

A  
THEOREM  
ON FUNCTIONS HARMONIC  
IN A CIRCLE

C. Hewitt Dix

A thesis on Mathematics in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of  
MASTER OF ARTS

Rice Institute  
June, 1928

A THEOREM ON FUNCTIONS HARMONIC  
IN A CIRCLE

This paper is to establish a theorem (1) relative to functions harmonic in the unit circle. The theorem has been proved in a similar manner for functions harmonic in the sphere by Bray and Evans(2).

Let  $u(M)$  denote a function which is harmonic at every point  $M$  internal to the unit circle  $S$  with center  $O$ . Consider intervals on the circle and denote any one of them by  $\Delta$ .  $\Delta$  is then determined by two angles,  $0 \leq \theta_1 \leq \theta_2 \leq 2\pi$ . We next set down the two definitions of  $F(r, \Delta)$  and  $T(r, \Delta)$ ,

$$F(r, \Delta) \equiv F(r; \theta_1, \theta_2) \equiv \int_{\rho(r, \Delta)} u(r, \theta) d\theta \quad (a)$$

and

$$T(r, \Delta) \equiv T(r; \theta_1, \theta_2) \equiv \int_{\rho(r, \Delta)} |u(r, \theta)| d\theta \quad (b)$$

where  $\rho(r, \Delta)$  denotes the projection from  $O$  of  $\Delta$  on a circle of center  $O$  and radius  $r$ . In all that follows  $F(r, \Delta)$  is assumed to be of uniformly limited variation with respect to  $r$ , i.e.,  $T(r, \Delta) < K$  for all  $r < 1$ .  $F(r, \Delta)$  and  $T(r, \Delta)$  are additive as functions of segments on  $S_r$  for all values of the parameter  $r < 1$ , and therefore for values of  $r$  given by the sequence  $r_1 < r_2 < \dots$  with  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} r_i = 1$ .

(1) Theorem 7

(2) Bray and Evans, "A Class of Functions Harmonic within the Sphere, American Journal of Mathematics, Vol. XLIX (1927), pp. 153-180. See pp. 160-168. This paper is referred to hereafter as (2)

## 1. SOME THEOREMS ON CONVERGENCE IN THE MEAN

THEOREM 1. If  $\int (r_i, \rho) < K$  for all  $i$ , then the sequence  $\{F(r_i; \theta_1, \theta_2)\}$  converges in the mean in a two dimensional region  $\Theta(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ .

C Consider three concentric circles,  $S_{r_i}$ ,  $S_{r_j}$ , and  $S$  of radii  $r_i$ ,  $r_j$ , and 1 respectively, where  $i < j$ , i.e.,  $r_i < r_j < 1$ . Then Poisson's integral can take the form

$$u(M_i) = \frac{1}{2\pi r_j} \int_{S_{r_i}} \frac{(r_j^2 - r_i^2) u(M_j)}{M_j M_i^2} dM_j \quad (1)$$

where  $M_i$  and  $M_j$  are points on the circumferences  $S_{r_i}$  and  $S_{r_j}$  respectively. Then (1) and (a) gives

$$F(r_i, \rho) = \int_{\rho(r_i, \rho)} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi r_j} \int_{S_{r_i}} \frac{r_j^2 - r_i^2}{M_j M_i^2} u(M_j) dM_j \right\} dM_i$$

$$= \int_{S_{r_i}} p(M_j; r_j, r_i; \rho) u(M_j) dM_j$$

where

$$p(M_j; r_j, r_i; \rho) \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi r_j} \int_{\rho(r_i, \rho)} \frac{r_j^2 - r_i^2}{M_j M_i^2} dM_i \quad (c)$$

by definition.

Now consider various positions of the point  $M_j$  with respect to  $\rho(r_i, \rho)$ .

