (product): 0.38. The reaction afforded 0.26 g (53 % yield, 2 steps) of the
desired compound as a yellow solid over two steps. IR (KBr) 3128.2, 2215.4, 1697.4,
1574.4, 1461.5, 1384.6, 1276.9, 1117.9, 1005.1, 948.7, 830.8, 779.5, 733.3, 615.4 cm\(^{-1}\).
\(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 8.60 (d, \(J=4.0\) Hz, 1 H), 7.65 (t, \(J=5.8\) Hz, 1 H), 7.59 (d,
\(J=8.0\) Hz, 2 H), 7.51 (d, \(J=4.0\) Hz, 1 H), 7.38 (d, \(J=8.6\) Hz, 2 H), 7.22 (t, \(J=3.7\) Hz, 1 H),
2.41 (s, 3 H). \(^{13}\)C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 193.01, 150.05, 143.04, 136.14, 134.12,
132.50, 128.94, 127.26, 123.39, 122.96, 90.12, 88.28, 30.46. HRMS calcd C\(_{15}\)H\(_{11}\)NOS:

![Structure of 1-Thioacetyl-4(ethynylpyrimidine)benzene](image)

1-Thioacetyl-4(ethynylpyrimidine)benzene (10, AMR-II-121). See the general
procedure for the Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling reaction. 3-Bromopyrimidine (0.18 g, 1.15
mmol) was coupled to 1-ethynyl-4-thioacetylbenzene (0.24 g, 1.38 mmol) as described
above using copper(I) iodide (0.02 g, 0.12 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II)
chloride (0.04 g, 0.06 mmol), triphenylphosphine (0.06 g, 0.23 mmol), triethylamine
(0.51 mL, 4.60 mmol), and THF (15 mL) in a screw capped pressure tube equipped with
a magnetic stir bar. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 75 °C for 4 d. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. The desired material was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and a mixture of 1:1 ethyl acetate/hexanes as the eluent. R<sub>f</sub> (product): 0.53. The reaction afforded 0.15 g (52 % yield) of the desired compound as bright yellow solid over two steps. IR (KBr) 3425.6, 3128.2, 2215.4, 1702.6, 1656.4, 1543.6, 1384.6, 1117.9, 1097.4, 943.6, 820.6, 717.9, 615.4 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 9.14 (s, 1 H), 8.85 (s, 2 H), 7.76 (d, J=8.1 Hz, 2 H), 7.42 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2 H), 2.44 (s, 3 H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 192.84, 158.57, 156.79, 134.26, 132.22, 129.49, 122.82, 119.59, 95.46, 83.84, 30.50. HRMS calcd C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>10</sub>N<sub>2</sub>OS: 254.0514. Found: 254.0513.

![Methyl 2'-methoxy-4-(phenylethynyl)benzoate](image)

**Methyl 2'-methoxy-4-(phenylethynyl)benzoate (13, AMR-II-223).** See the general procedure for the Pd/Cu-catalyzed coupling reaction. 2-Iodoanisole (0.49 mL, 3.74 mmol) was coupled to methyl (1-ethynyl)benzoate (0.50 g, 3.12 mmol) as described above using copper(I) iodide (0.06 g, 0.31 mmol), bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(II) chloride (0.11 g, 0.16 mmol), N,N-diisopropylethylamine (2.17 mL, 12.48 mmol), and THF (15 mL) in a screw capped pressure tube equipped with a magnetic stir bar. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 75 °C for 2.5 d. The resultant mixture was subjected to an aqueous workup as described above. The desired material was purified by gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and methylene chloride as the eluent. R<sub>f</sub> (product): 0.59. An additional purification was performed
using gravity liquid chromatography using silica gel as the stationary phase and a mixture of 1:1 diethyl ether/hexanes as the eluent. R_f (product): 0.54. The reaction afforded 0.47 g (57 % yield) of the desired compound as a white solid. IR (KBr) 3426.87, 2941.49, 2828.66, 2200.00, 1720.89, 1597.73, 1487.07, 1463.09, 1433.24, 1275.68, 1245.54, 1167.90, 1102.30, 1018.13, 853.62, 753.68, 691.05, 474.58 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.80 (ABq, J=8.7 Hz, Δv=159.9 Hz, 4 H), 7.52 (dd, J= 7.6, 1.8, 1 H), 7.36 (td J=7.4, 1.7 Hz, 1 H), 6.98 (td, J=7.5, 1.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.94 (dd, J=8.4, 0.7 Hz, 1 H), 3.95 (s, 6 H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.05, 160.50, 134.09, 132.88, 131.95, 130.73, 129.99, 129.84, 129.69, 128.76, 120.95, 112.31, 111.14, 93.06, 89.29, 56.26, 52.61. HRMS Calcd C₁₇H₁₄O₃: 266.0943. Found: 266.0945.

2'-Methoxy-4-(phenylethynyl)benzoic acid (14, AMR-II-279).¹³ To a 100 mL round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirbar methyl 2'-methoxy-4-(phenylethynyl)benzoate (0.30 g, 1.16 mmol), lithium hydroxide (0.14, 5.82 mmol), methanol (18 mL), methylene chloride (10 mL), and water (6 mL) were added. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 2 d. The reaction was quenched with water and extracted with methylene chloride (3×). The yellow aqueous phases were combined and acidified to pH=3 where upon a white solid precipitated. The solid material was collected on fritted funnel. No further purification was needed. The reaction afforded 0.28 g (97 % yield) of the desired material. IR (KBr) 3445.36, 2962.62, 2829.10, 2659.63, 2536.38, 2212.84, 1681.14, 1604.93, 1488.82, 1457.92, 1425.90,
1317.19, 1297.57, 1278.77, 1244.42, 1178.84, 1098.43, 1016.26, 954.64, 858.43, 757.58, 697.86, 554.07 cm⁻¹. \( ^1 \)H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ 13.00 (br s, 1 H), 7.80 (ABq, \( J = 8.2 \) Hz, \( \Delta v = 135.8 \) Hz, 4 H), 7.52 (dd, \( J = 7.5, 1.7 \) Hz, 1 H), 7.42 (td, \( J = 7.7, 1.7 \) Hz, 1 H), 7.12 (d, \( J = 8.4 \) Hz, 1 H), 7.00 (td, \( J = 7.4, 0.6 \) Hz, 1 H), 3.33 (s, 3 H). \( ^{13} \)C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 167.59, 160.67, 134.09, 132.20, 131.78, 131.27, 130.43, 127.88, 121.43, 112.32, 111.60, 93.08, 89.81, 56.61. HRMS Calcd C₁₆H₁₂O₃: 252.0786. Found: 252.0782.
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**Accurate Mass**
12/21

\[ m/z \approx 254.0513 \]

Calculated mass = 254.0514

**C_{14}H_{13}N_{2}O_{5}**

**Error = 0.4 ppm**