If  $M_j$  is inside  $\rho(r_i, \rho)$  and its smaller angular distance to the ends of  $\rho(r_i, \rho)$  is  $\beta$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} p(M_j; r_j, r_i; \rho) &> \frac{(r_j^2 - r_i^2) r_i}{2\pi r_j} \int_{-\beta}^{+\beta} \frac{d\alpha}{r_i^2 + r_i^2 - 2r_i r_j \cos \alpha} \\ &= \frac{2r_i}{\pi r_j} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{r_j + r_i}{r_j - r_i} \tan \frac{1}{2} \beta \right) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

If  $M_j$  is outside  $\rho(r_j, \delta)$  and its smaller angular distance to the ends of  $\rho(r_j, \delta)$  is  $\beta$ , then

$$0 < \rho(M_j; r_j, r_i; \delta) < \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left[ \frac{r_i}{r_j \pi} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{r_i + r_j}{r_i - r_j} \tan \frac{1}{2} \alpha \right) \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} \pi - \epsilon \\ \beta \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} 2\pi - \beta \\ \pi + \epsilon \end{array} \right]$$

$$= \frac{r_i}{\pi r_j} \left[ \pi - 2 \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{r_i + r_j}{r_i - r_j} \tan \frac{1}{2} \beta \right) \right] \quad (11)$$

For any position of  $M_j$

$$0 < \rho(M_j; r_j, r_i; \delta) < \frac{r_i}{r_j} < 1 \quad (111)$$

Now let  $\{\delta_n\}$  be a non-increasing sequence associated with  $\{r_n\}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n = 0$  and

$$1 - r_i < \frac{\delta_n^2}{4} \quad \text{for } i > n. \quad (1111)$$

$$q(M_j, \delta)$$

Also define a function  $\wedge$  which is equal to 1,  $\frac{1}{2}$ , 0 respectively, according as  $M_j$  is inside of, on an end of, outside of  $\delta$ . Fur-

thermore define two intervals  $\rho_{1n} = \rho_1(r_i; \theta, -\delta_n, \theta, +\delta_n)$  and  $\rho_{2n} = \rho_2(r_i; \theta_1 - \delta_n, \theta_1 + \delta_n)$ . Then it follows from inequalities (i), (ii), (iii), and (1111) that if  $M_j$  is external to both  $\rho_{1n}$  and  $\rho_{2n}$

$$|q(M_j, \delta) - \rho(M_j; r_j, r_i; \delta)| < \delta_n \quad \text{for } j > i > n.$$

We see then that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| F(r_i; \theta_1, \theta_2) - F(r_i; \theta_1, \theta_2) \right| \\
 &= \left| \int_{S_{r_i}} \left\{ q(M_i, \rho) - p(M_i; r_i, r_i; \rho) \right\} u(M_i) dM_i \right| \\
 &= \int_{S_{r_i}} \left| q(M_i, \rho) - p(M_i; r_i, r_i; \rho) \right| \left| u(M_i) \right| dM_i \\
 &= \delta_n K + T(r_i; \theta_1 - \delta_n, \theta_1 + \delta_n) + T(r_i; \theta_2 - \delta_n, \theta_2 + \delta_n)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\Theta(\theta_1, \theta_2)} \left| F(r_i; \theta_1, \theta_2) - F(r_i; \theta_1, \theta_2) \right| d\Theta &\leq 4\pi^2 \delta_n K + 4\pi \int_0^{2\pi} T(r_i; \theta - \delta_n, \theta + \delta_n) d\theta \\
 &= 4\pi^2 \delta_n K + 4\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ T(r_i; 0, \theta + \delta_n) - T(r_i; 0, \theta - \delta_n) \right\} d\theta
 \end{aligned}$$

By changing the variable of integration the last integral can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 4\pi \left\{ \int_{\delta_n}^{2\pi + \delta_n} T(r_i; 0, \theta') d\theta' - \int_{-\delta_n}^{2\pi - \delta_n} T(r_i; 0, \theta') d\theta' \right\} \\
 &= 4\pi \left\{ \int_{2\pi - \delta_n}^{2\pi + \delta_n} T(r_i; 0, \theta') d\theta' - \int_{-\delta_n}^{+\delta_n} T(r_i; 0, \theta') d\theta' \right\} \\
 &\leq 4\pi \int_{2\pi - \delta_n}^{2\pi + \delta_n} T(r_i; 0, \theta') d\theta' \leq 4\pi K 2\delta_n.
 \end{aligned}$$

We therefore have

$$\int_{\Theta} |F(r_i; \theta_1, \theta_2) - F(r_i; \theta_1, \theta_2)| d\theta \leq \delta_n K 4\pi(\pi+2).$$

Now choose  $n$  so large that  $\delta_n K 4\pi[\pi+2] < \epsilon$ . Since

$\int_{\Theta} |F(r_i; \theta_1, \theta_2) - F(r_i; \theta_1, \theta_2)| d\theta < \epsilon$  implies convergence in the mean of  $\{F(r_i; \theta_1, \theta_2)\}$  on a region  $\Theta$ , our theorem is proved.

THEOREM 2. -- There exists a subsequence  $\{\bar{r}_i\}$  of  $\{r_i\}$  and a function  $\Phi(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ , defined nearly everywhere in  $\Theta$ , such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} F(\bar{r}_i; \theta_1, \theta_2) = \Phi(\theta_1, \theta_2)$$

except at a set of  $\Theta$  of superficial measure zero.

Theorem 1. and a theorem of Hobson (3) allow us to infer Theorem 2.

Theorem 3. -- There exists a subsequence  $\{r'_i\}$  of  $\{r_i\}$  and a function  $\Phi(0, \theta)$ , defined at nearly every point of the interval  $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ , such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} F(r'_i; 0, \theta) = \Phi(0, \theta)$$

nearly everywhere in a set of points  $E(\theta)$  of linear measure  $2\pi$ .

The proof is omitted since it is similar to that of Theorem 1 with Theorem 2.

(3) Hobson, "Theory of Functions of a Real Variable," 2nd ed. Vol. II, # 168.

THEOREM 4. -- There exists a set  $E'(\theta)$  dense in the interval  $0, 2\pi$  such that if  $\theta_1, \theta_2$  belong to  $E'(\theta)$  then

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} F(r_i; \theta_1, \theta_2) = \Phi(\theta_1, \theta_2)$$

exists.

Since  $F(r_i; \theta_1, \theta_2)$  is additive we have

$$F(r_i; \theta_1, \theta_2) = F(r_i; 0, \theta_2) - F(r_i; 0, \theta_1)$$

Theorem 3 allows us to infer that both terms on the right can only diverge, as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , on a set of zero measure. Hence  $F(r_i; \theta_1, \theta_2)$  can only diverge, as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , for  $\theta_1, \theta_2$  in a subset  $E''(\theta)$  of  $E(\theta)$  of zero linear measure. By setting  $E'(\theta) = E(\theta) - E''(\theta)$  we obtain the desired result.

## 2. AN ALTERNATIVE PROOF OF THE RESULTS OF THEOREMS 2, 4 COMBINED

THEOREM 5.  $F(r_i, \Delta)$  is of uniformly limited variation with respect to  $r_i$  as a function of intervals,  $\Delta$ , implies the existence of a sequence of  $r_i$ 's  $\{r_i^*\}$  with  $\lim r_i^* = 1$ , such that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} F(r_i^*, \Delta)$  exists and is additive on a dense set  $E(\theta)$ 's which determine the  $\Delta$ 's.

The hypothesis of the theorem is equivalent to: the upper-bound, for all  $k$  modes of subdivision of  $(\theta, \theta)$ , of

$$\sum_{j=1}^k |F(r_i; \theta_j^{(k)}, \theta_{j+1}^{(k)})|$$

is less than  $B$  for every  $r_i$  in  $(0, 1)$ . Hence  $|F(r_i, \Delta)| < B$ .

Take a particular  $\Delta$ , say  $\Delta_1$ . Then we have the result that the sequence  $\{F(r_i, \Delta_1)\}$  has an upper and a lower limit which we may denote by  $\bar{F}(\Delta_1)$  and  $\underline{F}(\Delta_1)$  respectively. Now out of the  $\{r_i\}$  choose

a subsequence  $\{r_{i,1}\}$ , where  $r_{i,1} = r_i$ , on which the sequence  $\{F(r_{i,1}, \delta_1)\}$  has a unique limit, say  $\bar{F}(\delta_1)$ . Next choose another  $\delta = \delta_2$ . Then  $\{F(r_{i,1}, \delta_2)\}$  will have an upper and a lower limit which we may denote by  $\bar{F}(\delta_2)$  and  $\underline{F}(\delta_2)$  respectively. As before select from  $\{r_i\}$  a subsequence  $\{r_{i,2}\}$  such  $r_{i,2} = r_{i,1}$ ,  $r_{2,2} = r_2$ , and such that the sequence  $\{F(r_{i,2}, \delta_2)\}$  has a unique limit, say  $\bar{F}(\delta_2)$ . Continue in this manner. Select  $\delta_n$  from  $0, 2\pi$ , and choose a subsequence  $\{r_{i,n}\}$  from  $\{r_{i,n-1}\}$  such that  $r_{1,n} = r_{1,1}$ ,  $r_{2,n} = r_{2,2}$ , ...,  $r_{n-1,n} = r_{n-1,n-1}$ ,  $r_{n,n} = r_{n,n-1}$ ,  $r_{n+1,n} = r_{n+1,n-1}$ , ... Now the sequence  $\{F(r_{i,n-1}, \delta_n)\}$  will have an upper and a lower limit, and  $\{F(r_{i,n}, \delta_n)\}$  will have a unique limit, say  $\bar{F}(\delta_n)$ , since I can choose out of  $\{r_{i,n-1}\}$  that portion (for late terms of the sequence) that will give  $\bar{F}(\delta_n)$ . Continue this process without end.

Now I have a sequence  $\{\delta_i\}$  and corresponding sequences  $\{r_{i,j}\}$  on which the members of the sequence of sequences  $\{\{F(r_{i,j}, \delta_j)\}\}$  have unique limits  $\bar{F}(\delta_j)$  as  $r_{i,j} \rightarrow \infty$ . Write  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \{r_{i,j}\} = \{r_i^*\}$ . Then  $\{\{F(r_i^*, \delta_j)\}\}$  all have unique limits, since  $\{r_i^*\}$  is contained in all the  $\{r_{i,j}\}$ 's. Furthermore the  $\theta_1, \theta_2$ 's which determine the  $\delta$ 's may be selected from a denumerable dense set  $E(\theta)$  of  $\theta$ 's in the interval  $(0, 2\pi)$ .

$\bar{F}(\delta)$  is additive, for  $F(r_i^*, \delta)$  is additive and bounded and hence  $F(r_i^*, \delta_1 + \delta_2) = F(r_i^*, \delta_1) + F(r_i^*, \delta_2)$ . If  $\bar{F}(\delta)$  were not additive,  $\lim F(r_i^*, \delta_1 + \delta_2) \neq \lim F(r_i^*, \delta_1) + \lim F(r_i^*, \delta_2)$  in which case we would have to have  $F(r_i^*, \delta_1 + \delta_2) \neq F(r_i^*, \delta_1) + F(r_i^*, \delta_2)$  for  $i$ 's greater than some finite integer,  $I$ , which is impossible. Hence  $\bar{F}(\delta)$  is additive.

3. APPLICATION OF THE FOREGOING THEOREMS TO  
TWO THEOREMS ON HARMONIC FUNCTIONS

THEOREM 6. -- If  $F(r_i, \Delta)$  is of uniformly limited variation with respect to  $i$  as a function of intervals where

$$F(r, \Delta) = \int_{\rho(r, \Delta)} u(M) dM$$

and  $\rho(r, \Delta)$  denotes that portion of  $S_r$  which is bounded by the projection from  $O$  of  $\Delta$  on  $S$ , then, there exists a sequence of values  $r_1, r_1 < r_2 < r_3, \dots$  with  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} r_i = 1$  such that for any interval,  $\Delta$ ,  $\lim F(r_i, \Delta)$  exists.

Consider a linear net  $H$  of intervals  $\Delta'$  determined by radii  $\theta = \text{const.}$  in  $E'(\theta)$ . Then

$$F(r_i, \Delta) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_{r_i}} \rho(M; r_i, r_i; \Delta) dF(r_i, \Delta'_i) = \int_S \rho(M; 1, r_i; \Delta) d\Phi(\Delta'_i)$$

since (1) the integrand is bounded; (2) the integration is with respect to a function of bounded variation and hence we have a Daniell  $S$  - integral (4) which gives the above results. Now  $\Phi(\Delta')$  is a bounded additive function of intervals on the net  $H$ .

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} F(r_i, \Delta) &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_S \rho(M; 1, r_i; \Delta) d\Phi(\Delta'_i) \\ &= \int_S \rho(M, \Delta) d\Phi(\Delta'_i) = F_1(\Delta) \end{aligned}$$

since we have again a Daniell  $S$  - integral. The theorem of paper (2) page 157 allows us to infer the result.

(4) P. J. Daniell, "A General Form of Integral," Annals of Mathematics, Vol. 19 (1918) pp. 279-294. See section 7.7 and 8.

THEOREM 7. If  $u$  is a function, harmonic at every interior point of the unit circle  $S$ , then, (a) there exists on the circumference of  $S$  a bounded additive function of intervals,  $F(\cdot)$  such that

$$u(M) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_S \frac{1-r^2}{MP^2} dF(\Delta_P)$$

if and only if, (b)  $F(r_i, \Delta)$  is of uniformly limited variation as a function of intervals for all  $i$ , where, by definition

$$F(r, \Delta) = \int_{\rho(r, \Delta)} u(M) dM$$

and  $\rho(r, \Delta)$  denotes the part of  $S$ , which is bounded by the projection from  $O$  of the interval  $\Delta$  on  $S$ .

(a) implies (b), can be established directly. From the definition of the total variation function  $T(\Delta)$  of  $F(\Delta)$  and of the Stieltjes integral,

$$\sum_j |F(r_i, \Delta_j)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\rho(r_i, \sum \Delta_j)} dM \int_S \frac{1-r_i^2}{MP^2} dT(\Delta_P) \leq T(S) < B$$

where  $\Delta_j$ 's are non-overlapping intervals on  $S_{r_i}$ .

(b) implies (a), may also be easily obtained. Let  $M_0$  be a fixed point, internal to a circle  $S_{r_i^*}$  of radius  $r_i^*$ . Then since  $u$  is harmonic within  $S_{r_i^*}$ ,  $u(M_0)$  may be expressed by means of a Poisson integral over  $S_{r_i^*}$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} u(M_0) &= \frac{1}{2\pi r_i^*} \int_{S_{r_i^*}} \frac{(r_i^{*2} - r_0^2) u(M) dM}{M_0 M^2} = \frac{1}{2\pi r_i^*} \int_{S_{r_i^*}} \frac{(r_i^{*2} - r_0^2)}{M_0 M^2} dF(r_i^*, \Delta_M) \\ &= \lim \frac{1}{2\pi r_i^*} \int_{S_{r_i^*}} \frac{r_i^{*2} - r_0^2}{M_0 M^2} dF(r_i^*, \Delta_M) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_S \frac{1-r_0^2}{M_0 P^2} d\bar{F}(\Delta_P). \end{aligned}$$

by Theorem 6.

Furthermore

$$F(r_i, s) = \int_S \rho(P; r_i, 1; s) d\bar{F}(s'_P)$$

and

$$\lim_{r_i \rightarrow 1} F(r_i, s) = \int_S q(P; s) d\bar{F}(s'_P) = F_1(s)$$

$q(P, s)$ , being the limit of a sequence of continuous functions is of class 1 of Baire and is therefore measurable Borel. Apply a theorem (5) of (2). We have as a result

$$u(M_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_S \frac{1-r_0^2}{M_0 P^2} dF_1(s'_P),$$

which completes the proof of the theorem.

It is very important to notice that as a consequence of Theorem 5 the last integral is defined, not merely for a set of  $s'_P$  corresponding to a dense set of  $\Theta'$ , but also for every  $s'_P$  on the circle.

(5) (2) See p. 157